

CDM-AP99

Meeting report

CDM Accreditation Panel ninety-ninth meeting

Version 01.0

Date of meeting: 17 and 18 September 2024

Place of meeting: Bonn, Germany



United Nations
Framework Convention on
Climate Change

| TABLE OF CONTENTS | | Page |
|--------------------------|---|-------------|
| AGENDA ITEM 1. | AGENDA AND MEETING ORGANIZATION | 3 |
| Agenda item 1.1. | Opening..... | 3 |
| Agenda item 1.2. | Adoption of the agenda..... | 3 |
| AGENDA ITEM 2. | GOVERNANCE AND MANAGEMENT MATTERS | 3 |
| Agenda item 2.1. | Performance management | 3 |
| Agenda item 2.2. | Matters related to the panel | 3 |
| AGENDA ITEM 3. | RULINGS (CASE-SPECIFIC MATTERS)..... | 4 |
| AGENDA ITEM 4. | RELATIONS WITH FORUMS AND OTHER STAKEHOLDERS | 4 |
| AGENDA ITEM 5. | OTHER MATTERS | 4 |
| AGENDA ITEM 6. | CONCLUSION OF THE MEETING | 5 |

Agenda item 1. Agenda and meeting organization

Agenda item 1.1. Opening

1. The Chair of the Clean Development Mechanism Accreditation Panel (CDM-AP), Mr. Spencer Thomas, opened the meeting.
2. The table below represents the attendance of members at the meeting, which was held on 17 and 18 September 2024. Bold print indicates attendance at the meeting, and italics indicate that attendance was virtual.

Table. Attendance

| Chair and Vice-Chair | Members |
|---|---|
| Mr. Spencer Thomas (Chair) | Ms. Adriana Guzmán |
| <i>Ms. Diana Harutyunyan (Vice-Chair)</i> | Ms. Anastasia Northland |
| | Mr. Anil Jauhri |
| | Mr. Ricardo Esparta |
| | Ms. Verónica García de Solórzano |

Agenda item 1.2. Adoption of the agenda

3. The CDM-AP adopted the agenda of the meeting.
4. The CDM-AP considered information provided by members and the Vice-Chair with respect to any potential conflict of interest.

Agenda item 2. Governance and management matters

Agenda item 2.1. Performance management

5. The CDM-AP took note of a report on the achievement against the 2024 assessment plan.
6. The CDM-AP took note that there were no delays of more than seven days, which took place in on-going assessments.
7. The CDM-AP decided on the outcome of the performance monitoring of experts on the CDM accreditation roster of experts.
8. The CDM-AP took note of the designated operational entity (DOE) performance monitoring report to the Executive Board of the clean development mechanism (hereinafter referred to as the Board) and the CDM-AP on the twenty-fifth monitoring period (first iteration) in accordance with the "Procedure: Performance monitoring of designated operational entities" (ver. 05.0).

Agenda item 2.2. Matters related to the panel

9. The CDM-AP took note of the outcome of the 122nd meeting of the Board.
10. The CDM-AP took note that the Board at its 123rd meeting will agree on the schedule of CDM-AP meetings for 2025.

Agenda item 3. Rulings (case-specific matters)

11. The CDM-AP considered two initial accreditation cases. The CDM-AP's recommendations on these cases will be submitted to the Board in confidence.
12. The CDM-AP considered five re-accreditation cases. The CDM-AP's recommendations on these cases will be submitted to the Board in confidence.
13. The CDM-AP considered three regular surveillance cases. The CDM-AP's notifications on these cases will be submitted to the Board in confidence.
14. The CDM-AP considered four spot-check cases. The CDM-AP's notifications on these cases will be submitted to the Board in confidence.
15. The CDM-AP considered four performance assessment cases. The CDM-AP's notifications on these cases will be submitted to the Board in confidence.
16. The CDM-AP considered four notifications of changes.
17. The CDM-AP considered one voluntary partial withdrawal case. The withdrawal of this case will be submitted to the Board in confidence.
18. The CDM-AP considered two voluntary withdrawals of all accredited sectoral scopes. The withdrawal of these cases will be submitted to the Board in confidence.
19. The CDM-AP considered one transfer of accreditation to another legal entity case. The CDM-AP's recommendations on this case will be submitted to the Board in confidence.
20. The CDM-AP considered two extensions of accreditation term. The CDM-AP's recommendations on these cases will be submitted to the Board in confidence.

Agenda item 4. Relations with forums and other stakeholders

21. No request for interaction was received from the DOE/Accredited Independent Entity Coordination Forum Chair for this meeting.
22. The CDM-AP interacted with a group of 10 lead assessors from the CDM ARoE. The purpose of the interaction was to facilitate an exchange of views and share experiences on the implementation of the CDM accreditation requirements and, specifically for this session, to consult on requests for clarifications on the "CDM accreditation standard" (ver. 07.0) and the "CDM accreditation procedure" (ver. 17.0). The CDM-AP considered the queries presented by the lead assessors and provided input for the further elaboration of responses. The CDM-AP expressed its gratitude for the hard work undertaken by the lead assessors and stated that it looked forward to such productive interactions in the future.

Agenda item 5. Other matters

23. The CDM-AP advised that:
 - (a) Training alone cannot be considered as corrective action. Training should be accompanied by the DOE's systematic improvement;

- (b) In the case of lack of validation and verification activities, the DOE is required to evaluate its validation, verification and certification personnel as per paragraphs 96 and 100 of the “CDM accreditation standard” (ver. 7.0).

Agenda item 6. Conclusion of the meeting

24. The CDM-AP approved the report of its ninety-ninth meeting.
25. The CDM-AP Chair closed the meeting.

- - - - -

Document information

| <i>Version</i> | <i>Date</i> | <i>Description</i> |
|----------------|-------------------|---|
| 01.0 | 20 September 2024 | CDM-AP 99 meeting report Initial publication |

Decision Class: Operational
Document Type: Meeting report
Business Function: Governance
Keywords: AP, reporting procedures
