

CDM-AP102

Meeting report

CDM Accreditation Panel one-hundred-second meeting

Version 01.0

Date of meeting: 25 and 26 August 2026

Place of meeting: Virtual



United Nations
Framework Convention on
Climate Change

TABLE OF CONTENTS		Page
AGENDA ITEM 1.	AGENDA AND MEETING ORGANIZATION	3
Agenda item 1.1.	Opening.....	3
Agenda item 1.2.	Adoption of the agenda.....	3
AGENDA ITEM 2.	GOVERNANCE AND MANAGEMENT MATTERS	3
Agenda item 2.1.	Performance management	3
Agenda item 2.2.	Matters related to the panel	4
AGENDA ITEM 3.	RULINGS (CASE-SPECIFIC MATTERS).....	4
AGENDA ITEM 4.	RELATIONS WITH FORUMS AND OTHER STAKEHOLDERS	4
AGENDA ITEM 5.	CONCLUSION OF THE MEETING	4

Agenda item 1. Agenda and meeting organization

Agenda item 1.1. Opening

1. The Chair of the Clean Development Mechanism Accreditation Panel (CDM-AP), Ms. Diana Harutyunyan, opened the meeting.
2. The table below shows attendance at the meeting.

Table. Attendance

Chair and Vice-Chair	Members
Ms. Diana Harutyunyan (Chair)	Ms. Adriana Guzmán
Mr. Spencer Thomas (Vice-Chair)	Ms. Anastasia Northland
	Mr. Anil Jauhri
	Mr. Ricardo Esparta
	Ms. Verónica García de Solórzano

Agenda item 1.2. Adoption of the agenda

3. The CDM-AP adopted the agenda of the meeting.
4. The CDM-AP considered information provided by members, the Chair and the Vice-Chair, with respect to any potential conflict of interest.

Agenda item 2. Governance and management matters

Agenda item 2.1. Performance management

5. The CDM-AP took note that this is the last meeting of the CDM-AP and concluded all the accreditation related cases.
6. The CDM-AP took note of the report on the achievements made against the 2026 assessment plan.
7. The CDM-AP took note of one delay of more than seven days for the on-going assessments.
8. The CDM-AP took note of the annual impartiality analysis prepared in accordance with paragraphs 15 and 16 of the “Procedure: Selection and performance evaluation of experts on the CDM accreditation roster of experts” (ver. 01.0).
9. The CDM-AP took note of the outcome of the performance monitoring of experts on the on the CDM accreditation of experts, based on section 5.4.1 of the “Procedure: Selection and performance evaluation of experts on the CDM accreditation roster of experts” (ver. 01.0).
10. The CDM-AP took note of designated operational entity (DOE) performance monitoring reports to the Board and the CDM-AP on the 29th monitoring period (first and second iterations) and the 30th monitoring period (first and second iterations), in accordance with the “Procedure: Performance monitoring of designated operational entities” (ver. 05.0).

11. The CDM-AP took note of the 11th annual analysis report to the CDM Executive Board on the results of DOE performance monitoring.

Agenda item 2.2. Matters related to the panel

12. The CDM-AP took note of the outcomes of the 126th meeting of the Board.
13. The CDM-AP took note of the outcomes of the twentieth session of the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol that were relevant to the work of the CDM-AP.

Agenda item 3. Rulings (case-specific matters)

14. The CDM-AP concluded all the accreditation related cases.
15. The CDM-AP considered 12 regular surveillance cases. The CDM-AP's recommendations on the cases will be submitted to the Board in confidence.
16. The CDM-AP considered four performance assessment cases. The CDM-AP's recommendations on the cases will be submitted to the Board in confidence.
17. The CDM-AP considered 11 notifications of change. The CDM-AP's notifications on these cases will be submitted to the Board in confidence.
18. The CDM-AP took note of voluntary full withdrawal of accreditation status for five DOEs. The CDM-AP's notifications on the cases will be submitted to the Board in confidence.

Agenda item 4. Relations with forums and other stakeholders

19. The CDM-AP noted that no request for interaction was received from the DOE/Accredited Independent Entity Coordination Forum Chair for this meeting.

Agenda item 5. Conclusion of the meeting

20. The CDM-AP noted that the systems, expertise and principles developed through the CDM accreditation process over 22 years, together with the experience and lessons accumulated over these years, will continue to underpin the credibility of the next generation of the carbon markets under the Paris Agreement.
21. The CDM-AP recognized the significant contribution of the assessors who supported the accreditation process and helped shape its practices and standards over these years.
22. The CDM AP members also thanked the Executive Board of the CDM for the opportunity to serve on the CDM-AP and the secretariat for excellent support.
23. The CDM-AP approved the report of the meeting.
24. The CDM-AP Chair, Ms. Diana Harutyunyan, closed the meeting.

- - - - -

Document information

<i>Version</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Description</i>
01.0	27 August 2026	CDM-AP 102 meeting report. Initial publication.
Decision Class: Operational Document Type: Meeting report Business Function: Governance Keywords: AP, reporting procedures		