

CDM-2022MP19PB-INFO01

DOE performance monitoring

Report to the public on the nineteenth monitoring period (1st iteration)

Version 01.0



United Nations
Framework Convention on
Climate Change

| TABLE OF CONTENTS | Page |
|--|-------------|
| 1. PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND..... | 3 |
| 2. ABBREVIATED NAMES OF DESIGNATED OPERATIONAL ENTITIES..... | 4 |
| 3. COMPLETENESS CHECK AND INFORMATION AND REPORTING CHECK INDICATORS (I_{1,CC} AND I_{1,IRC}) | 4 |
| 4. REQUEST FOR REVIEW INDICATORS (I_{2,REG} AND I_{2,ISS}) | 8 |
| 5. POST-REGISTRATION CHANGES INDICATOR (I₃) | 9 |

1. Procedural background

1. This report has been prepared in accordance with the “Procedure: Performance monitoring of designated operational entities” (CDM-EB58-A01-PROC) (ver. 04.0) (hereinafter referred to as the designated operational entity performance monitoring procedure).
2. The performance of designated operational entities (DOEs) is continuously monitored, and the performance indicators are calculated based on the following (submitted by DOEs during a monitoring period of four months):
 - (a) Requests for registration and issuance for both project activities and programmes of activities (PoAs);
 - (b) Requests for renewal of crediting period of project activities, and for renewal of PoA period;
 - (c) Requests for approval of post-registration changes (PRCs) of both project activities and PoAs under the prior-approval track and notifications of changes to component project activities (CPAs).
3. Each year, a monitoring period starts on 1 January and finishes on 30 April, followed by the next monitoring period that starts on 1 May and ends on 31 August, and the final monitoring period that starts on 1 September and ends on 31 December.
4. The secretariat shall prepare the first iteration of a DOE performance monitoring report based on the data related to the requests finalized within three months of the end of each monitoring period. The first iteration of such a report shall be issued no later than four months after the end of each monitoring period. If the first iteration does not cover the finalization of 95 per cent of the requests, the second iteration shall cover the requests that are finalized within six months of the end of each monitoring period or at the finalization of 95 per cent of the requests, whichever comes first. The secretariat shall issue the second iteration no later than seven months after the end of the monitoring period or no later than one month after the finalization of 95 per cent of the requests, whichever comes first.
5. The secretariat shall calculate the following performance indicators for the requests submitted during a given monitoring period:
 - (a) Indicator I_1 , which includes the following two sub-indicators:
 - (i) Indicator $I_{1,CC}$: Rate of incomplete submissions at the stage of completeness check (CC);
 - (ii) Indicator $I_{1,IRC}$: Rate of incomplete submissions at the stage of information and reporting check (IRC);
 - (b) Indicator I_2 , which includes the following two sub-indicators:
 - (i) Indicator $I_{2,REG}$: Risk priority number (RPN) value at the stage of review of requests for registration;
 - (ii) Indicator $I_{2,ISS}$: RPN value at the stage of review of requests for issuance;

- (c) Indicator I₃: RPN value at the stage of clarification and rejection of requests for prior approval of PRCs and notifications of changes to CPAs.
6. This is the first iteration of the DOE performance monitoring report for the nineteenth performance monitoring period (from 1 January 2022 to 30 April 2022) (the 19th period report), based on the requests finalized by 31 July 2022. The first iteration of the 19th period report covers 76 per cent of the requests; therefore, the second iteration of the 19th period report will be issued by 30 November 2022 and considered as the final version of the 19th period report.

2. Abbreviated names of designated operational entities

7. Table 1 below provides an overview of abbreviated names of DOEs and their full names, including their reference numbers.

Table 1. Abbreviated names of designated operational entities, full names and their reference numbers

| Designated operational entity (DOE) abbreviation | DOE full name |
|---|--|
| 4KES | 4K Earth Science Private Limited (E-0069) |
| AENOR | AENOR INTERNACIONAL, S.A.U. (E-0021) |
| BVI | Bureau Veritas India Pvt. Ltd. (E-0009) |
| Carbon Check | Carbon Check (India) Private Ltd. (E-0052) |
| CCCI | China Certification Center, Inc. (E-0067) |
| CCSC | China Classification Society Certification Company (E-0046) |
| CEC | China Environmental United Certification Center Co., Ltd. (E-0034) |
| CEPREI | CEPREI certification body (E-0047) |
| CQC | China Quality Certification Center (E-0044) |
| CTC | China Building Material Test and Certification Group Co. Ltd. (E-0065) |
| CTI | Shenzhen CTI International Certification Co., Ltd. (E-0061) |
| DTSUS | Deloitte Tohmatsu Sustainability, Co., Ltd. (E-0006) |
| Earthood | Earthood Services Private Limited (E-0066) |
| EPIC | EPIC Sustainability Services Pvt. Ltd. (E-0062) |
| GHD | GHD Limited (E-0020) |
| ICONTEC | Colombian Institute for Technical Standards and Certification (E-0024) |
| JQA | Japan Quality Assurance Organisation (E-0001) |
| KBS | KBS Certification Services Pvt. Ltd (E-0051) |
| KEA | Korea Energy Agency (E-0011) |

| Designated operational entity (DOE) abbreviation | DOE full name |
|---|---|
| KFQ | Korean Foundation for Quality (E-0025) |
| KSA | Korean Standards Association (E-0039) |
| KTR | Korea Testing & Research Institute (E-0056) |
| LGAI | LGAI Technological Center, S.A (E-0032) |
| Re Carbon | Re Carbon Gözetim Denetim ve Belgelendirme Limited Sirketi (E-0054) |
| RINA | RINA Services S.p.A. (E-0037) |
| TÜV NORD | TÜV NORD CERT GmbH (E-0022) |
| TÜV SÜD | TÜV SÜD South Asia Private Limited (E-0005) |

3. Completeness check and information and reporting check indicators ($I_{1,CC}$ and $I_{1,IRC}$)

8. Indicators $I_{1,CC}$ and $I_{1,IRC}$ are calculated as per paragraph 15 (a) of the DOE performance monitoring procedure:
 - (a) Indicator $I_{1,CC}$ = number of requests concluded as incomplete at CC/number of requests completed;
 - (b) Indicator $I_{1,IRC}$ = number of requests concluded as incomplete at IRC/number of requests completed.
9. The respective thresholds $TH_{I1,CC}$ and $TH_{I1,IRC}$ for indicators $I_{1,CC}$ and $I_{1,IRC}$ are correspondingly calculated, as per paragraph 21 of the DOE performance monitoring procedure. The DOE is considered to be in the “green zone” if its indicator $I_{1,CC}$ is equal to or less than $TH_{I1,CC,y}$ or its indicator $I_{1,IRC}$ is equal to or less than $TH_{I1,IRC}$. The DOE is considered to be in the “red zone” if its indicator $I_{1,CC}$ is more than $TH_{I1,CC,y}$ or its indicator $I_{1,IRC}$ is more than $TH_{I1,IRC}$.
10. Table 2 below presents the performance monitoring outcome of indicators $I_{1,CC}$ and $I_{1,IRC}$.

Table 2. Indicators $I_{1,CC}$ and $I_{1,IRC}$

| Designated operational entity (DOE) name | DOE reference | No. of requests submitted | Requests finalized (%) | $I_{1,CC}$ | Threshold $I_{1,CC}$ | $I_{1,CC}$ outcome (Yes, if $I_{1,CC}$ threshold exceeded) | $I_{1,IRC}$ | Threshold $I_{1,IRC}$ | $I_{1,IRC}$ outcome (Yes, if $I_{1,IRC}$ threshold exceeded) | Outcome (Red zone, if $I_{1,CC}$ or $I_{1,IRC}$ threshold exceeded) |
|--|---------------|---------------------------|------------------------|------------|----------------------|--|-------------|-----------------------|--|---|
| 4KES | E-0069 | 7 | 71% | 0.000 | 0.047 | No | 0.000 | 0.175 | No | Green Zone |
| AENOR | E-0021 | 7 | 71% | 0.000 | 0.047 | No | 0.000 | 0.175 | No | Green Zone |
| Carbon Check | E-0052 | 21 | 62% | 0.000 | 0.047 | No | 0.000 | 0.175 | No | Green Zone |
| CCSC | E-0046 | 17 | 94% | 0.000 | 0.047 | No | 0.000 | 0.175 | No | Green Zone |
| CEPREI | E-0047 | 2 | 50% | 0.000 | 0.047 | No | 0.000 | 0.175 | No | Green Zone |
| CTI | E-0061 | 20 | 100% | 0.000 | 0.047 | No | 0.048 | 0.175 | No | Green Zone |
| Earthood | E-0066 | 16 | 69% | 0.000 | 0.047 | No | 0.000 | 0.175 | No | Green Zone |
| EPIC | E-0062 | 2 | 100% | 0.000 | 0.047 | No | 0.000 | 0.175 | No | Green Zone |
| ICONTEC | E-0024 | 2 | 50% | 0.667 | 0.047 | Yes | 0.000 | 0.175 | No | Red Zone |
| KBS | E-0051 | 20 | 85% | 0.056 | 0.047 | Yes | 0.059 | 0.175 | No | Red Zone |
| KEA | E-0011 | 2 | 50% | 0.000 | 0.047 | No | 0.000 | 0.175 | No | Green Zone |
| KFQ | E-0025 | 2 | 100% | 0.000 | 0.047 | No | 0.000 | 0.175 | No | Green Zone |
| KSA | E-0039 | 7 | 100% | 0.000 | 0.047 | No | 0.000 | 0.175 | No | Green Zone |
| LGAI | E-0032 | 81 | 70% | 0.017 | 0.047 | No | 0.098 | 0.175 | No | Green Zone |
| RINA | E-0037 | 10 | 90% | 0.000 | 0.047 | No | 0.000 | 0.175 | No | Green Zone |
| TÜV NORD | E-0022 | 14 | 43% | 0.000 | 0.047 | No | 0.286 | 0.175 | Yes | Red Zone |

| Designated operational entity (DOE) name | DOE reference | No. of requests submitted | Requests finalized (%) | I_{1,CC} | Threshold I_{1,CC} | I_{1,CC} outcome (Yes, if I_{1,CC} threshold exceeded) | I_{1,IRC} | Threshold I_{1,IRC} | I_{1,IRC} outcome (Yes, if I_{1,IRC} threshold exceeded) | Outcome (Red zone, if I_{1,CC} or I_{1,IRC} threshold exceeded) |
|---|----------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|--------------------------|------------------------------------|---|--|
| TÜV SÜD | E-0005 | 8 | 100% | 0.000 | 0.047 | No | 0.111 | 0.175 | No | Green Zone |

4. Request for review indicators ($I_{2,REG}$ and $I_{2,ISS}$)

11. Indicators $I_{2,REG}$ and $I_{2,ISS}$ are calculated based on the requests for registration and issuance, respectively, as per paragraph 15 (b) of the DOE performance monitoring procedure. When the number of review cases is:
 - (a) Higher than or equal to 3, $I_{2,REG}$ and $I_{2,ISS}$ are the proportion of the RPN values resulted from requests for review for each DOE over the RPN mean value resulted from all requests for review for all DOEs for the respective registration and issuance requests;
 - (b) Less than 3, $I_{2,REG}$ and $I_{2,ISS}$ are the RPN value resulted from requests for review for each DOE for the respective registration and issuance requests.
12. The respective thresholds for the indicators $I_{2,REG}$ and $I_{2,ISS}$ are defined as per paragraph 25 of the DOE performance monitoring procedure as below:
 - (a) When the number of review cases is higher than or equal to 3, the DOE is considered to be:
 - (i) In the “green zone” if its indicator $I_{2,REG}$ or $I_{2,ISS}$ is less than 0.6;
 - (ii) In the “yellow zone” if its indicator $I_{2,REG}$ or $I_{2,ISS}$ is higher than or equal to 0.6 but less than 0.8;
 - (iii) In the “red zone” if its indicator $I_{2,REG}$ or $I_{2,ISS}$ is higher than or equal to 0.8;
 - (b) When the number of review cases is less than 3, the DOE is considered to be:
 - (i) In the “green zone” if its indicator $I_{2,REG}$ or $I_{2,ISS}$ is less than 6;
 - (ii) In the “yellow zone” if its indicator $I_{2,REG}$ or $I_{2,ISS}$ is higher than or equal to 6 but less than 10;
 - (iii) In the “red zone” if its indicator $I_{2,REG}$ or $I_{2,ISS}$ is higher than or equal to 10.
13. The requests for review for request for registration and request for issuance raised in this performance monitoring period have not been finalized by 31 July 2022 and therefore, the indicator of $I_{2,REG}$ and $I_{2,ISS}$ will be reported in the second iteration of the 19th period report.

5. Post-registration changes indicator (I_3)

14. Indicator I_3 is calculated as per paragraph 15 (c) of the DOE performance monitoring procedure. When the number of requests for clarification regarding requests for approval of PRCs under the prior-approval track and notifications of changes to CPAs (hereinafter referred to as PRC requests) and rejected PRC requests is:
 - (a) Higher than or equal to 3, I_3 is the proportion of the RPN values resulted from requests for clarification and rejected PRC requests for each DOE over the RPN mean value resulted from all requests for clarification and rejected requests for all DOEs;
 - (b) Less than 3, I_3 is the RPN value resulted from requests for clarification and rejected PRC requests for each DOE.
15. The threshold for the indicator I_3 is defined, as per paragraph 26 of the DOE performance monitoring procedure, as below:
 - (a) When the number of clarification requests and rejection of PRC requests cases is higher than or equal to 3, the DOE is considered to be:
 - (i) In the “green zone” if its indicator I_3 is less than 0.6;
 - (ii) In the “yellow zone” if its indicator I_3 is higher than or equal to 0.6 but less than 0.8;
 - (iii) In the “red zone” if its indicator I_3 is higher than or equal to 0.8;
 - (b) When the number of clarification requests and rejection of PRC requests cases is less than 3, the DOE is considered to be:
 - (i) In the “green zone” if its indicator I_3 is less than 6;
 - (ii) In the “yellow zone” if its indicator I_3 is higher than or equal to 6 but less than 11;
 - (iii) In the “red zone” if its indicator I_3 is higher than or equal to 11.
16. Table 3 below presents the performance monitoring outcome of indicators I_3 .

Table 3. Outcome of the indicator I₃

| Designated operational entity (DOE) | Number of requests for clarification and rejected request | Proportion of requests over risk priority number (RPN) mean value | DOE's average RPN value | Outcome |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|-------------------------|------------|
| LGAI | 1 | | 2 | Green Zone |
| KSA | 1 | | 1 | Green Zone |
| 4KES | 1 | | 3 | Green Zone |
| RINA | 1 | | 3 | Green Zone |

Document information

| <i>Version</i> | <i>Date</i> | <i>Description</i> |
|----------------|------------------|---|
| 01.0 | 1 September 2022 | Published in accordance with "Procedure: Performance monitoring of designated operational entities (version 04.0)". |

Decision Class: Operational
Document Type: Information note
Business Function: Accreditation, Governance
Keywords: DOE, Performance monitoring
