

Approved standardized baseline clarification request form (Version 01.0)

To be used by an enquirer when submitting requests for clarification of approved standardized baselines in accordance with "Procedure: Development, revision, clarification and update of standardized baselines" (CDM-EB63-A28-PROC).

INFORMATION TO BE COMPLETED BY ENQUIRERS

Title/Subject: (Provide a short title or specify the subject of your submission, maximum 200 characters)	Clarification on ASB0035 "Baseline woody biomass consumption for household cookstoves in Kenya"
Reference (number, title and version) of the approved standardized baseline to which the request for clarification applies:	ASB0035: Baseline woody biomass consumption for household cookstoves in Kenya (version 01.0)
Name of the enquirer:	Johann Thaler
Affiliation:	 Designated National Authority (DNA) Designated Operational Entity (DOE) Project Participant (PP) Coordinating/ Managing Entity (CME) Other Stakeholder
Contact Information of the enquirer: (Email-addresses and phone contacts for procedural and technical communication on the submission)	Managing Director mkaarbon safari GmbH Email: johannfranz.thaler@gmail.com or johann.thaler @mkaarbonsafari.com
Name of authorized officer signing for the enquirer:	Johann Thaler
Date (DD/MM/YYYY) and signature for the enquirer:	13/05/2019

Clarification request

Please substantiate the queries relating to the application of the approved standardized baselines. If the queries are related to a project activity or programme of activities under development or implementation, please describe the context in which they arose. If necessary, attach files or refer to sources of relevant information.

Paragraph 3(c)) of ASB0035 mentions the following:

'The standardized values are applicable to households using only firewood and/or charcoal in the pre-project scenario as a cooking fuel; households using LPG and/or kerosene in the pre-project scenario as a cooking

fuel are not eligible to apply the standardized values in this document¹'; with footnote 1 saying the following 'One way to demonstrate this condition is to check and record fuel use at the time of distribution of the project stove'.

However, it is not clear whether this paragraph refers to excluding households only which use LPG/kerosene

CDM-ASC-FORM

as their **main (primary) fuel** or excludes all households which consume LPG/kerosene independent on the number of meals which are cooked on LPG/kerosene stoves.

Example 1: Assuming a household cooks 3 times a day, i.e. 21 meals a week and uses for 16 meals LPG and/or kerosene and for the remaining 5 meals firewood. It is quite clear that in this case the household would not be eligible using the SBL.

Example 2: Assuming a household cooks 3 times a day, i.e. 21 meals a week and uses for 5 meals LPG and/or kerosene and for the remaining 16 meals firewood. Can this household be accounted for under the SBL?

Example 3: Assuming a household cooks 3 times a day, i.e. 21 meals a week and uses for 10 meals LPG and/or kerosene and for the remaining 11 meals firewood. In this case it would be more or less 50:50. Can this household be accounted for under the SBL?

The carbon consultant mkaarbon safari did some research on the references listed in the Appendix/Table 1 and found out that at least 3 references, namely Kituyi et al (2001), PA 6549 and PoA 7734 take into account a certain proportion of LPG/kerosene stove use in their baseline surveys (please find attached the documents with highlighted sections), which obviously implicitly has been taken into account when determining the standardised values in Table 1. Hence, mkaarbon safari is of the opinion that it would not be appropriate to fully ignore households using LPG and/or kerosene, even if it is only to a minor extent, i.e. not being the main (primary fuel).

In case that the UNFCCC secretariat allows project developers to include households who consume LPG/kerosene **NOT** as main (primary) fuel, but as a minor (secondary) fuel, the carbon consultant understands that the use of LPG/kerosene does not have to be discounted from Bold. The methodology AMS-II-G (in the project case AMS-II.G, version 04) and registered PoA-DD requires a discount for the <u>continued baseline stove use using woody biomass</u> and other <u>ICS using woody biomass</u> (to avoid <u>double counting</u>). The CDM team is kindly requested to confirm the same.

Thank you thousand times in providing your feedback by the end of this week! This would be very much appreciated in the context of the CPA inclusions my client and its investor want to do as soon as possible.

- - - - -

Document information

Version	Date	Description
01.0	1 December 2013	Initial publication.
Decision Class: Regulatory Document Type: Form		
Business	Function: Methodology	revising or withdrawing methodologies and tools, standardized baseline