

CDM-MP88-A15

Draft Small-scale Methodology

AMS-II.G.: Energy efficiency measures in thermal applications of non-renewable biomass

Version 13.0

Sectoral scope(s): 03

DRAFT



United Nations
Framework Convention on
Climate Change

COVER NOTE

1. Procedural background

1. The Executive Board of the clean development mechanism (CDM) (hereinafter referred to as the Board), at its 111th meeting, considered the concept note “Analysis and options regarding caps used in AMS-I.E¹, AMS-II.G² and TOOL30³” that proposed, besides the existing default values, threshold values for the parameters fraction of non-renewable biomass (fNRB), per capita baseline wood fuel consumption and wood-to-charcoal conversion factor. The Board agreed that the information provided has been useful and requested the Methodologies Panel (MP) to continue the work and provide an updated concept note for consideration by the Board at a future meeting.
2. The Board at its 113th meeting approved the “TOOL33: Default values for common parameters” (hereinafter referred as TOOL33). This tool contains default values to estimate the baseline emissions, and additional default values may be added to the tool by the Board at any point in time.

2. Purpose

3. The purpose of the proposed revision is to introduce a reference to TOOL33 for using default values.

3. Key issues and proposed solutions

4. The proposed revision refers to TOOL33 that contains recently updated default values.
5. Also, the proposed revision removes the provision to take the lower bound of the confidence interval when the required precision is not achieved. This requirement is inconsistent with the provision in paragraph 18 of the “Standard: Sampling and surveys for CDM project activities and programme of activities” (version 9.0). The changes result in consistent requirements across all methodologies with regard to provisions for the cases when the required precision is not achieved in the surveys conducted.

4. Impacts

6. The revision of this methodology, along with the revision of the TOOL33, if approved, will enhance the reliability of emission reductions estimates as the proposed default values included in TOOL33 are based on recent studies and literature and are conservative when compared to the current values.

¹ AMS-I.E: Switch from non-renewable biomass for thermal application by the user

² AMS-II.G: Energy-efficiency measures in thermal applications of non-renewable biomass

³ TOOL30: Calculation of the fraction of non-renewable biomass

5. Subsequent work and timelines

7. The MP, at its 88th meeting, agreed to seek public inputs on the draft revised methodology, and any input will be discussed with the MP and forwarded to the Board for its consideration.

6. Recommendations to the Board

8. The MP recommends that the Board adopt this draft methodology, to be made effective at the time of the Board's approval.

TABLE OF CONTENTS	Page
1. INTRODUCTION	5
2. SCOPE, APPLICABILITY, AND ENTRY INTO FORCE	5
2.1. Scope	5
2.2. Applicability	5
2.3. Entry into force	6
2.4. Applicability of sectoral scopes	6
3. NORMATIVE REFERENCES	6
4. DEFINITIONS	7
5. BASELINE METHODOLOGY.....	7
5.1. Project boundary	7
5.2. Additionality	8
5.2.1. Option 1 (Positive list)	8
5.2.2. Option 2.....	8
5.2.3. Option 3.....	8
5.3. Emission reductions	8
5.4. Leakage.....	17
5.5. Data and parameters not monitored	18
6. MONITORING METHODOLOGY	22
6.1. Data and parameters monitored	22
6.2. Representative sampling methods.....	30
6.3. Project activity under a programme of activities	30
APPENDIX 1. DEFINITION OF REGIONS.....	33
APPENDIX 2. NON-BINDING SURVEY QUESTIONNAIRE	34

1. Introduction

1. The following table describes the key elements of the methodology:

Table 1. Methodology key elements

Typical project(s)	Introduction of efficient thermal energy generation units utilizing non-renewable biomass (e.g. complete replacement of existing biomass-fired cookstoves or ovens or dryers with more efficient appliances), or retrofitting of existing units reducing the use of non-renewable biomass for combustion
Type of GHG emissions mitigation action	Energy efficiency: Displacement or energy efficiency enhancement of existing heat generation units results in saving of non-renewable biomass and reduction of GHG emissions

2. Scope, applicability, and entry into force

2.1. Scope

2. This methodology comprises efficiency improvements in thermal applications of non-renewable biomass. Examples of applicable technologies and measures include the introduction of high efficiency biomass fired project devices (cookstoves or ovens or dryers) to replace the existing devices and/or energy efficiency improvements in existing biomass fired cookstoves or ovens or dryers.¹

2.2. Applicability

3. In the case of cookstoves, the methodology is applicable to the introduction of single pot or multi pot portable or in-situ cookstoves with rated efficiency of at least 20 25 per cent. Refer to the requirements indicated in “Data / Parameter table 14” which details the options for testing and certification as well as supporting documentation (e.g. certificate issued by third party or test results) that needs to be presented to the validating Designated Operational Entity (DOE).
4. The aggregate energy savings of a single project activity shall not exceed the equivalent of 60 GWh per year or 180 GWh thermal per year in fuel input.
5. Non-renewable biomass has been used in the project region since 31 December 1989, using survey methods or referring to published literature, official reports or statistics.
6. For cases where the biomass is sourced from renewable sources, the project participants should use a corresponding Type I methodology.
7. The CDM-PDD or CDM-PoA-DD/CPA-DD shall explain the proposed method for distribution of project devices including the method to avoid double counting of emission reductions such as unique identifications of product and end-user locations (e.g. programme logo).

¹ Implementation of Greenfield applications is not covered in this methodology.

Box 1. Non-binding best practice example 1

As per the standard for sampling and surveys for CDM project activities and programme of activities (sampling standard), PPs/CMEs/DOEs shall ensure that samples are randomly selected and are representative of the population.

The listing/recording of information of all end-users is important to meet the requirement above. That is, if ex post monitoring survey conducted to confirm that the devices are still operating is based on sample survey, the sample selection should be on a random basis to ensure results are unbiased estimates of the parameters and each device would have an equal chance to qualify in a sample.

The above approach enables identification of the devices that are distributed only through the specific CDM project activity under consideration, particularly if multiple projects are underway. Furthermore, in the case of programme of activities (PoAs), above steps ensure avoidance of double counting within the PoA (the same device belonging to two different CPAs of the same PoA) and double counting in situations external to the PoA (the same household belonging to two different PoAs for the same technology).

Thus, unique identification of product (e.g. programme logo, serial number) and end-user locations (e.g. database of all end-users including their names, addresses, telephone numbers) avoids double counting as well as allows implementation of unbiased and reliable sample schemes.

8. The CDM-PDD or CDM-PoA-DD/CPA-DD shall also explain how the proposed procedures prevent double counting of emission reductions, for example to avoid that project stove manufacturers, wholesale providers or others claim credit for emission reductions from the project devices.

2.3. Entry into force

DRAFT

9. The date of entry into force is the date of the publication of the EB ## meeting report on ## Month 2022.

2.4. Applicability of sectoral scopes

10. For validation and verification of CDM projects and programme of activities by a designated operational entity (DOE) using this methodology, application of sectoral scope 03 is mandatory.

3. Normative references

11. Project participants shall apply the “Guideline: General guidelines for SSC CDM methodologies”, “TOOL21: Demonstration of additionality of small-scale project activities” and “TOOL19: Demonstration of additionality of microscale project activities” available at: <http://cdm.unfccc.int/Reference/Guidclarif/index.html#meth> and <https://cdm.unfccc.int/Reference/tools/index.html> mutatis mutandis.
12. This methodology also refers to the latest approved versions of the following approved standards, methodology(ies) and tool(s):
- (a) “AMS-III.BG.: Emission reduction through sustainable charcoal production and consumption” (hereinafter referred to as AMS-III.BG.);

- (b) “TOOL30: Calculation of the fraction of non-renewable biomass” (hereinafter referred to as TOOL30);
- (c) “TOOL33: Default values for common parameters (hereinafter referred to as TOOL33)”;
- (d) “Standard: Sampling and surveys for CDM project activities and programme of activities”.

4. Definitions

13. The definitions contained in the Glossary of CDM terms shall apply.

14. The following definition shall also apply:

- (a) **Batch** - is defined as the population of the device of the same type commissioned during a certain period of time (e.g. week or month) in a certain calendar year. To establish the date of commissioning, the Project Participant may opt to group the devices in “batches” and the latest date of commissioning of a device within the batch shall be used as the date of commissioning for the entire batch.²

Box 2. Non-binding best practice example 2

Project proponents may define the batch for a period that is shorter than a full year.

The concept of batch was originally introduced as an option to simplify the monitoring of the loss of efficiency for year y as required in paragraph 37. When using the batch approach, PP/CME is required to annually monitor the efficiency of the devices of the first batch only, and the result is taken as a proxy for the subsequent batches.

However, it should be noted that once batches are defined it would be necessary to calculate the emission reductions separately for each batch of project devices, as denoted by index j in equations of the methodology (e.g. equation 1).

For sample-based surveys, as long as the requirements in the methodology and sampling standard are met, whether or in what way the batches are considered is subject to the discretion of the project participant and survey design (e.g. it depends on the parameter, type of survey method chosen, frequency of survey, data collection method).

5. Baseline methodology

5.1. Project boundary

15. The project boundary is the physical, geographical site of the efficient devices that utilize biomass.

² If the efficiency drop of project devices is monitored through the first batch approach (see paragraph 37 below), project participants shall describe in the PDD the measures taken to ensure that all batches receive the same level of quality control in the production, and maintenance/replacements during the crediting period, as the first batch. Monitoring reports shall describe the number of actions taken for maintenance and replacements to all batches separately.

5.2. Additionality

16. For the specific case of this methodology, additionality is demonstrated using one of the options below:

5.2.1. Option 1 (Positive list)

17. Demonstrate ex ante that the penetration³ of high efficiency biomass fired devices (e.g. energy efficient cookstoves⁴) is equal to or less than 5 per cent of the technologies/measures providing similar services in the region in order to be considered as automatically additional.
18. The penetration shall be determined using one of the following options:
- (a) Official statistics or reports, relevant industry association reports or peer-reviewed literature;
 - (b) Results of a sampling survey conducted by project participants or a third party as per the latest version of “Standard: Sampling and surveys for CDM project activities and programme of activities” covering technologies/measures providing similar services as the project technology/measure;
19. The region/applicable geographical area to determine the penetration should be the entire host country. If the project participants opt to limit the applicable geographical area to a specific geographical area (such as province, region, etc.) within the host country, then they shall provide justification on the essential distinction between the identified specific geographical area and rest of the host country.
20. To determine the penetration using the above paragraph, the most recent data available at the time of submission of the CDM-PDD or CDM-CPA-DD for validation/inclusion, shall be used, and the data vintage used shall not include data older than three years prior to: (a) the start date of the CDM project activity; or (b) the start of validation/inclusion, whichever is earlier.

5.2.2. Option 2

21. Demonstrate additionality by applying the “TOOL21: Demonstration of additionality of small-scale project activities”.

5.2.3. Option 3

22. Demonstrate additionality by applying the “TOOL19: Demonstration of additionality of microscale project activities”.

5.3. Emission reductions

23. It is assumed that in the absence of the project activity, the baseline scenario would be the projected use of fossil fuels to meet similar thermal energy needs as those provided by the project devices.

³ Refers to proportion of stock of functional equipment at the user end; also termed as market saturation.

⁴ In accordance with paragraph 3, consider all single pot or multi pot portable or in-situ cookstoves with rated efficiency of at least 20 per cent or higher.

Box 3. Non-binding best practice example 3

Following criteria may be used to determine if stoves under consideration belong to the same generic stove model (e.g. for the purpose of surveys):

- If similarity is demonstrated for input and output characteristics, the stoves can be considered to belong to the same generic stove model;
- If the stoves are manufactured to the same design by different producers comparable input/output characteristics may be determined as follows;
 - o The comparability of the output characteristics may be determined through statistical hypothesis testing of efficiency;
 - o The comparability of the input characteristics may be assumed if stoves are manufactured, based on the same product design and same or comparable materials and production processes including QA/QC system.

24. Emission reductions are calculated as follows:

$$ER_y = \sum_i \sum_j ER_{y,i,j} - LE_y \quad \text{Equation (1)}$$

Where:

- DRAFT
- i = Indices for the situation where more than one type of project device is introduced to replace the pre-project devices⁵
 - j = Indices for the situation where there is more than one batch of project device
 - ER_y = Emission reductions during year y (tCO₂e)
 - $ER_{y,i,j}$ = Emission reductions by project device of type i and batch j during year y (tCO₂e)
 - LE_y = Leakage emissions in the year y (tCO₂e)

$$ER_{y,i,j} = B_{y,savings,i,j} \times N_{o,i,j} \times n_{y,i,j} \times \mu_y \times f_{NRB,y} \times NCV_{biomass} \times EF_{projected_fossil_fuel} \quad \text{Equation (2)}$$

Where:

- $B_{y,savings,i,j}$ = Quantity of woody biomass that is saved per cookstove device of type i and batch j during year y (tonnes)
- $f_{NRB,y}$ = Fraction of woody biomass that can be established as non-renewable biomass⁶ (fraction or %)

⁵ For example, in some instances, full replacement of the pre-project device would require the implementation of more than one project device (e.g. one stove suitable for cooking and the other stove suitable for cooking/boiling water).

⁶ Default values endorsed by designated national authorities and approved by the Board are available at <http://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/standard_base/index.html>.

$NCV_{biomass}$	=	Net calorific value of the non-renewable woody biomass that is substituted (IPCC default for wood fuel, 0.0156 TJ/tonne, based on the gross weight of the wood that is 'air-dried')
$EF_{projected_fossilfuel}$	=	Emission factor of fossil fuels projected to be used to substitute non-renewable woody biomass by similar consumers (tCO ₂ e/TJ).
$N_{0,i,j}$	=	Number ⁷ of project devices of type <i>i</i> and batch <i>j</i> commissioned (number)
$n_{y,i,j}$	=	Proportion of commissioned project devices of type <i>i</i> and batch <i>j</i> ($N_{0,i,j}$) that remain operating in year <i>y</i> (fraction)
μ_y	=	Adjustment to account for any continued use of pre-project devices during the year <i>y</i>

25. For the emission factor of fossil fuels projected to be used to substitute non-renewable woody biomass by similar consumers, either the default regional⁸ values in table 2 below or a value calculated from equation (3) may be used.

Table 2. Default regional values of the emission factor of fossil fuels projected to be used to substitute non-renewable woody biomass by similar consumers

DRAFT	
Emission factor of fossil fuels projected to be used to substitute non-renewable woody biomass by similar consumers (t CO₂e/TJ)	
Middle East and North Africa	63.9
East Asia and the Pacific	85.7
Europe and Central Asia	57.8
Latin America and the Caribbean	68.6
South Asia	64.4
Sub-Saharan Africa	73.2

26. Project participants may estimate the emission factor of fossil fuels projected to be used to substitute non-renewable woody biomass by similar consumers for their project or PoA by applying equation (3) below:

$$EF_{projected_fossil\ fuel} = \sum_j x_j \times [EF_{FF,j,CO_2} + (EF_{FF,j,CH_4} \times GWP_{CH_4}) + (EF_{FF,j,N_2O} \times GWP_{N_2O})] \quad \text{Equation (3)}$$

⁷ Project devices may be commissioned in batches. See paragraph 14(a).

⁸ Refer to Appendix 1 for the definition of the regions which is primarily based on the "developing regions" classification used by the United Nations Development Programme but tailored to the purpose of this CDM methodology (Retrieved on 27.11.19 from <<http://hdr.undp.org/en/content/developing-regions>>).

Where:

- x_j = Percentage share of fossil fuel use⁹ (a fraction representing the share of fossil fuel type j in total fossil fuel used in the region/country or project area for cooking)
- EF_{FF,j,CO_2} = CO₂ emission factor for the fossil fuel j . Use a value in the table 3 below (tCO₂/TJ)
- EF_{FF,j,CH_4} = CH₄ emission factor for the fossil fuel j . Use a value in the table 3 below (tCH₄/TJ)
- EF_{FF,j,N_2O} = N₂O emission factor for the fossil fuel j . Use a value in the table 3 below (tN₂O/TJ)
- GWP_{CH_4} = Global Warming Potential of CH₄ valid for the commitment period
- GWP_{N_2O} = Global Warming Potential of N₂O valid for the commitment period

Table 3. Default emission factors for fossil fuels (tonnes of GHG per TJ on a Net Calorific Value Basis)

Fuel	Default CO ₂ Emission Factor	Default CH ₄ Emission Factor	Default N ₂ O Emission Factor
Kerosene	71.9	0.01	0.0006
Liquefied Petroleum Gases (LPG)	63.1	0.005	0.0001
Coal	94.6	0.3	0.0015

Source: Table 2.5, Chapter 2, 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories

27. The value of fNRB shall be calculated using either of the following two options:
- (a) **Ex ante:** the fNRB value is determined once at the validation stage, thus no monitoring and recalculation of the fNRB value during the crediting period is required;
- (b) **Ex post:** the fNRB_y value is determined for the year y in the crediting period, requiring the fNRB value to be updated annually, following a consistent calculation procedure throughout the crediting period.
28. $B_{y,savings,i,j}$ due to implementation of efficient thermal devices is estimated as per any of the following options:
29. Option 1: Thermal Energy Output (TEO):

$$B_{y,savings,i,j} = \frac{HR_{y,i,j}}{NCV_{biomass}} \times \left(\frac{1}{\eta_{old,i,j}} - \frac{1}{\eta_{new,i,j}} \right) \quad \text{Equation (4)}$$

⁹ For example, if the percentage share of kerosene, LPG and coal in total fossil fuel used in the country X is 10%, 70% and 20%, then the parameter value for x_j should be 0.1, 0.7 and 0.2 respectively.

Where:

$HR_{y,i,j}$	=	Thermal energy output delivered per project device i in batch j during year y (TJ)
$\eta_{old,i,j}$	=	Efficiency of the old devices being replaced by project devices of type i and batch j (fraction)
$\eta_{new,i,j}$	=	Efficiency of the project device i and batch j (fraction)

30. The thermal energy output shall be calculated based on the rated capacity of the project device multiplied by the number of utilization hours:

$$HR_{y,i,j} = HC_{i,j} \times t_{y,i,j} \times 3.6 \times 10^{-6} \quad \text{Equation (5)}$$

Where:

$HC_{i,j}$	=	Rated thermal capacity as per manufacturer specification (kW)
$t_{y,i,j}$	=	Number of hours of utilization of the device during the year y (hours)
3.6×10^{-6}	=	Factor to convert kWh to TJ

Box 4. Non-binding best practice example 4

Utilization hours for cookstoves

As per Data / Parameter table 13, the number of utilization hours shall be estimated in accordance with the “Standard for sampling and surveys for CDM project activities and programme of activities”.

Considering that baseline emissions are highly sensitive to the value of utilization hours (i.e. $B_{y,saving}$ under Option 1: Thermal Energy Output (TEO)), the project proponent should take due care to ensure that survey results are reliable, by avoiding any possibilities for bias in the design and implementation of the survey.

A questionnaire-based survey to determine the number of hours of utilization of the device during the year y is eligible, as long as the survey design and implementation comply with the requirements of the “Standard for sampling and surveys for CDM project activities and programme of activities”.

To ensure accurate monitoring of the utilization hours, it is recommended to use measurement devices, such as Stove Use Monitors, in a sample of households/users, as this is a more accurate method of monitoring utilization hours (see section 9.2 of “Guideline: Sampling and surveys for CDM project activities and programmes of activities”).

If CMEs/PPs choose to use a questionnaire-based survey, the design of the questionnaire should take into account various factors that may affect the number of utilization hours such as the types of institutions/households (e.g. schools, hospitals, prisons), their business hours, the number of meals prepared (e.g. day school, boarding school), the types of food prepared. Stove usage records or fuel purchase records of the institutions/households should be taken into account during the survey or used to cross check the results. Per capita consumption of fuel wood may also be useful for cross checking.

31. Option 2: kitchen performance test (KPT):

$$B_{y,savings,i,j} = B_{old,i,j} - B_{new,KPT,i,j} \quad \text{Equation (6)}$$

Where:

$B_{old,i,j}$ = Annual quantity of woody biomass that would have been used in the absence of the project activity to generate thermal energy equivalent to that provided by the project device type i and batch j (tonnes/year)

$B_{new,KPT,i,j}$ = Annual quantity of woody biomass used in tonnes per project device of type i and batch j , measured as per the KPT protocol (tonnes/year)

32. Option 3: water boiling test (WBT):¹⁰

$$B_{y,savings,i,j} = B_{old,i,j} \times \left(1 - \frac{\eta_{old,i,j}}{\eta_{new,i,j}}\right) \quad \text{Equation (7)}$$

$$B_{y,savings,i,j} = B_{y=1,new,i,j,survey} \times \left(\frac{\eta_{new,i,j}}{\eta_{old,i,j}} - 1\right) \quad \text{Equation (8)}$$

Where:

$B_{y=1,new,i,j,survey}$ = Quantity of woody biomass used by project devices in tonnes per device of type i and batch j (tonnes)

33. Option 4: controlled cooking test (CCT):

$$B_{y,savings,i,j} = B_{old,i,j} \times \left(1 - \frac{SC_{new,i,j}}{SC_{old}}\right) \quad \text{Equation (9)}$$

Where:

SC_{old} = Specific fuel consumption or fuel consumption rate of the pre-project devices (tonnes of fuel/unit output or tonnes of fuel/hour)

$SC_{new,i,j}$ = Specific fuel consumption or the fuel consumption rate of the devices of type i and batch j deployed as part of the project (tonnes of fuel/unit output or tonnes of fuel/hour)

34. The calculations in the equations above assume that there is only one device per household. Considering that baseline surveys or other methods may estimate the total consumption per household, an adjusted formula as below shall be used in case more than one project device is used in the household. For example, if 2 project devices are installed per household, 0.5 times the baseline woody biomass consumption per household ($B_{old,HH}$) is used as the total annual quantity of woody biomass that would have been used in the absence of the project activity in each device ($B_{old,i,j}$). Where more detailed data is available, e.g. the thermal capacity of the project devices and respective utilisation hours, a weighted average thermal output ($HR_{y,i,j}$) may be used to determine the savings of baseline consumption for each device.

$$B_{old,i,j} = B_{old,HH} \div N_{d,HH} \quad \text{Equation (10)}$$

¹⁰ Based on whether $\eta_{new,i,j}$ or $B_{y=1,new,i,j,survey}$ is used for monitoring, either equation (7) or (8) may be used respectively.

$$B_{old,HH} = B_{old,p} \times N_{p,HH} \quad \text{Equation (11)}$$

Where:

- $B_{old,HH}$ = Annual quantity of woody biomass that would have been used in the household in the absence of the project activity to generate thermal energy equivalent to that provided by the project devices (tonnes/household/year)
- $N_{d,HH}$ = Number of project devices per household (number)
- $B_{old,p}$ = Annual quantity of woody biomass that would have been used per person in the household in the absence of the project activity to generate thermal energy equivalent to that provided by the project devices (tonnes/person/year)
- $N_{p,HH}$ = Average number of persons per household (number)

35. Where charcoal is used as the fuel by baseline (old) or project (new) devices, the quantity of woody biomass shall be determined by using a default wood to charcoal conversion factor as per the options provided in TOOL33. of 6 kg of firewood (wet basis) per kg of charcoal (dry basis).¹¹ Alternatively, credible local conversion factors determined from a field study or literature may be applied.
36. The lifetime of each type of the project devices shall be documented in the PDD based on manufacturer's specification.

¹¹ Refer to: <<http://www.ipcc-nggip.iges.or.jp/public/gl/guidelin/ch1ref3.pdf>>. The term 'wet basis' assumes that the wood is 'air-dried' as is specified in the IPCC default table.

Box 5. Non-binding best practice example 5

CDM project standard for project activities require that the project participants (PPs) describe the proposed CDM project activity in the PDD, including the information on the age and average lifetime of the equipment based on the manufacturer's specifications and industry standards.

Therefore, regardless of the option chosen in paragraph 37 of this methodology, the PPs shall provide this information.

Furthermore, the methodology allows as an option, biennial (once in 2 years) surveys, to determine the retention rates of the stoves, and as per paragraph 27 of the "General guidelines for SSC CDM methodologies (version 22.1)", it is also allowed to apply the result of the surveys for monitoring period up to 12 months after the date of the survey if the average lifetime of the units is known and is four years or more.

Lack of information on expected lifetime of the project stoves may therefore add uncertainties to retention rates and other parameters the project needs to monitor.

Project proponents therefore should choose a stove design that has predictable performance (i.e. proven to be efficient and durable under field conditions) irrespective of whether the stove is constructed in-situ or fabricated in factory.

If information on average stove performance from the project region is not available, information from another region with comparable socioeconomic conditions may be used. Choosing options 37(b) to 37(d) of the methodology will not obviate the requirement to provide information on lifetime (e.g. choosing option 37(b) will entail demonstration, through application of relevant standards and guidelines, that the chosen stoves can be operated and maintained such that there is no drop in the efficiency during the entire lifetime of the device.)

37. The loss in efficiency of the project devices i in each batch j due to aging shall be accounted during the monitoring period. For Option 1: thermal energy output (TEO) (as specified in paragraph 29) and Option 3: water boiling test (WBT) (as specified in paragraph 32), the Project participant may choose any of the options below to account for the loss in efficiency (Option 3) or decrease in the capacity (Option 1); the option should be identified and fixed ex ante for the entire crediting period in the PDD at the time of registration. However, when Option 2: kitchen performance test (KPT) (as specified in paragraph 31) or Option 4: controlled cooking test (CCT) (as specified in paragraph 33 above) is used, the requirements below are not applicable because any annual changes of the quantity of woody biomass used and any annual changes in specific fuel consumption will be captured by the KPT and CCT respectively¹²:
- (a) A default schedule of linear decrease in efficiency up to the terminal efficiency assumed as 20 per cent shall be applied through the life span of the project device¹³; or

¹² The KPT shall be conducted at representative households where the ICS has been regularly used since the beginning of the project activity in order to reflect the typical condition of the improved devices after aging. Similarly, the CCT shall be used to test the specific fuel consumption of representative devices that have been regularly in operation and subject to the regular process of replacement/maintenance introduced by the project activity since its beginning.

¹³ If the efficiency of the project devices falls below 20%, it is no longer eligible to be considered a project device.

Box 6. Non-binding best practice example 6

Option in paragraph 37(a) is illustrated with a hypothetical example, where the life span of project device is five years and project device has an efficiency of 30 per cent at commissioning.

The underlying assumptions for this sub-paragraph are:

- The stove efficiency decreases linearly over time, i.e. at a constant rate which is equal to the difference between the initial and final efficiencies divided by the lifespan of the project device.
- The final value after the end of the life span will be 20%.

That means linear decrease in efficiency from 30 per cent to terminal efficiency of 20 per cent should be applied through the life span of 5 years the project device. If fixed efficiency drop is applied on an annual basis, this would be 2 per cent decrease in efficiency every year (i.e. 30% in year 1, 28% in year 2 and 22% on year 5). However it is more accurate and conservative to consider a drop in efficiency throughout any given year of the crediting period as below:

- The decay of efficiency starts on day 1 of the operation, therefore the average efficiency of year 1 does not equal the initial efficiency; rather, it is the average of efficiency on day 1 and day 365 i.e. the average efficiency of a given year is applied for the entire year, calculated as the mid-value between the efficiency values at the start and end of that year.
- Efficiency at any other point in the year can be linearly interpolated.

This means, applicable value for stoves that operated throughout year 1 (i.e. day 1 to day 365 from the start date of the crediting period) will be the average of 30 per cent on day 1 and 28 per cent on day 365, i.e. 29 per cent. If some stoves have operated only for the part of the year 1 owing to the time required for distribution, then a daily drop in efficiency of $2/365$ i.e. 0.005 may be considered for the weighted average estimations(i.e. efficiency values of 29% in year 1, 27% in year 2 and 21% on year 5 are applied).

- (b) Manufacturer of project devices shall confirm with technical justification based on certification by a national standards body or an appropriate certifying agent recognized by that body that no decrease in efficiency of project device is envisaged during the crediting period; or
- (c) Determine¹⁴ the rate of efficiency drop for a representative sample of the first batch of project device *i* in year *y* and assume that same rate of loss in efficiency applies to all other batches. In other words, it may be assumed that the degradation of efficiency measured in a representative sample of the first batch of project devices *i* apply to all subsequent batches. The efficiency of the project devices in the first batch has to be monitored annually through representative samples and this rate of loss in efficiency may be applied correspondingly to all batches;
- (d) Determine the loss in efficiency annually from a representative sample of each batch and use the actual loss rate that is measured.

38. Project participants and coordinating/managing entities are allowed to replace the project cookstoves whose lifetime has ended with new project cookstoves for the existing

¹⁴ Example: For the representative sample of Batch 1, if the efficiency of a new project device is 30% and at the end of Year 1, the efficiency is monitored to be 29%; the loss rate is $(30\% - 29\%) / 1 = 1\%$. Then this 1% loss rate is to be assumed to be applicable for all the devices in the first batch and subsequent batches for first year of operation.

projects/CPAs as long as they are replaced within the crediting period. However, creating a new CPA or a new project for the same purpose is not allowed.

39. At the end of the life span of project devices, one of the following three options shall be demonstrated:
- (a) Project devices are replaced with the same or more efficient devices;
 - (b) Project devices are retrofitted/repared i.e. essential parts of the stoves (e.g. the burning chamber) are replaced so as to meet the additional conditions described below;
 - (c) If none of the conditions above can be demonstrated, no emission reductions can be claimed for the stoves.
40. If project devices are retrofitted/repared before or at the end of the device's estimated life span, emission reductions may be claimed for these devices during the extended lifetime only if the details of the retrofits/repairs undertaken (e.g. parts replaced, specifications followed, personnel conducting the repairs and date of retrofitting) on each device are documented and in addition, one of the following options is implemented:
- (a) Extended lifetime is demonstrated through a warranty from the original manufacturer, or a guarantee from a company with demonstrated experience in cookstove repair that assures the performance of the stove in its entirety comparable to the original device including with regard to efficiency, safety and indoor emissions; or
 - (b) Extended lifetime or the durability of the retrofitted device is demonstrated through a durability test performed according to requirements in ISO 19867-1 for durability or a comparable national standard. Certification by a relevant national standards body or an appropriate certifying agent recognized by that body (with reference to Data/Parameter Table 20 of the methodology) may be supplied based on sample tests specified by the standard applied.

5.4. Leakage

41. Leakage related to the non-renewable woody biomass saved by the project activity shall be assessed based on ex post surveys of users and the areas from which this woody biomass is sourced (using 90/30 precision for a selection of samples). The potential source of leakage due to the use/diversion of non-renewable woody biomass saved under the project activity by non-project households/users that previously used renewable energy sources shall be considered. If this leakage assessment quantifies an increase in the use of non-renewable woody biomass by the non-project households/users, that is attributable to the project activity, then $B_{old,i,j}$ is adjusted to account for the quantified leakage. Alternatively, $B_{y,savings,i,j}$ is multiplied by a net to gross adjustment factor of 0.95 to account for leakages, in which case surveys are not required.
42. Project activities switching from baseline device using firewood to efficient project device using charcoal or switching from firewood to efficient project device using processed biomass (briquette, pellets, and woodchips) shall take into account the leakage effects related to the charcoal or processed biomass production.
43. A default value of 0.030 t CH₄/t charcoal may be used in accordance with AMS-III.BG.

5.5. Data and parameters not monitored

44. In addition to the parameters listed in the tables below, the provisions on data and parameters not monitored in the tools referred to in this methodology apply.

Data / Parameter table 1.

Data / Parameter:	x_j
Data unit:	Fraction
Description:	Percentage share of fossil fuel use (a fraction representing the share of fossil fuel type j in total fossil fuel used in the region/country or project area for cooking)
Source of data:	Published literature, official reports or statistics, surveys
Measurement procedures (if any):	-
Any comment:	-

Data / Parameter table 2.

Data / Parameter:	$B_{old,p}$
Data unit:	tonnes/person/year
Description:	Annual quantity of woody biomass that would have been used per person in the household in the absence of the project activity to generate thermal energy equivalent to that provided by the project devices
Source of data:	Where applicable a value from a standardised baseline may be used as an alternative to the default value provided
Measurement procedures (if any):	Determined ex ante using one of the following options: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) A default value as provided in TOOL33 of 0.5 tonnes/capita per year¹⁵. This option is limited to household project devices (not eligible for oven and dryers). If project proponents wish to use the default value for institutions (e.g. schools, prisons), the value should be adjusted, based on the number of meals cooked¹⁶; (b) Historical data or a sample survey conducted as per the latest version of the "Standard: Sampling and surveys for CDM project activities and programme of activities"; (c) Country or region specific values approved through the "procedure for development, revision, clarification and update of standardized baselines," which are available on the CDM website <http://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/standard_base/index.html>
Any comment:	-

¹⁵ Refer to "Annex 5 – Information note on the rationale for default factors used in AMS I.E. and AMS II.G." of the SSC WG 42 meeting report.

¹⁶ For example, in case of day schools, only one meal may be prepared by schools and provided to students and staff, except during school holidays when the use of fuel may not be significant.

Data / Parameter table 3.

Data / Parameter:	$N_{p,HH}$
Data unit:	Number
Description:	Average number of persons served per household prior to project implementation
Source of data:	Established ex ante prior to project implementation based on records of households served by the project
Measurement procedures (if any):	-
Any comment:	-

Data / Parameter table 4.

Data / Parameter:	$B_{old,HH}$
Data unit:	tonnes/household/year
Description:	Annual quantity of woody biomass that would have been used in the household in the absence of the project activity to generate thermal energy equivalent to that provided by the project devices
Source of data:	This parameter shall be determined ex ante
Measurement procedures (if any):	Use one of the following options: 1. $B_{old,p}$ times $N_{p,HH}$ or; 2. Based on the historical data or a sample survey conducted as per the latest version of “sampling and surveys for CDM project activities and programme of activities”. If the monitoring period is shorter or longer than one year, the result may be extrapolated for the monitoring period
Any comment:	The value may be derived, based on the historical data or a sample survey conducted as per the latest version of “sampling and surveys for CDM project activities and programme of activities”. Paragraph 23 of “General guidelines for SSC CDM methodologies (version 22.1)” provides guidance on the use of data including historic data to derive parameter values. Values used in other schemes (e.g. registered Gold Standard carbon offset projects) from the same region are acceptable when it is demonstrated to be suitable for use as per the procedures indicated in the above general guidelines

Data / Parameter table 5.

Data / Parameter:	$B_{old,i,j}$
Data unit:	tonnes/year
Description:	Annual quantity of woody biomass that would have been used in the absence of the project activity to generate thermal energy equivalent to that provided by the project device type i and batch j
Source of data:	This parameter shall be determined ex ante
Measurement procedures (if any):	$B_{old,HH}$ divided by $N_{d,HH}$

Any comment:	$B_{old,i,j}$ equals $B_{old,HH}$ when only one project device per household is distributed. For $N_{d,HH}$, please refer to Data / Parameter table 23
--------------	--

Data / Parameter table 6.

Data / Parameter:	f_{NRB}
Data unit:	Fraction or %
Description:	Fraction of woody biomass saved by the project activity during year y that can be established as non-renewable biomass
Source of data:	-
Measurement procedures (if any):	As per the TOOL30 Determined using one of the following options: (a) Calculate a fNRB value as per TOOL30; or (b) Use a default value as provided in TOOL33; or (c) Use default values endorsed by designated national authorities and approved by the Board are available at http://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/standard_base/index.html
Any comment:	-

Data / Parameter table 7.

Data / Parameter:	SC_{old}
Data unit:	tonnes of fuel/unit output or tonnes of fuel/hour
Description:	Specific fuel consumption or fuel consumption rate of the pre-project devices
Source of data:	
Measurement procedures (if any):	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Specific fuel consumption or fuel consumption rate of the pre-project devices, that is fuel consumption per quantity of item/s processed (e.g. food cooked) or fuel consumption per hour, respectively. Specific fuel consumption or fuel consumption rate are to be determined using the CCT protocol carried out in accordance with national standards (if available) or international standards or guidelines (e.g. the CCT Protocol listed by Clean Cooking Alliance (See https://www.cleancookingalliance.org/technology-and-fuels/testing/protocols.html)). Use weighted average values if more than one type of device is being replaced (taking the amount of woody biomass consumed by each device as the weighting factor). When the CCT is conducted on a sample basis, the sampling requirements indicated in section 6.2 and guidance provided in the "Standard for sampling and surveys for CDM project activities and programme of activities" shall be followed
Any comment:	-

Data / Parameter table 8.

Data / Parameter:	$HC_{i,j}$
Data unit:	kW
Description:	Rated capacity for delivering heat as per manufacturer specification (kW)
Source of data:	-
Measurement procedures (if any):	The thermal energy shall be calculated based on the rated capacity of the project device multiplied by the number of utilization hours. Refer equation 5
Any comment:	-

Data / Parameter table 9

Data / Parameter:	$\eta_{old,i,j}$
Data unit:	Fraction
Description:	Efficiency of pre-project device
Source of data:	-
Measurement procedures (if any):	<p>The parameter may be established based on a representative sample survey of the pre-project devices and fixed ex ante (i.e. there is no need to determine baseline efficiency for each individual household when including in the project activity database). The survey is to be conducted in the applicable geographical area in line with the “Standard for sampling and surveys for CDM project activities and programmes of activities”.</p> <p>The representative sampling survey may ask whether the pre-project device is a traditional three-stone fire or another conventional device with no improved combustion air supply or flue gas ventilation.</p> <p>In that case, it is possible not to conduct efficiency tests and to use the following default efficiency values to calculate the weighted average.</p> <p>(i) 0.4 A default value provided in TOOL33, for a three-stone fire using firewood (not charcoal), or a conventional device with no improved combustion air supply or flue gas ventilation, that is without a grate or a chimney;</p> <p>(ii) 0.2 A default value provided in TOOL33, for other types of devices.</p> <p>Conducting efficiency tests on pre-project devices is not a mandatory requirement under this methodology.</p> <p>Furthermore, project participants may also conservatively assume that the efficiency of all pre-project devices is 0.2 the value indicated in (ii) above, in which case there is no need to conduct a survey to determine the weighted average efficiency referred above</p>
Monitoring frequency:	This parameter may be established prior to implementation of a project activity
QA/QC procedures:	-
Any comment:	-

6. Monitoring methodology

45. During project activity implementation, the following data shall be recorded:
- Number of new devices distributed under the project activity, identified by the type of devices and the date of commissioning (See Data / Parameter tables 21 and 22);
 - Data to unambiguously identify the recipient of the new devices distributed under the project activity (e.g. name, address, phone number).
46. In order to assess the leakage described in section 5.4 above, monitoring shall include data on the amount of woody biomass saved under the project activity that is used by non-project households/users (who previously used renewable energy sources). Other data on non-renewable woody biomass use required for leakage assessment shall also be collected.
47. Relevant parameters shall be monitored and recorded during the crediting period as indicated in section 6.1 below. The applicable requirements specified in the “General guidelines for SSC CDM methodologies” are also an integral part of the monitoring guidelines specified below and therefore shall be followed by the project participants.

6.1. Data and parameters monitored

Data / Parameter table 10.

Data / Parameter:	$N_{0,i,j}$
Data unit:	Number
Description:	Number of commissioned project devices of type i and batch j
Source of data:	Monitoring
Measurement procedures (if any):	As per paragraph 45
Monitoring frequency:	
QA/QC procedures:	-
Any comment:	-

Data / Parameter table 11.

Data / Parameter:	$n_{y,i,j}$
Data unit:	Fraction
Description:	Proportion of commissioned project devices of type i and batch j ($N_{0,i,j}$) that remain operating in year y (fraction)
Source of data:	Monitoring
Measurement procedures (if any):	Measured directly or based on a representative sample. Sampling standard shall be used for determining the sample size to achieve 90/10 confidence/precision levels. Separate samples shall be taken for each batch
Monitoring frequency:	At least once every two years (biennial)
QA/QC procedures:	-
Any comment:	-

Data / Parameter table 12.

Data / Parameter:	μ_y
Data unit:	Fraction
Description:	Adjustment to account for any continued use of pre-project devices during the year y
Source of data:	When applying equations 7 and 9, it is a fraction based on monitoring results. In other cases (i.e. applying equations 4, 6 and 8), use 1.0
Measurement procedures (if any):	<p>This parameter should be monitored using one of the following methods:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. If the pre-project devices are decommissioned and no longer used, as determined by the monitoring survey its value is 1.0. 2. If both the project devices and pre-project devices are used together, measurement campaigns shall be undertaken using data loggers/sensors such as stove utilization monitors (SUMs) which can log the operation of all devices (recording the situation of the device being used or not during any day 'd' of the measurement campaign) in order to determine the average device utilization intensity (to establish the relative share of the usage of the devices). The measurement campaign shall be conducted in at least 10 randomly selected participant households of the project activity or the component project activity (CPA) for at least 90 days during the year y. If seasonal variation is observed, the average value determined through the campaign shall be annualised taking into account seasonal variation of device utilization. If this option is chosen by project participants, the value determined using the data loggers/sensors shall be applied. 3. Alternatively, surveys may be conducted if the use of data loggers to record the continued operation of baseline devices is demonstrated to be not practical, for example when the baseline device is the three-stone fire. The surveys should be designed to capture the cooking habits and stove usage of households in the region, including quantification of use of baseline devices, by formulating questions and/or collecting evidences to determine the frequency of usage of both the project devices and baseline devices. For example, if there were 3 pre-project devices per household and it was determined during the survey that use of one of them continues during the crediting period then a conservative adjustment factor of 0.66 is applied for the relevant monitoring period. Another example would be the case where there was only one pre-project device per household and its use during the project period continues along with the project stove to meet 25% of the cooking needs of the household in which case the adjustment factor will be 0.75. Where a more precise data is available, i.e. the thermal capacity of the project and pre-project devices and respective utilization hours, a weighted average adjustment factor may be used. If this option is chosen by project participants, the average minus one standard deviation value determined using the questionnaire surveys shall be applied.

Monitoring frequency:	At least once every two years (biennial)
QA/QC procedures:	-
Any comment:	<p>1. If equation (8) under option 3 (WBT) is used combined with direct measurement of Biomass new, then μ_y may be assumed as 1.0. For subsequent years, the value of 1.0 may be applied, only if it can be demonstrated through either measurement campaign or questionnaire survey for a sample of households established according to the sampling standard that pre-project devices are not used in parallel with the project devices during the monitoring period. This is required even in cases where pre-project devices were demonstrated to be decommissioned ex-ante in order to ensure that such devices and alike have not been reintroduced. Otherwise, measurement campaign or equivalent shall be undertaken to determine this parameter. Project participants may choose to directly monitor the biomass consumption annually in the project device" instead of determining μ_y by measurement campaign or survey in a similar manner as the measurement of $B_{y=1,new,i,j,survey}$.</p> <p>2. When the data loggers are used, the days when only project devices or only pre-project devices are used will be attributed accordingly. The days where both devices have been used, if the data loggers are able to detect and record the time each device has been used (e.g. in hours), the share in the total duration of utilization will be used to attribute a fraction of this day to one or to the other device. Alternatively, if the data loggers are not able to determine the duration of the utilization, but only the situation of the device being on or off (i.e. used or not used during that day), the share of 50:50 may be used</p>

Data / Parameter table 13.

Data / Parameter:	$t_{y,i,j}$
Data unit:	Number of hours
Description:	Number of hours of utilization of the device during the year y
Source of data:	-
Measurement procedures (if any):	<p>The rated capacity shall be based on the manufacturer specification.</p> <p>The number of utilization hours shall be estimated at least once every two years (annually or biennially). The biennial survey shall follow a 95 per cent confidence interval and a 10 per cent margin of error in accordance with the "Standard for sampling and surveys for CDM project activities and programme of activities"</p>
Monitoring frequency:	Yearly
QA/QC procedures:	-
Any comment:	-

Data / Parameter table 14.

Data / Parameter:	$\eta_{\text{new},i,j}$
Data unit:	Fraction
Description:	Efficiency of the device of each type <i>i</i> and batch <i>j</i> implemented as part of the project activity
Source of data:	-
Measurement procedures (if any):	<p>Efficiency shall be measured/estimated as per the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The efficiency of the project devices shall be based on certification by a national standards body or an appropriate certifying agent recognized by that body. 2. Alternatively, manufacturer specifications on efficiency based on water boiling test (WBT) may be used. The WBT shall be carried out in accordance with national standards (if available) or international standards or guidelines (e.g. the WBT Protocol^{17,18} or ISO 19867-1 listed by Clean Cooking Alliance (See https://www.cleancookingalliance.org/technology-and-fuels/testing/protocols.html)). For 1 and 2 above, the sampling test of stoves by such certification bodies/agents or manufacturers shall be conducted following a 90/10 precision in accordance with the "Standard for sampling and surveys for CDM project activities and programme of activities". 3. However, the following simplified approach may be used, when the efficient cookstoves are produced by a manufacturer with a recognized management system in place (e.g. ISO certification) to ensure that the individual equipment produced do not vary beyond the range of acceptance limits (e.g. characteristics such as materials, critical dimensions): <ol style="list-style-type: none"> (i) Conduct a sample test on three cookstoves with three tests conducted for each stove. The test can be carried out by project proponents by themselves or stove manufacturers; (ii) If the standard deviation of the nine test results indicated above is very small and 90/10 precision requirement is met (in this case, the value of the t-distribution for 90 per cent confidence shall be used instead of Z value), the efficiency determined is acceptable, otherwise more sample tests would be required until 90/10 precision is met. 4. For project activities that implement cookstoves with saucepan capacities both greater than 30 L as well as smaller than 30 L, the most conservative value among the results of efficiency tests conducted (i.e. the least efficiency determined) on cookstoves of sizes equal to or smaller than 30 L may be used for stoves that

¹⁷ PPs/CMEs may conduct only the first two phases of the stove tests: cold-start high-power phase and hot-start high-power phase (not including the simmer phase) for calculation of the high-power thermal efficiency.

¹⁸ The guidance provided in the WBT protocol may be followed for calibration of testing equipment.

	<p>are larger than 30 L in lieu of actual testing of the efficiency of stoves that are above 30 L capacity. The simplified approach above may also be used to comply with eligibility requirements under paragraph 3 and can be used only if the following conditions are met:</p> <p>(i) Stoves that can hold saucepans that are larger than 30 L are from the same manufacturer¹⁹ and of similar design (e.g. with respect to construction materials including insulation material, placement of grate, cooking vessels and if applicable chimney) as compared to the stoves that are smaller than 30 L;</p> <p>(ii) Project proponents should demonstrate that comparable repair and maintenance practices are undertaken on all project stoves, irrespective of the size</p>
Monitoring frequency:	<p>(i) Recorded at the time of commissioning/distribution;</p> <p>(ii) Adjusted for the loss of efficiency as paragraph 37</p>
QA/QC procedures:	-
Any comment:	Follow provisions in paragraph 37 to account for loss in efficiency of the project devices

Data / Parameter table 15.

Data / Parameter:	$NCV_{biomass}$
Data unit:	TJ/tonne
Description:	Net calorific value of the non-renewable woody biomass, briquettes or charcoal used in project devices
Source of data:	-
Measurement procedures (if any):	<p>IPCC default for wood fuel, 0.0156 TJ/tonne, based on the gross weight of the wood that is 'air-dried' may be used if fuel used in project device is also woody biomass.</p> <p>If briquette is used as project fuel, NCV shall be measured annually</p>
Monitoring frequency:	Yearly
QA/QC procedures:	-
Any comment:	-

Data / Parameter table 16.

Data / Parameter:	$SC_{new,i,j}$
Data unit:	tonnes of fuel/unit output or tonnes of fuel/hour
Description:	Specific fuel consumption or fuel consumption rate during year y of the device(s) of type i deployed as part of the project that is fuel consumption per quantity of item/s processed (e.g. food cooked) or fuel consumption per hour respectively with the age a
Source of data:	-

¹⁹ For in-situ constructed stoves, show that the prefabricated components are sourced from the same supplier.

Measurement procedures (if any):	As per paragraph 33, using the controlled cooking test (CCT) procedure. The CCT shall be carried out in accordance with national standards (if available) or international standards or guidelines (e.g. the CCT Protocol listed by Clean Cooking Alliance (See https://www.cleancookingalliance.org/technology-and-fuels/testing/protocols.html)). When the CCT is conducted on a sample basis, the sampling requirements indicated in section 6.2 and guidance provided in the "Standard for sampling and surveys for CDM project activities and programme of activities" shall be followed
Monitoring frequency:	Yearly
QA/QC procedures:	-
Any comment:	-

Data / Parameter table 17.

Data / Parameter:	$f_{NRB,y}$
Data unit:	Fraction or %
Description:	Fraction of woody biomass saved by the project activity during year y that can be established as non-renewable biomass
Source of data:	-
Measurement procedures (if any):	As per the TOOL30
Monitoring frequency:	Yearly
QA/QC procedures:	-
Any comment:	Applicable, only if project proponents opt for annual monitoring instead of fixing the value ex ante at the beginning of each crediting period

Data / Parameter table 18.

Data / Parameter:	$B_{y=1,new,i,j,survey}$
Data unit:	Tonnes
Description:	Quantity of woody biomass used by project devices in tonnes per device of type i
Source of data:	Sample survey of end user or direct measurement at each end user locations

Measurement procedures (if any):	Determined in the first year of the introduction of the devices (e.g. during the first year of the crediting period, $y=1$) through measurement campaigns at representative households and/or sample survey. Sample surveys to estimate this parameter, that are solely based on questionnaires or interviews (i.e. that do not implement measurement campaigns) may only be used if the following conditions are satisfied: Pre-project devices have been completely decommissioned and only efficient project device(s) are exclusively used in the project households; If multiple devices are used in the project, it is possible from the results of the survey questions to clearly differentiate the quantity of woody biomass being used by each device. In other words, if more than one device, or another device that consumes woody biomass, are in use in project households, then the sample survey needs to distinguish the quantity of biomass used by the project device and the other devices that use biomass
Monitoring frequency:	First year of installation
QA/QC procedures:	-
Any comment:	-

Data / Parameter table 19.

Data / Parameter:	$B_{new,KPT,i,j}$
Data unit:	Tonnes/year
Description:	Annual quantity of woody biomass used in tonnes per project device of type i
Source of data:	Sample survey
Measurement procedures (if any):	Measured as per the KPT protocol. The KPT shall be carried out in accordance with national standards (if available) or international standards or guidelines (e.g. the KPT Protocol listed by Clean Cooking Alliance (See https://www.cleancookingalliance.org/technology-and-fuels/testing/protocols.html)). The days selected for measurement of fuel consumption shall take into account seasonal/weekly variations in fuel consumption, or else the data from the measurement campaign shall be extrapolated in order to take into account the seasonal pattern
Monitoring frequency:	Annual monitoring of the quantity of woody biomass used in tonnes per project device of type i and batch j
QA/QC procedures:	-
Any comment:	-

Box 7. Non-binding best practice example 7

The validity of the results of the annual KPT survey

- The results of the annual KPT survey may be applied both for the period before the survey date and for the period after the survey date, but the gap between consecutive annual KPT surveys (i.e. the gap between the start date of the first KPT survey and the start date of the second KPT survey) shall not be more than 12 months.
- If this is not the case, i.e. if the period of time between surveys is longer than 12 months, then a CME may request a temporary deviation from the monitoring plan by following the procedure described in section 6.3 of the "CDM Project Cycle Procedure for PoAs".

Data / Parameter table 20.

Data / Parameter:	Life Span
Data unit:	Number of years
Description:	The operating life time of the project device. The life span should be reported in cases where the PPs are opting to account the efficiency loss as per paragraph 37
Source of data:	Manufacturer (certified by a national standards body or an appropriate certifying agent recognized by that body)
Measurement procedures (if any):	-
Monitoring frequency:	Fixed and recorded at the time of commissioning/distribution
QA/QC procedures:	-
Any comment:	-

Data / Parameter table 21.

Data / Parameter:	Date of commissioning of batch <i>j</i>
Data unit:	Date
Description:	To establish the date of commissioning, the Project Participant may opt to group the devices in "batches" and the latest date of commissioning of a device within the batch shall be used as the date of commissioning for the entire batch
Source of data:	Internal records
Measurement procedures (if any):	-
Monitoring frequency:	Fixed and recorded at the time of commissioning/distribution of the last project device in the batch
QA/QC procedures:	-
Any comment:	To be reported in the monitoring report

Data / Parameter table 22.

Data / Parameter:	Date of commissioning of project device <i>i</i>
Data unit:	Date
Description:	Actual date of commissioning of the project device

Source of data:	Internal records
Measurement procedures (if any):	-
Monitoring frequency:	Fixed and recorded at the time of commissioning/distribution
QA/QC procedures:	-
Any comment:	-

Data / Parameter table 23.

Data / Parameter:	$N_{d,HH}$
Data unit:	Number
Description:	Number of project devices distributed per household
Source of data:	Internal records
Measurement procedures (if any):	-
Monitoring frequency:	Recorded at the time of commissioning/distribution of project devices
QA/QC procedures:	-
Any comment:	The results of ex post usage/monitoring survey should not be used to determine the value

6.2. Representative sampling methods

48. A statistically valid sample of the locations where the devices are deployed, with consideration, in the sampling design, of occupancy and demographic differences can be used to determine parameter values used to calculate emission reductions, as per the relevant requirements for sampling in the “Standard for sampling and surveys for CDM project activities and programmes of activities”. When biennial inspection is chosen a 95 per cent confidence interval and a 10 per cent margin of error shall be achieved for the sampling parameter. On the other hand, when the project proponent chooses to inspect annually, a 90 per cent confidence interval and a 10 per cent margin of error shall be achieved for the sampled parameters. ~~In cases where survey results indicate that 90/10 precision or 95/10 precision are not achieved, the lower bound of the 90 per cent or 95 per cent confidence interval of the parameter value may be chosen as an alternative to repeating the survey efforts to achieve the 90/10 or 95/10 precision.~~
49. Efficiency of devices may be monitored in a common survey with other monitoring parameters; therefore, a random sub-sample within the common survey can be taken for which stove efficiency is tested, as long as the required precision for stove efficiency is achieved.

6.3. Project activity under a programme of activities

50. The use of this methodology in a project activity under a programme of activities is legitimate if the following leakages are estimated and accounted for, as required on a sample basis using a 90/30 precision for the selection of samples:
- (a) Use of non-renewable woody biomass saved under the project activity to justify the baseline of other CDM project activities can also be a potential source of leakage. If this leakage assessment quantifies a portion of non-renewable woody biomass

saved under the project activity that is then used as the baseline of other CDM project activities then $B_{old,i,j}$ is adjusted to account for the quantified leakage;

- (b) Increase in the use of non-renewable woody biomass outside the project boundary to create non-renewable woody biomass baselines can also be a potential source of leakage. If this leakage assessment quantifies an increase in the use of non-renewable woody biomass outside the project boundary then $B_{old,i,j}$ is adjusted to account for the quantified leakage;
 - (c) As an alternative to subparagraphs (a) and (b) $B_{old,i,j}$ can be multiplied by a net to gross adjustment factor of 0.95²⁰ to account for both leakages, in which case surveys are not required.
51. To determine the value of the fraction of non-renewable biomass (fNRB) to be applied in a Component Project Activity (CPA) of a PoA, use one of the two options as follows: (a) Conduct local studies to determine the local fNRB value (sub national values)²¹ as per the TOOL30; or (b) Use default national values approved by the Board (see footnote 6)^{22,23}. The choice of which option to use shall be made ex ante. However, a switch from a national value of fNRB (i.e. option (b)) to local values (i.e. option (a)) is permitted, under the condition that the selected approach is consistently applied to all CPAs.²⁴
52. Monitoring approaches for $B_{y,savings,i,j}$ ²⁵ and values for parameters fNRB (when Option (a) in paragraph 51 is chosen) and the quantity of woody biomass $B_{old,i,j}$ may be determined either at the CPA level before the inclusion of the CPA or at the PoA level before the registration of the PoA-DD.

²⁰ Paragraph 41 and paragraph 50 of the methodology allow the use of a net to gross adjustment factor of 0.95 in lieu of conducting a survey to account for leakage emissions. In the case of a CPA opting to apply the adjustment factor, the adjustment factor is only applied once, i.e. either paragraph 41 or paragraph 50(c) is applied. Also, the adjustment factor does not need to be applied twice for option (a) and (b).

²¹ If the project boundary covers the entire country, then it is permitted that studies be conducted at the national level to determine the fNRB value under option (a).

²² In the absence of a national value, the default globally applicable of fNRB value from the TOOL33 0.3 may be treated as national value.

²³ After registration of a PoA that applies the default conservative value from the TOOL33 of 0.30, if a national value is approved by the Board, CMEs may request a post-registration change to use that national value.

²⁴ The determination of fNRB of all CPAs under the PoA shall follow the option that is defined by the PoA-DD. This includes new CPAs to be included to the PoA and the approach has to be consistent amongst all CPAs. The new fNRB value should be calculated as per TOOL 30 and the value obtained by the tool may be applied irrespective of whether it is lower, equal or higher than the default value mentioned in the tool.

²⁵ Any one of the four options in paragraphs 29 to 33 may be used for a particular CPA, but there should be no change in the chosen option during the crediting period.

53. If the generic CPA consists solely of units that qualify as “microscale CDM units”²⁶ as defined in the “TOOL19: Demonstration of additionality of microscale project activities”, the conditions to ensure that CPAs that will be included meet the small-scale or microscale thresholds and remain within those thresholds throughout the crediting period of the CPAs are not required.

DRAFT

²⁶ Refer to the latest version of TOOL19 on the CDM website. In accordance with version 9.0 of TOOL19, to qualify as microscale unit, it is required to demonstrate that: (a) energy savings at a scale of no more than 20 GWh per year is achieved; and (b) end users of the technology/measure are households, communities or SMEs; and (c) penetration of high efficiency biomass fired devices (e.g. energy efficient cookstoves) is equal to or less than 5 per cent of the technologies/measures (providing similar services) in the region.

Appendix 1. Definition of regions

1. The table below lists the NA-I countries into six regions primarily based on the definition of “developing regions” used by the United Nations Development programme <<http://hdr.undp.org/en/content/developing-regions>> but with some modifications for the purpose of this CDM methodology. This classification is for the limited purpose of determining a simple regional default value for fossil fuel emission factor (i.e. emission factor for the substitution of non-renewable woody biomass by similar consumers) for optional use by the project developers under equation 2 of this methodology.

Table 1. Classification for developing regions

Developing region	Countries
Middle East and North Africa	Algeria, Bahrain, Djibouti, Egypt, Iraq, Jordan, Kuwait, Lebanon, Libya, Morocco, Oman, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, Somalia, Sudan, Syrian Arab Republic, Tunisia, United Arab Emirates, Yemen, <i>Israel</i>
East Asia and the Pacific	Cambodia, China, Fiji, Indonesia, Kiribati, Democratic People's Republic of Korea, Lao People's Democratic Republic, Malaysia, Marshall Islands, Federated States of Micronesia, Mongolia, Myanmar, Nauru, Palau, Papua New Guinea, Philippines, Samoa, Solomon Islands, Thailand, Timor-Leste, Tonga, Tuvalu, Vanuatu, Viet Nam, <i>Cook Islands, Brunei Darussalam, Republic of Korea, Niue, Singapore</i>
Europe and Central Asia	Albania, Armenia, Azerbaijan, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Georgia, Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Republic of Moldova, Montenegro, Serbia, Tajikistan, The Republic of North Macedonia, Turkmenistan, Uzbekistan, <i>San Marino</i>
Latin America and the Caribbean	Antigua and Barbuda, Argentina, Bahamas, Barbados, Belize, Plurinational State of Bolivia, Brazil, Chile, Colombia, Costa Rica, Cuba, Dominica, Dominican Republic, Ecuador, El Salvador, Grenada, Guatemala, Guyana, Haiti, Honduras, Jamaica, Mexico, Nicaragua, Panama, Paraguay, Peru, Saint Kitts and Nevis, Saint Lucia, Saint Vincent and the Grenadines, Suriname, Trinidad and Tobago, Uruguay, Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela
South Asia	Afghanistan, Bangladesh, Bhutan, India, Islamic Republic of Iran, Maldives, Nepal, Pakistan, Sri Lanka
Sub-Saharan Africa	Angola, Benin, Botswana, Burkina Faso, Burundi, Cabo Verde, Cameroon, Central African Republic, Chad, Comoros, Congo, Democratic Republic of the Congo, Côte d'Ivoire, Equatorial Guinea, Eritrea, Ethiopia, Gabon, Gambia, Ghana, Guinea, Guinea-Bissau, Kenya, Lesotho, Liberia, Madagascar, Malawi, Mali, Mauritania, Mauritius, Mozambique, Namibia, Niger, Nigeria, Rwanda, Sao Tomé and Príncipe, Senegal, Seychelles, Sierra Leone, South Africa, Eswatini (Kingdom of), United Republic of Tanzania, Togo, Uganda, Zambia, Zimbabwe

Appendix 2. Non-binding survey questionnaire

1. Survey format A: Baseline fuel consumption pattern

1.1. General information¹

Title of project activity/CPA/PoA	
Name of Surveyor	
Date of survey	mm/dd/yyyy
Period of measurements (for consumption rate)	mm/dd/yyyy to mm/dd/yyyy

1.2. Household profile²

Name (Household representative)	
Household size (total number of people)	
- Adult	
- Children	
Address	
Phone number (if available)	

1.3. Stove description prior to the project implementation³

(mark x with type of stove used)

"A three-stone fire, or a conventional system with no improved combustion air supply or flue gas ventilation system, i.e. Without a grate or chimney".	
Any other type of stove	

1.4. Household fuel consumption pattern prior to the project implementation⁴

How many meals did you prepare last week or last month?	Meals/week or month
---	---------------------

¹ Selection of households should be based on a sampling plan.

² If the survey is done biennially, it may be designed to capture the results for each year separately (e.g. the survey may ask for the utilization hours for year 1 and for year 2 separately).

³ An "X" shall be filled in in one of the two alternatives. If the stove does not have a chimney or a grate, then "X" should be filled out for "Any other type of stoves". Such a stove would then be considered an improved cookstove.

⁴ In many cases, the end-user might not be able to provide information on quantity of cooking fuel in terms units mentioned above. In many places the volume of firewood (e.g. the volume capacity and level of filling of the transporting/storage room) is measured, not its weight. This very much depends on the local practice of measurement. The project participants should include such local measurement unit in the questionnaire. In some cases, the measurement unit could also be in terms of money spent on purchasing the fuel. Therefore, the project participant shall provide further guidelines for how the conversion of these reported values to required units (mass or volume) should be carried out (e.g. If a household uses a bag of charcoal every 10 days, then the monthly average can be calculated if the weight (or volume and bulk density) of the full bag can be determined.).

1.4.1. Fuel use for cooking

	Yes/No	Quantity of usage	Unit
Charcoal			kg/month or year
Wood			kg/month or year
LPG			kg or Cylinders/month or year
Kerosene			Litres/month or year
Coal			kg/month or year
Electricity			kWh/month or year
Other fuels (explain)			

2. Survey format B: Project survey**2.1. General information⁵**

Title of project activity/CPA/PoA	
Name of Surveyor	
Date of survey	mm/dd/yyyy
Period of measurements (for consumption rate)	mm/dd/yyyy to mm/dd/yyyy

2.2. Household profile

Name (Household representative)	DRAFT
Household size (total number of people)	
- Adult	
- Children	
Address	
Phone number (if available)	

2.3. Household fuel consumption pattern post the project implementation

Cooking device	
Model name/number	
Unique ID	
Date of installation	mm/dd/yyyy
Do you use the project cookstove? (Physically check the stove). ⁶	Yes/No
- If yes, have you used the stove regularly since you installed it? ⁷	Yes/No
- If yes, is your stove in good condition? ⁸	Yes/No
- If no, why did you stop using the stove?	

⁵ Selection of households should be based on a sampling plan.

⁶ The question is to determine if the cookstove is currently in use, i.e. to address the parameter of “usage factor”. Physical checks to verify the usage may be done by checking the conditions of stoves, e.g. warm to touch, ashes in grate, and soot on stove.

⁷ The question is to determine if the cookstove has been continuously used.

⁸ The project proponent may rephrase the question keeping in mind the objective, i.e. whether or not the project cookstove is in usable condition. If the project cookstove is not in usable condition, the PP shall exclude such stoves from project database of the whole crediting year and subsequent years. The PP may include such stoves again on replacing them with new cookstoves of similar efficiency.

- How many meals did you prepare using project cookstove last week or last month?	Meals/week or month
Do you use your traditional (baseline) cookstove also?	Yes/No
- If yes, how many meals did you prepare using traditional (baseline) cookstove last week or last month? ⁹	Meals/week or month
Do you use any other stove? (ICS etc.) ¹⁰	Yes/No
If yes, list the types and number of other non-project stoves	
How many times a week do you use the non-project stoves?	
How much do you spend on fuel for cooking/type of cooking device in a week/month?	

2.3.1. Fuel use for cooking¹¹

	Yes/No	Quantity of usage	Unit	Money spent on fuel/month/year
Charcoal			kg/month or year	
Wood			kg/month or year	
LPG			kg or Cylinders/month or year	
Kerosene			Litres/month or year	
Coal			kg/month or year	
Electricity			kWh/month or year	
Other fuels (explain)				

⁹ The question is to determine if the baseline stove is being used to account for project emissions.

¹⁰ The question is to cross-check if the project cookstove is used for all cooking requirements. It may also detect the situation where a household is taking part in more than one project activity, avoiding double-counting.

¹¹ In many cases, the end-user might not be able to provide information on quantity of cooking fuel in terms units mentioned above. In many places the volume of firewood (e.g. the volume capacity and level of filling of the transporting/storage room) is measured, not its weight. This very much depends on the local practice of measurement. The project participants should include such local measurement unit in the questionnaire. In some cases, the measurement unit could also be in terms of money. Therefore, the project participant shall provide further guidelines for how the conversion of these reported values to required units (mass or volume) should be carried out (e.g. If a household uses a bag of charcoal every 10 days, then the monthly average can be calculated if the weight (or volume and bulk density) of the full bag can be determined).

Document information

<i>Version</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Description</i>
13.0	13 July 2022	<p>MP 88, Annex 15</p> <p>To be considered by the Board at EB 115.</p> <p>A call for public input will be issued for this draft document. Any input will be discussed with the MP and forwarded to the Board for consideration.</p> <p>Revision to introduce a reference to TOOL33.</p>
12.0	14 December 2020	<p>EB 108, Annex 10</p> <p>Revision to includes best practice examples for stove stacking.</p>
11.1	5 June 2020	<p>Editorial revisions to correct cross-references throughout the document.</p>
11.0	28 November 2019	<p>EB 105, Annex 8</p> <p>Revision to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Introduce regional default fossil fuel emission factors and an alternative for the project participant to calculate the fossil fuel emission factor, as explained in CDM-MP80-A16; • Clarify monitoring requirements; • Include non-binding best-practice examples; • Incorporate the responses to clarification requests: SSC_733, SSC_739, SSC_742, SSC_743, SSC_744, SSC_746, SSC_752, SSC_753, SSC_759 and SSC_760.
10.0	31 August 2018	<p>EB 100, Annex 12</p> <p>Revision to include simplified provision for automatic additionality (if market penetration is less than or equal to 5 percent).</p>
09.0	1 November 2017	<p>EB 97, Annex 11</p> <p>Revision to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Revise the emission factor of “substitution fuels likely to be used by similar users”; • Include an example survey form; • Clarify monitoring requirements; • Refer to the methodological tool “Calculation of the fraction of non-renewable biomass” (TOOL30).
08.0	22 July 2016	<p>EB 90, Annex 13</p> <p>Revision to include the procedures to quantify baseline woody biomass consumption for the entire household.</p>
07.0	24 July 2015	<p>EB 85, Annex 14</p> <p>Revision to simplify the baseline emission equation, determination of efficiency and monitoring parameters.</p>
06.0	21 February 2014	<p>EB 77, Annex 11</p>

<i>Version</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Description</i>
		Revision to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Introduce simplified approaches to determine the thermal efficiency of project devices; • Introduce default values for baseline fuel wood consumption.
05.0	23 November 2012	EB 70, Annex 30 Includes clarification on monitoring requirements under different options; and provides a provision of wood to charcoal conversion factor.
04.0	20 July 2012	EB 68, Annex 23 Includes a reference to the available country specific default values for fNRB and specifies requirements of using national or local fNRB values for CPAs under a PoA.
03	15 April 2011	EB 60, Annex 21 KPT for stove testing included, requirements for leakage estimation simplified, default net gross adjustment factor is included as an option to account for any leakages, emission factor for the projected fossil fuel revised, more options for sampling and survey included.
02	04 December 2009	EB 51, Annex 18 To include: (a) Default efficiency factors for baseline cookstoves; (b) Procedures for sampling, (c) Revised procedures for determination of quantity of woody biomass that can be considered as non-renewable; and (d) Clarifications as to which leakage requirements are appropriate for projects versus PoAs.
01	01 February 2008	EB 37, Annex 7 Initial adoption.

Decision Class: Regulatory

Document Type: Standard

Business Function: Methodology

Keywords: biomass, retrofit, simplified methodologies, thermal energy production, type (ii) projects