



Desk review report (Version 05.0)

Entity name and address	
UNFCCC entity ref. no.	
Sectoral scopes applied for	<input type="checkbox"/> 1. Energy industries (renewable - / non-renewable sources) <input type="checkbox"/> 2. Energy distribution <input type="checkbox"/> 3. Energy demand <input type="checkbox"/> 4. Manufacturing industries <input type="checkbox"/> 5. Chemical industry <input type="checkbox"/> 6. Construction <input type="checkbox"/> 7. Transport <input type="checkbox"/> 8. Mining/Mineral production <input type="checkbox"/> 9. Metal production <input type="checkbox"/> 10. Fugitive emissions from fuels (solid, oil and gas) <input type="checkbox"/> 11. Fugitive emissions from production and consumption of halocarbons and sulphur hexafluoride <input type="checkbox"/> 12. Solvents use <input type="checkbox"/> 13. Waste handling and disposal <input type="checkbox"/> 14. Afforestation and reforestation <input type="checkbox"/> 15. Agriculture <input type="checkbox"/> 16. Carbon dioxide capture and storage in geological formations
CDM-AT leader's name	
CDM-AT members' names	

NOTE:

1. This form is relevant to version 7 of the CDM accreditation standard and version 14.0 of the accreditation procedure.
2. The following tables are only checklists, refer to the CDM accreditation standard for the detailed requirements.
3. Substantiate and explain, in the comments column, compliance (or lack thereof) with each of the requirements.

Criteria Requirement	Initial Desk Review		Final Desk Review	
	Complies (Yes/No)	Comments (substantiate the compliance or non-compliance)	Complies (Yes/No)	Comments (substantiate the compliance or non-compliance)
6. Legal status and matters				
1. Describe the supporting documents provided by the entity to establish its legal status (e.g. registration documents, memorandum and articles of association, etc.). Do the provided documents establish the legal status of the entity? (Para 10)				
2. Does the entity have any pending judicial processes? Does the entity have a system to record and report judicial processes? (Paras 11-13)				
7. Liability and finance				
1. Has the entity provided documentation demonstrating its financial stability? (Para 14)				
2. Does the entity have a process for regularly monitoring its income and expenditure to determine the financial stability and financial resources required for its operation of CDM related activities? (Para 15)				

Criteria Requirement	Initial Desk Review		Final Desk Review	
	Complies (Yes/No)	Comments (substantiate the compliance or non-compliance)	Complies (Yes/No)	Comments (substantiate the compliance or non-compliance)
3. Does the entity have a system for analysis of potential liabilities arising out of its CDM activities (validation, verification & certification)? Has the entity provided a documented analysis of potential liabilities arising out of its CDM activities (validation, verification & certification)? (Para 16)				
4. Does the entity have a system to ensure that potential liabilities are assessed and adequate arrangements to cover liabilities are provided? (Para 17)				

Criteria Requirement	Initial Desk Review		Final Desk Review	
	Complies (Yes/No)	Comments (substantiate the compliance or non-compliance)	Complies (Yes/No)	Comments (substantiate the compliance or non-compliance)
8.Entity's management				
<p>1. Does the documented management structure/chart include lines of authority, responsibilities and allocation of functions stemming from top management (e.g. management personnel, responsibility for final decision making, validation and verification/certification (VVC) personnel, operational and supervisory committees etc.)?</p> <p>Does the documented management structure and authority and responsibility include all functions described in paras 22 and 23? (Paras 18-19)</p>				
<p>2. Are the names, qualifications, experience and terms of reference of the top management personnel and other management personnel documented? (para 20) Has this been documented for all management and top management functions? (paras 22-23)</p>				
<p>3. Has the entity documented a procedure for allocation of responsibilities? Has a provision been made to make it publically available? (para 21)</p>				

Criteria Requirement	Initial Desk Review		Final Desk Review	
	Complies (Yes/No)	Comments (substantiate the compliance or non-compliance)	Complies (Yes/No)	Comments (substantiate the compliance or non-compliance)
4. Is there a documented procedure to cover appointment, terms of reference and operation of any committees that are involved in CDM policy making and operational functions? (Para 24)				
9. Safeguarding impartiality				
1. Has the entity documented a policy on safeguarding impartiality and a system of ensuring that this policy is understood at all levels? (Paras 31-32)				
2. Has the entity enclosed a statement that describes its understanding of the necessity of impartiality in validation and/or verification/certification functions, how it manages conflict of interest, and how it ensures the objectivity of validation and/or verification/certification functions? (Para 33(b))				
3. Does the documented structure to safeguard impartiality of the entity's operations include the Impartiality Committee and the impartiality committee's reporting to top management? Are the terms and reference of the impartiality committee documented? (Paras 35-37)				

Criteria Requirement	Initial Desk Review		Final Desk Review	
	Complies (Yes/No)	Comments (substantiate the compliance or non-compliance)	Complies (Yes/No)	Comments (substantiate the compliance or non-compliance)
4. Has the entity established a documented procedure for analyzing potential threats against impartiality and is this reviewed at least annually? (Paras 40-41)				
5. Has the entity enclosed a documented analysis of all potential conflicts of interests? (Paras 42-44)				
6. Has the entity established a documented procedure for the mitigation of threats against its impartiality? Does the procedure describe mitigation strategies and actions? Does the procedure ensure coverage of situations referred to in para 47? (Paras 45-47)				
7. Does the entity's system include an annual analysis and review of all data relevant to impartiality? (Paras 48-50)				

Criteria Requirement	Initial Desk Review		Final Desk Review	
	Complies (Yes/No)	Comments (substantiate the compliance or non-compliance)	Complies (Yes/No)	Comments (substantiate the compliance or non-compliance)
10. Human resources and competence				
1. Does the entity have a system to determine human resource requirements related to the type, range and volume of estimated/planned workload for each TA in which the entity intends to operate and in the sectoral scopes in which the entity has applied for accreditation or has been accredited? (Paras 51-52)				
2. Does the entity have internal resources to perform management functions as described in paras 22 and 23? (Para 53)				
3. Describe which of these three options (internal/external/outsourcing) are used by the entity to fulfill the requirement of sufficient resources for the functions other than management functions. (Para 54) Does the entity have a system to evaluate the sufficiency of competent resource availability at least annually? (Para 55)				

Criteria Requirement	Initial Desk Review		Final Desk Review	
	Complies (Yes/No)	Comments (substantiate the compliance or non-compliance)	Complies (Yes/No)	Comments (substantiate the compliance or non-compliance)
4. Are the personnel carrying out VVC functions, including full-time/part-time/internal/external resources, under the supervision of a responsible senior executive of the entity? (Para 56)				
5. Has the entity got at least one person qualified in the technical area to participate in the VVC and at least one person qualified to participate in technical review, in at least one technical area for each sectoral scope it intends to get accredited for and for each technical area it intends to operate in? (Paras 57-58)				

Criteria Requirement	Initial Desk Review		Final Desk Review	
	Complies (Yes/No)	Comments (substantiate the compliance or non-compliance)	Complies (Yes/No)	Comments (substantiate the compliance or non-compliance)
<p>6. Does the documented procedure to use external resources procedure require:</p> <p>(i) the entity to establish a contract with the external individual or its company,</p> <p>(ii) external individuals to comply with the entity's policies and procedures, including confidentiality and impartiality/independence.</p> <p>(iii) external individuals to notify the entity of any actual/potential impartiality issues?</p> <p>Do the requirements with respect to competence, evaluation and qualification, monitoring of performance, maintenance of competence, training as well as personnel records, as defined in sections 10.2.3–10.2.5 and 10.3.1–10.3.3 apply to external individuals? (Paras 59-61)</p>				
<p>7. Has the entity documented a procedure for recruitment of personnel? (Para 62)</p>				

Criteria Requirement	Initial Desk Review		Final Desk Review	
	Complies (Yes/No)	Comments (substantiate the compliance or non-compliance)	Complies (Yes/No)	Comments (substantiate the compliance or non-compliance)
8. Does the documented procedure to outsource functions require (i) outsourcing to legal entities only (ii) take full responsibility for all outsourced activities, (iii) contractual agreement with outsourced body to (a) perform VVC activities in accordance with CDM rules and requirements (b) comply with applicable requirements of the accreditation standard, and the entity's policies/procedures including provisions related to confidentiality and impartiality? (Para 63-65)				
9. Has the entity established a procedure for determining the required competence? Has the entity documented the initial competence analysis and competence criteria for management and VVC personnel? (Para 69-71)				
10. Is the documented competence criteria in compliance with accreditation standard requirements for the: (Para 72 and appendixes 2 and 3)				
i. management functions (Paras 74-75)				
ii. VVC team (Paras 76-80)				
iii. validation team (Paras 81-84)				

Criteria Requirement	Initial Desk Review		Final Desk Review	
	Complies (Yes/No)	Comments (substantiate the compliance or non-compliance)	Complies (Yes/No)	Comments (substantiate the compliance or non-compliance)
iv. verification team (Paras 85-88)				
v. validator/verifier (Paras 89)				
vi. team leader (Paras 90)				
vii. technical expert (Paras 91)				
viii. technical review team (Paras 92-93)				
11. Has the entity established a system to evaluate adequacy of its competence criteria taking into account performance of VVC functions? (Para 73)				
12. Has the entity documented a procedure for evaluation, demonstration and qualification of personnel? Does this procedure include the competence criteria as determined in paragraphs 69-73 and provisions in appendixes 2 and 3 for all its functions? (Paras 94-95)				
13. Does this documented procedure include evaluation and demonstration of competence in accordance with the accreditation standard? (Paras 96-102)				

Criteria Requirement	Initial Desk Review		Final Desk Review	
	Complies (Yes/No)	Comments (substantiate the compliance or non-compliance)	Complies (Yes/No)	Comments (substantiate the compliance or non-compliance)
<p>14. Has the entity documented a procedure for monitoring the performance of VVC personnel? Does the documented procedure for performance monitoring include on the job performance evaluation, subsequent continuous monitoring, ensure maintenance and update of competence of its personnel, monitoring processes, and monitoring method? (Paras 103-107)</p>				
<p>15. Does the documented procedure for training include identification of training needs, evaluation of effectiveness of training provided, and maintenance of records for both qualified personnel and to-be qualified personnel? (Paras 108-109)</p>				
11. Information management				
<p>1. Does the entity have a system for maintaining publicly available a list of all CDM project activities and PoA for which it has validated and/or verified/certified? (Para 111)</p>				

Criteria Requirement	Initial Desk Review		Final Desk Review	
	Complies (Yes/No)	Comments (substantiate the compliance or non-compliance)	Complies (Yes/No)	Comments (substantiate the compliance or non-compliance)
2. Has the entity documented the confidentiality policy and mechanism to safeguard the confidentiality of information obtained from project participants? (Para 112)				
3. Does the mechanism require the entity personnel to be bound by the confidentiality requirements, and obtain written consent of the project participants for any information which may be made public prior to any such information being disclosed except for information which is required to be made publically available by the CMP? (Paras 113-114)				
12. Validation and verification/certification process				
1. Does the documented procedure for contract review include proposal/quotation submission after conduct of contract review, attaining necessary information, approval of contract review before entering into a contract, establishing legally enforceable contract with client, and maintaining records of contract review? (Paras 115-120)				

Criteria Requirement	Initial Desk Review		Final Desk Review	
	Complies (Yes/No)	Comments (substantiate the compliance or non-compliance)	Complies (Yes/No)	Comments (substantiate the compliance or non-compliance)
2. Does the documented procedure include selection of the VVC team ensuring team competence, impartiality etc? (Paras 121-126)				
3. Does the documented procedure for performing VVC ensure that these activities are carried out in accordance with the requirements in CMP decisions, the VVS, the PCP and other Board decisions? Does the procedure have the provision to ensure that the VVC plan is prepared, tasks for the team are defined, and that a qualified team leader and technical expert participate in the site visit? (Paras 127-128)				
4. Does the documented procedure include a technical review process prior to issuance of the final VVC certification opinion and report? (Paras 129-130)				
5. Does the documented procedure require that a successful technical review be carried out before management approval of the final VVC certification opinion and report? (Paras 131-133)				

Criteria Requirement	Initial Desk Review		Final Desk Review	
	Complies (Yes/No)	Comments (substantiate the compliance or non-compliance)	Complies (Yes/No)	Comments (substantiate the compliance or non-compliance)
6. Does the documented procedure for the conduct of VVC functions include other relevant processes? (e.g proposing new methodologies, requests for clarifications and post registration changes).				
7. Does the documented procedure for the conduct of VVC functions include responding to requests for review from EB?				
8. Does the documented procedure for the conduct of VVC functions include submission of requests for registration and issuance?				
13. Quality management system				
1. Does the entity have a documented quality management system, policies and objectives? Do the documents of the entity specify who is responsible to establish the above? Has the entity put measures in place to ensure that the policies and objectives are understood, implemented and maintained? (Paras 134-137)				
2. Is there a person designated as CDM quality manager who has direct access to top management of the entity, for example chief executive, board members, etc.? (Paras 138)				

Criteria Requirement	Initial Desk Review		Final Desk Review	
	Complies (Yes/No)	Comments (substantiate the compliance or non-compliance)	Complies (Yes/No)	Comments (substantiate the compliance or non-compliance)
3. Is there a documented procedure for the control of documents which sufficiently covers the control of documents as per the CDM accreditation standard? (Paras 139-140)				
4. Is there a documented procedure for defining the controls needed for the entity's records, and maintenance and management of records including records pertaining to the entity's validation and/or verification/certification functions? (Paras 141-146)				
5. Does the documented procedure for internal audit specify that the internal audit shall be carried out at least annually for both the entity and any outsourced entity, conducted independently by the DOE's own qualified personnel or an external qualified expert addressing all CDM requirements, adequately recorded, and implementation and effectiveness of corrective actions? (Paras 147-149)				

Criteria Requirement	Initial Desk Review		Final Desk Review	
	Complies (Yes/No)	Comments (substantiate the compliance or non-compliance)	Complies (Yes/No)	Comments (substantiate the compliance or non-compliance)
6. Does the documented procedure for corrective actions include identification and management of non-conformities (for the entity and outsourced entity), recording, monitoring effectiveness of corrective actions etc.? (Paras 150-153)				
7. Does the documented procedure for preventive actions include identification of potential sources of non-conformities/improvement opportunities (for entity and outsourced entity), and for taking preventive actions? (Paras 154-155)				
8. Does the entity address the management reviews as part of the procedures at least annually? (Para 156)				
9. Do the management reviews sufficiently cover the requirements with regard to VVC functions? (Para 157)				
10. Do the procedures require that the decisions taken in the management reviews are recorded and followed up for sustained improvement? (Paras 158-159)				

Criteria Requirement	Initial Desk Review		Final Desk Review	
	Complies (Yes/No)	Comments (substantiate the compliance or non-compliance)	Complies (Yes/No)	Comments (substantiate the compliance or non-compliance)
14. Complaints, dispute and appeal process				
<p>1. Is the documented procedure for handling of complaints, disputes and appeals, adequate to effectively handle complaints, disputes and appeals? Are the personnel involved in investigating the complaint/disputes/appeals independent of the personnel involved in the CDM validation/ verification certification activities? Does the appeal process require establishment of an independent appeal panel responsible for the appeal process? (Paras 160-169)</p>				
<p>2. Has a provision been made to make complaint procedure and appeal procedure publically available? Has a provision been made to make dispute procedure available to the client upon request or if a dispute occurs? (Paras 161, 164 and 167)</p>				

RECOMMENDATION OF THE CDM-AT AFTER INITIAL DESK REVIEW		
The CDM-AT conclusion	Yes/No	Comments
The documentation is complete and adequate		
Any additional information required for on-site assessment (if not referred to under comments)		
The CDM-AT recommends to proceed with the on-site assessment		
General Comments:		
Signature by CDM AT leader	Date:	
RECOMMENDATION OF THE CDM-AT AFTER FINAL DESK REVIEW		
The CDM-AT conclusion	Yes/No	Comments
The documentation is complete and adequate		
Any additional information required at on-site assessment (if not referred to under comments)		
Any other comments:		
Signature by CDM-AT leader	Date:	

Document information

<i>Version</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Description</i>
05.0	1 October 2018	Revision to align with version 14.0 of the CDM accreditation procedure (CDM-EB05-A02-PROC) and version 7.0 of the CDM accreditation standard (CDM-EB46-A02-STAN).
04.0	2 December 2014	Revision to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Align with version 11.0 of the CDM accreditation procedure (CDM-EB05-A02-PROC) and version 6.0 of the CDM accreditation standard (CDM-EB46-A02-STAN); Change the symbol from F-CDM-DR to CDM-DRR-FORM.
03.0	16 July 2012	Changes made in the version 03.0 were updating of references to be in line with the CDM Accreditation Standard for Operational Entities (Version 04.0)
02.1	9 May 2012	Editorial changes to include new logo and other improvements.
02.0	20 January 2011	Changes made in the version 02 were updating of references to be in line with the CDM Accreditation Standard for Operational Entities (EB56, Annex 01, version 02.0).
01.1	21 July 2009	Changes made in the version 01.1 were updating of references to be in line with the CDM Accreditation Standard for Operational Entities (version 01.1)
01	15 July 2009	Initial publication.

Decision Class: Regulatory
Document Type: Form
Business Function: Accreditation
Keywords: accreditation assessment, accreditation requirements, desk review