

CLEAN DEVELOPMENT MECHANISM

CDM-2017KPI-INFO01

Regular report

Annual KPI Report

Version 01.0



United Nations
Framework Convention on
Climate Change

1. Introduction

1. The Executive Board of the clean development mechanism (CDM) (hereinafter referred to as the Board) agreed through its 2016 Work Plan (WP) on considering regular reports in between meetings, which provide necessary information on the functioning of the support structure of the Board.
2. This document reports the annual performance against each of the fourteen key performance (KPIs) indicators adopted by the Board.
3. The reporting period covered is 01 January 2017 to 31 December 2017.

2. Report

KPI (a)	Target (b)	Performance (c)	Explanatory notes (d)
1. The proportion of EB meeting documents made available in accordance with the rules of procedure of the CDM Executive Board	100%	100%	All 57 documents were made available in accordance with the rules of procedure of the CDM Executive Board.
2. The proportion of EB mandated outputs provided to the secretariat delivered on time	100%	96%	73 out of 76 scheduled deliverables were delivered on time. Delays resulted from (i) non-agreement on the item; and (ii) the pending relaunch of the UNFCCC main web pages.
3. The proportion of CMP mandates to the Board delivered and delivered on time	100%	100%	7 out of 7 mandates from CMP.12 were completed in 2017.
4. Proportion of EB mandated outputs provided to panels and working groups delivered on-time	100%	66%	19 out of 29 scheduled deliverables were delivered on time. Delays resulted from (i) non-agreement on the item, or (ii) the rescheduling of products as a result of additional mandates received.
5. The proportion of methodology cases (new methodologies and revision of existing ones) processed within the specified timelines	100%	92%	11 out of 12 bottom up submissions (i.e. methodology cases including new methodologies, revisions and clarifications submitted by stakeholders) were processed within the specified timelines. 7 submissions were processed through a fast-track process.
6. The proportion of new project registrations processed within the specified timelines	100%	96%	For the affected cases, the average delay was 1.7 days
7. The proportion of new PoA registrations processed within the specified timelines	100%	100%	All cases were processed within specified timelines
8. The proportion of project issuance instructions processed within the specified timelines	100%	97%	For the affected cases, the average delay was 1.7 days

KPI (a)	Target (b)	Performance (c)	Explanatory notes (d)
9. The proportion of PoA issuance instructions processed within the specified timelines	100%	91%	For the affected cases, the average delay was 3.3 days
10. The proportion of communications to the Board processed within the specified timelines	100%	100%	All 33 communications to the Board processed within specified timelines.
11. The proportion of communications to the Secretariat processed within the specified timelines	100%	99%	285 out of 288 communications to the Secretariat processed within specified timelines.
12. Accreditation assessment delays over seven days	0%	0%	All 34 assessments were processed without delays over seven days.
13. The proportion of communications (secretariat track) escalated to the Chair of the Board by a stakeholder after a response is received from the secretariat	0%	0%	None of the 288 communications responded to by the secretariat were escalated to the Board.
14. The proportion of stakeholders using the correct channels of communication.	100%	97%	8 out of 288 communications landed in other inboxes instead of the “CDM Info” inbox that responds to requests for clarifications on CDM rules and regulations.

Document information

<i>Version</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Description</i>
01.0	31 January 2018	Initial publication.

Decision Class: Operational
Document Type: Information note
Business Function: Governance
Keywords: EB, performance indicators, transparency, reporting procedures
