

CDM-AP86

Meeting report

CDM Accreditation Panel meeting

Version 01.0

Date of meeting: 24 and 25 February 2020

Place of meeting: Bonn, Germany



United Nations
Framework Convention on
Climate Change

TABLE OF CONTENTS		Page
AGENDA ITEM 1.	AGENDA AND MEETING ORGANIZATION	3
Agenda item 1.1.	Opening.....	3
Agenda item 1.2.	Adoption of the agenda.....	3
AGENDA ITEM 2.	GOVERNANCE AND MANAGEMENT MATTERS	3
Agenda item 2.1.	Performance management	3
Agenda item 2.2.	Matters related to the panel	4
AGENDA ITEM 3.	RULINGS (CASE-SPECIFIC MATTERS).....	4
AGENDA ITEM 4.	REGULATORY MATTERS	4
AGENDA ITEM 5.	RELATIONS WITH FORUMS AND OTHER STAKEHOLDERS	4
AGENDA ITEM 6.	CONCLUSION OF THE MEETING	5

Agenda item 1. Agenda and meeting organization

Agenda item 1.1. Opening

1. Mr. Kazunari Kainou, Chair of the Clean Development Mechanism Accreditation Panel (hereinafter referred to as the CDM-AP), welcomed members and opened the meeting.
2. The CDM-AP noted that Ms. Verónica Garcia de Solorzano was unable to attend the meeting. She provided proper justification for her absence.
3. The CDM-AP noted that Mr. Amjad Abdulla was unable to attend the second day of the meeting.
4. Table 1 below represents the attendance of members at the meeting, which was held on 24 and 25 February 2020. The names in bold print represent attendance at the meeting.

Table 1.

Chair and Vice Chair	Members
Mr. Kazunari Kainou (Chair)	Mr. Martin Enderlin
Mr. Amjad Abdulla (Vice-Chair)	Mr. Ricardo Esparta
	Mr. Anil Jauhri
	Ms. Anastasia Northland
	Ms. Verónica de Solorzano

Agenda item 1.2. Adoption of the agenda

5. The CDM-AP adopted the agenda of the meeting as presented.
6. The CDM-AP considered information provided by members, the Chair and the Vice-Chair with respect to any potential conflict of interest.

Agenda item 2. Governance and management matters

Agenda item 2.1. Performance management

7. The CDM-AP took note of a report on the achievements made against the 2019 assessment plan and on the assessment plan for 2020.
8. The CDM-AP took note of information provided for the purpose of designated operational entity (DOE) performance monitoring.
9. The CDM-AP took note of a report on delays of more than seven days in ongoing assessments.
10. The CDM-AP considered the outcome of the performance monitoring of experts on the CDM accreditation roster of experts (ARoE) and agreed on appropriate actions.

11. The CDM-AP considered the annual impartiality analysis prepared in accordance with paragraphs 15 and 16 of the “Procedure: Selection and performance evaluation of experts on the CDM accreditation roster of experts” and had no further input on the mitigation actions presented by the secretariat.
12. The CDM-AP considered whether to launch a call for experts to serve on the CDM ARoE and agreed that in view of fewer submissions and assessments being carried out, the resources on the roster are sufficient to cover current levels of activities. Accordingly, the CDM-AP recommended to the Board that at present there is no need to launch a call for experts to serve on the roster.
13. The CDM-AP took note of information pertaining to the form “Work plan for the CDM Assessment Team” (CDM-WP-FORM).

Agenda item 2.2. Matters related to the panel

14. The CDM-AP took note of the outcomes of the 105th meeting of the Board that are relevant to the work of the CDM-AP.
15. The CDM-AP took note of the tentative schedule of CDM-AP meetings for 2020.

Agenda item 3. Rulings (case-specific matters)

16. The CDM-AP considered one re-accreditation case. The CDM-AP’s recommendation on this case will be submitted to the Board in confidence.
17. The CDM-AP considered three regular surveillance cases. The CDM-AP’s notifications on these cases will be submitted to the Board in confidence.
18. The CDM-AP considered four performance assessments. The CDM-AP’s notifications on these cases will be submitted to the Board in confidence.
19. The CDM-AP considered 13 notifications of change.

Agenda item 4. Regulatory matters

20. The CDM-AP provided input on the revised “Procedure: Performance monitoring of designated operational entities”. The work was carried out in response to the mandate from the Board at its 105th meeting to revise the procedure in consultation with the CDM-AP, for consideration by the Board at its next meeting.
21. The CDM-AP provided input on the proposed amendments to the “CDM accreditation procedure”. The work was carried out as the temporary modification regarding the frequency of performance assessments was due to expire 28 May 2020 and to align the procedure with the amendments proposed to the “Procedure: Performance monitoring of designated operational entities”.

Agenda item 5. Relations with forums and other stakeholders

22. The CDM-AP interacted with the DOE/AIE Coordination Forum Chair, Mr. Werner Betzenbichler. The CDM-AP took note of the forum's input about the revised

“Procedure: Performance monitoring of designated operational entities” and thanked Mr. Betzenbichler for the interaction via audio conference.

Agenda item 6. Conclusion of the meeting

23. The CDM-AP approved the report of the 86th meeting and thanked the Chair and the Vice-Chair, in absentia, for their commitment and fine chairmanship.
24. The CDM-AP Chair closed the meeting.

- - - - -

Document information

<i>Version</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Description</i>
01.0	27 February 2020	CDM-AP86 meeting report. Initial publication.
Decision Class: Operational Document Type: Meeting report Business Function: Business Keywords: CDM-AP, reporting procedure		