|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Verification and certification report form for**  **CDM project activities**  **(Version 02.0)** | |
| *Complete this form in accordance with the instructions attached at the end of this form.* | |
| **BASIC INFORMATION** | |
| **Title and UNFCCC reference number of the project activity** |  |
| **Version number of the verification and certification report** |  |
| **Completion date of the verification and certification report** |  |
| **Monitoring period number and duration of this monitoring period** |  |
| **Version number of the monitoring report to which this report applies** |  |
| **Crediting period of the project activity corresponding to this monitoring period** |  |
| **Project participants** |  |
| **Host Party** |  |
| **Applied methodologies and standardized baselines** |  |
| **Mandatory sectoral scopes linked to the applied methodologies** |  |
| **Conditional sectoral scope(s) linked to the applied methodologies** |  |
| **Estimated amount of GHG emission reductions or GHG removals for this monitoring duration in the registered PDD** |  |
| **Certified amount of GHG emission reductions or GHG removals for this monitoring period** |  |
| **Name and UNFCCC reference number of the DOE** |  |
| **Name, position and signature of the approver of the verification and certification report** |  |

* 1. Executive summary

>>

* 1. Verification team, technical reviewer and approver
     1. Verification team member

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **No.** | **Role** | **Type of resource** | **Last name** | **First name** | **Affiliation**  (e.g. name of central or other office of DOE or outsourced entity) | **Involvement in** | | | |
| **Desk/document review** | **On-site inspection** | **Interviews** | **Verification findings** |
| 1. | Team Leader |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. | Verifier |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| .. | … |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| .. | Technical Expert |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| .. | … |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| .. | Financial/ Other Expert |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| .. | … |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| .. | Trainee |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| .. | … |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

* + 1. Technical reviewer and approver of the verification and certification report

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **No.** | **Role** | **Type of resource** | **Last name** | **First name** | **Affiliation**  (e.g. name of central or other office of DOE or outsourced entity) |
| 1. | Technical reviewer |  |  |  |  |
| … | **….** |  |  |  |  |
| … | Approver |  |  |  |  |

* 1. Application of materiality
     1. Consideration of materiality in planning the verification

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **No.** | **Risk that could lead to material errors, omissions or misstatements** | **Assessment of the risk** | | **Response to the risk in the verification plan and/or sampling plan** |
| **Risk level** | **Justification** |
| 1. |  |  |  |  |
| … |  |  |  |  |

* + 1. Consideration of materiality in conducting the verification

>>

* 1. Means of verification
     1. Desk/document review

>>

* + 1. On-site inspection

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Duration of on-site inspection:** DD/MM/YYYY to DD/MM/YYYY | | | | |
| **No.** | **Activity performed on-site** | **Site location** | **Date** | **Team member** |
| 1. |  |  |  |  |
| … |  |  |  |  |

* + 1. Interviews

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **No.** | **Interviewee** | | | **Date** | **Subject** | **Team member** |
| **Last name** | **First name** | **Affiliation** |
| 1. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| … |  |  |  |  |  |  |

* + 1. Sampling approach

>>

* + 1. Clarification requests (CLs), corrective action requests (CARs) and forward action requests (FARs) raised

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Areas of verification findings** | **No. of CL** | **No. of CAR** | **No. of FAR** |
| Compliance of the monitoring report with the monitoring report form |  |  |  |
| Compliance of the project implementation and operation with the registered PDD |  |  |  |
| Post-registration changes |  |  |  |
| Compliance of the registered monitoring plan with the methodologies including applicable tools and standardized baselines |  |  |  |
| Compliance of monitoring activities with the registered monitoring plan |  |  |  |
| Compliance with the calibration frequency requirements for measuring instruments |  |  |  |
| Assessment of data and calculation of emission reductions or net removals |  |  |  |
| Assessment of reported sustainable development co-benefits |  |  |  |
| Global stakeholder consultation |  |  |  |
| Others (please specify) |  |  |  |
| **Total** |  |  |  |

* 1. Verification findings
     1. Compliance of the monitoring report with the monitoring report form

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Means of verification** |  |
| **Findings** |  |
| **Conclusion** |  |

* + 1. Remaining forward action requests from validation and/or previous verifications

>>

* + 1. Compliance of the project implementation and operation with the registered project design document

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Means of verification** |  |
| **Findings** |  |
| **Conclusion** |  |

* + 1. Post-registration changes
       1. Temporary deviations from the registered monitoring plan, applied methodologies or applied standardized baselines

>>

* + - 1. Corrections

>>

* + - 1. Change to the start date of the crediting period of the project activity

>>

* + - 1. Inclusion of a monitoring plan

>>

* + - 1. Permanent changes from registered monitoring plan, or permanent deviation of monitoring from the applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other applied standards or tools

>>

* + - 1. Changes to the project design

>>

* + - 1. Changes specific to afforestation and reforestation project activities

>>

* + 1. Compliance of the registered monitoring plan with the methodology including applicable tools and standardized baselines

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Means of verification** |  |
| **Findings** |  |
| **Conclusion** |  |

* + 1. Compliance of monitoring activities with the registered monitoring plan
       1. Data and parameters fixed ex ante or at renewal of crediting period

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Means of verification** |  |
| **Findings** |  |
| **Conclusion** |  |

* + - 1. Data and parameters monitored

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Means of verification** |  |
| **Findings** |  |
| **Conclusion** |  |

* + - 1. Implementation of sampling plan

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Means of verification** |  |
| **Findings** |  |
| **Conclusion** |  |

* + 1. Compliance with the calibration frequency requirements for measuring instruments

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Means of verification** |  |
| **Findings** |  |
| **Conclusion** |  |

* + 1. Assessment of data and calculation of emission reductions or net removals
       1. Calculation of baseline GHG emissions or baseline net GHG removals by sinks

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Means of verification** |  |
| **Findings** |  |
| **Conclusion** |  |

* + - 1. Calculation of project GHG emissions or actual net anthropogenic GHG removals by sinks

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Means of verification** |  |
| **Findings** |  |
| **Conclusion** |  |

* + - 1. Calculation of leakage GHG emissions

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Means of verification** |  |
| **Findings** |  |
| **Conclusion** |  |

* + - 1. Summary calculation of GHG emission reductions or net anthropogenic GHG removals by sinks

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Means of verification** |  |
| **Findings** |  |
| **Conclusion** |  |

* + - 1. Comparison of actual GHG emission reductions or net anthropogenic GHG removals by sinks with estimates in registered PDD

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Means of verification** |  |
| **Findings** |  |
| **Conclusion** |  |

* + - 1. Remarks on difference from estimated value in registered PDD

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Means of verification** |  |
| **Findings** |  |
| **Conclusion** |  |

* + - 1. Actual GHG emission reductions or net anthropogenic GHG removals by sinks during the first commitment period and the period from 1 January 2013 onwards

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Means of verification** |  |
| **Findings** |  |
| **Conclusion** |  |

* + 1. Assessment of reported sustainable development co-benefits

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Means of verification** |  |
| **Findings** |  |
| **Conclusion** |  |

* + 1. Global stakeholder consultation

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Means of verification** |  |
| **Findings** |  |
| **Conclusion** |  |

* 1. Internal quality control

>>

* 1. Verification opinion

>>

* 1. Certification statement

>>

1. Abbreviations

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Abbreviations** | **Full texts** |
|  |  |

1. Competence of team members and technical reviewers
2. Documents reviewed or referenced

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **No.** | **Author** | **Title** | **References to the document** | **Provider** |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |
| … |  |  |  |  |

1. Clarification requests, corrective action requests and forward action requests

Table 1. Remaining FAR from validation and/or previous verifications

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **FAR ID** | xx | **Section no.** | E.2 | **Date:** DD/MM/YYYY |
| **Description of FAR** | | | | |
|  | | | | |
| **Project participant response** | | | | **Date:** DD/MM/YYYY |
|  | | | | |
| **Documentation provided by project participant** | | | | |
|  | | | | |
| **DOE assessment** | | | | **Date:** DD/MM/YYYY |
|  | | | | |

Table 2. CL from this verification

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CL ID** | xx | **Section no.** |  | **Date:** DD/MM/YYYY |
| **Description of CL** | | | | |
|  | | | | |
| **Project participant response** | | | | **Date:** DD/MM/YYYY |
|  | | | | |
| **Documentation provided by project participant** | | | | |
|  | | | | |
| **DOE assessment** | | | | **Date:** DD/MM/YYYY |
|  | | | | |

Table 3. CAR from this verification

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CAR ID** | xx | **Section no.** |  | **Date:** DD/MM/YYYY |
| **Description of CAR** | | | | |
|  | | | | |
| **Project participant response** | | | | **Date:** DD/MM/YYYY |
|  | | | | |
| **Documentation provided by project participant** | | | | |
|  | | | | |
| **DOE assessment** | | | | **Date:** DD/MM/YYYY |
|  | | | | |

Table 4. FAR from this verification

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **FAR ID** | xx | **Section No.** |  | **Date:** DD/MM/YYYY |
| **Description of FAR** | | | | |
|  | | | | |
| **Project participant response** | | | | **Date:** DD/MM/YYYY |
|  | | | | |
| **Documentation provided by project participant** | | | | |
|  | | | | |
| **DOE assessment** | | | | **Date:** DD/MM/YYYY |
|  | | | | |

**Attachment: Instructions for completing this form**

1. General instructions
2. When completing this form[[1]](#footnote-1), in addition to applying the “CDM validation and verification standard for project activities (VVS)”, consult the “Rules and Reference” section of the UNFCCC CDM website. This section contains all regulatory documents for the CDM, such as [standards](https://cdm.unfccc.int/Reference/Standards/index.html) (including [methodologies](https://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/index.html), and [standardized baselines](https://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/standard_base/new/sb7_index.html)), procedures, tools, guidelines, clarifications, forms and the “Glossary: CDM terms”.
3. Include, if necessarily, additional information other than that indicated in this form in order to support how the designated operational entity (DOE) has arrived at its verification conclusions. This information may include, but need not be limited to tables, graphs and annexes such as a verification protocol.
4. List all the abbreviations used in this verification and certification report in Appendix 1 below.
5. Complete this form in English. Prepare all attached documents in English, or if their originals were prepared in other language, provide a full translation of the relevant sections of these documents in English.
6. Complete this form using the same format without modifying its font, headings or logo, and without any other alteration to the form.
7. Do not modify or delete the tables and their columns in this form. Add rows to the tables as needed. Add additional appendices as needed.
8. If a section of this form is not applicable, explicitly state “N/A” to indicate that the section is left blank intentionally.
9. Use an internationally recognized format for the presentation of values in this form. For example, use digits grouping in thousands and mark a decimal point with a dot (.), not with a comma (,).
10. Complete this form deleting this Attachment.
11. Specific instructions

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Indicate the following information on the cover page:    * 1. Title and UNFCCC reference number of the project activity;      2. Version number of the verification and certification report (version XX.X);      3. Completion date of the verification and certification report (DD/MM/YYYY);      4. Monitoring period number and duration of this monitoring period (for monitoring period number, the number given to the monitoring period indicates a chronological order (e.g. “first monitoring period”). For monitoring period dates, indicate first and last days (DD/MM/YYYY–DD/MM/YYYY));      5. Version number of monitoring report to which this report applies (version XX.X);      6. Crediting period of the project activity corresponding to this monitoring period (type, start date (DD/MM/YYYY), length);      7. Project participants;      8. Host Party;      9. Applied methodologies and standardized baselines;      10. Mandatory sectoral scopes linked to the applied methodologies;      11. Conditional sectoral scopes linked to the applied methodologies;      12. Estimated amount of GHG emission reductions or GHG removals for this monitoring period in the registered PDD (tCO2e);      13. Certified amount of GHG emission reductions or GHG removals for this monitoring period (tCO2e);      14. Name and UNFCCC reference numberof the DOE;      15. Name, position and signature of the approver of the verification and certification report. |

* 1. Executive summary

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Provide a brief summary of the project activity (including the purpose and general description and location), scope of the verification, verification process and conclusion. 2. For a registered afforestation and reforestation (A/R) CDM project activity or a registered small-scale A/R CDM project activity, state whether the timing of the current verification and certification complies with the applicable specific verification requirements for afforestation and reforestation project activities in the VVS. |

* 1. Verification team, technical reviewer and approver

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Provide details of the verification team, technical reviewer and approver in sections B.1 and B.2. If applicable, also identify any trainees. 2. For “Type of resource” in sections B.1 and B.2, indicate the type of resource of the personnel with the use of one of the following abbreviations referring to the latest version of the “CDM accreditation standard”:    * 1. IR (Internal Resource);      2. EI (External Individuals);      3. OR (Outsourced Resource). 3. Demonstrate how the team meets the competence required for the verification in Appendix 2 below. |

* 1. Application of materiality

|  |
| --- |
| 1. If the concept of materiality has been applied in planning and conducting this verification, describe in section C.1 and C.2 how it has been applied in accordance with the applicable verification requirements in the VVS. In doing so, refer to the “[Guideline: Application of materiality in verifications](https://cdm.unfccc.int/Reference/Guidclarif/index.html#proj_cycle)” on the examples and flowchart related to planning and conducting verifications. |

* + 1. Consideration of materiality in planning the verification

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Describe in the table risks that could lead to material errors, omissions or misstatements, assessment of the risk and response to the risk in the verification plan and/or sampling plan. 2. For “Assessment of the risk”, rank the level of each risk with one of the following options and present the justification on the judgement of the risk level considering the elements of the risk such as its likelihood and impacts:    1. High;    2. Medium; or    3. Low. |

* + 1. Consideration of materiality in conducting the verification

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Describe how materiality was applied in determining whether detected errors, omissions or misstatements were material or immaterial either individually or in aggregate. 2. Describe whether and how the verification plan and/or sampling plan were revised to take into account the need for further audit procedures due to the nature/type of the errors, omissions or misstatements detected. |

* 1. Means of verification
     1. Desk/document review

|  |
| --- |
| 1. List all documents reviewed or referenced during the verification in Appendix 3 below. |

* + 1. On-site inspection

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Summarize any on-site inspection performed during the verification in the table. 2. If the DOE does not conduct an on-site inspection as a means of verification in accordance with the applicable requirements in the VVS, describe the alternative means used and justify that they are sufficient for the purpose of verification. |

* + 1. Interviews

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Use the table to summarize all the interviews (i.e. in-person interviews, web/teleconferences, etc.) conducted during the verification. |

* + 1. Sampling approach

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Where a sampling approach is used for the verification, summarize the sampling approach used during the verification (e.g. random sampling). 2. Where a sampling approach is used for the verification, include a description of how the sample size was determined and field check was carried out. |

* + 1. Clarification requests, corrective action requests and forward action requests raised

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Indicate in the table the number of the clarification requests (CLs), corrective action requests (CARs), and forward action requests (FARs) raised in each area of verification findings in SECTION E below. |

* 1. Verification findings

|  |
| --- |
| 1. In sections E.1, E.3 and E.5 to E.8, complete tables to verify the compliance in accordance with the applicable verification requirements in the VVS by describing:    1. Means of verification: describe how the compliance was verified;    2. Findings: provide a brief description of the findings. Include in Appendix 4 below details of any CLs, CARs and FARs, if raised;    3. Conclusion: provide a conclusion on the compliance based on the findings. |

* + 1. Compliance of the monitoring report with the monitoring report form

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Confirm the compliance of the monitoring report with the valid version of the CDM-MR-FORM and the instructions therein for filling out the CDM-MR-FORM. |

* + 1. Remaining forward action requests from validation and/or previous verifications

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Indicate whether any remaining FARs from validation and/or previous verifications have been applied during this monitoring period. 2. If applicable, list the remaining FARs and explain how they have been addressed in Appendix 4 below. |

* + 1. Compliance of the project implementation and operation with the registered project design document

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Explain how the project implementation and operation complies with the registered project design document (PDD) in accordance with the applicable verification requirements related to the compliance of the project implementation with the registered PDD in the VVS. 2. For a registered small-scale CDM project activity or a bundle of registered small-scale CDM project activities, confirm whether the project activity or each sub-bundle in the bundle remains within the limit of its type in accordance with applicable specific verification requirements for small-scale project activities in the VVS. 3. For the first verification of a registered A/R CDM project activity or a registered small-scale A/R CDM project activity, explain how the following were assessed in accordance with the applicable verification requirements for afforestation and reforestation project activities in the VVS:    1. Areas of land for which the control has been established;    2. Geographical delineation of the project boundary. |

* + 1. Post-registration changes
       1. Temporary deviations from the registered monitoring plan, applied methodologies or applied standardized baselines

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Confirm whether any temporary deviations have been approved by the Board for this monitoring period or to be submitted with the request for issuance. 2. In cases where the deviations have been approved by the Board prior to the submission of the request for issuance, confirm the date of approval and reference number. 3. Otherwise, confirm the version number and completion date of the validation report for post-registration changes. |

* + - 1. Corrections

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Confirm whether any corrections have been approved by the Board during this monitoring period or to be submitted with the request for issuance. 2. In cases where the corrections have been approved by the Board prior to the submission of the request for issuance, confirm the date of approval and reference number. 3. Otherwise, confirm the version number and completion date of the validation report for post-registration changes. |

* + - 1. Change to the start date of the crediting period of the project activity

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Confirm whether any changes to the start date of the crediting period have been notified to the secretariat or approved by the Board during this monitoring period. 2. In cases where the Board approved the change prior to the submission of the request for issuance, confirm the date of approval and reference number. |

* + - 1. Inclusion of a monitoring plan

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Confirm whether the inclusion of a monitoring plan to the registered project activity has been approved by the Board during this monitoring period. 2. In cases where the inclusion has been approved by the Board prior to the submission of the request for issuance, confirm the date of approval and reference number. |

* + - 1. Permanent changes to the registered monitoring plan, or permanent deviation of monitoring from the applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other applied standards or tools

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Confirm whether any permanent changes from the registered monitoring plan, or permanent deviation or monitoring from the applied methodology, standardized baseline or other applied standards or tools have been approved by the Board during this monitoring period or to be submitted with the request for issuance. 2. In cases where the changes have been approved by the Board prior to the submission of the request for issuance, confirm the date of approval and reference number. 3. Otherwise, confirm the version number and completion date of the validation report for post-registration changes. |

* + - 1. Changes to the project design

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Confirm whether any changes to the project design of a registered project activity have been approved by the Board during this monitoring period or to be submitted with the request for issuance. 2. In cases where the changes have been approved by the Board prior to the submission of the request for issuance, confirm the date of approval and reference number. 3. Otherwise, confirm the version number and completion date of the validation report for post-registration changes. 4. By means of an on-site inspection or other means of validation in accordance with applicable requirements in the VVS, assess the impacts of the actual changes on the compliance. |

* + - 1. Changes specific to afforestation and reforestation project activities

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Confirm whether any changes specific to afforestation and reforestation project activities are to be submitted with the request for issuance. 2. In cases where the changes are to be submitted with the request for issuance, confirm the version number and completion date of the validation report for post-registration changes. |

* + 1. Compliance of the registered monitoring plan with the methodologies including applicable tools and standardized baselines

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Explain how the monitoring plan complies with the applied methodology including the applicable tools and, where applicable, the applied standardized baseline in accordance with the applicable verification requirements related to the compliance of the monitoring plan with the monitoring methodology including the applicable tool and standardized baseline in the VVS. |

* + 1. Compliance of monitoring activities with the registered monitoring plan

|  |
| --- |
| 1. In sections E.6.1 and E.6.2, explain how the monitoring activities comply with the registered monitoring plan in accordance with the applicable verification requirements related to the compliance of monitoring activities with the registered monitoring plan in the VVS. 2. Where the project participants applied a sampling approach for the determination of data and parameters monitored, explain in section E.6.3 how the sampling efforts and surveys comply with the validated sampling plan in accordance with the applicable verification requirements related to the compliance of monitoring activities with the registered monitoring plan in the VVS. |

* + 1. Compliance with the calibration frequency requirements for measuring instruments

|  |
| --- |
| 1. For each item of data and parameter monitored in section E.6.2, explain how the calibration was conducted at the frequency as specified by the applied methodology, registered monitoring plan and/or applied standardized baseline in accordance with the applicable verification requirements related to the compliance with the calibration frequency requirements for measuring instruments in the VVS. |

* + 1. Assessment of data and calculation of emission reductions or net removals

|  |
| --- |
| 1. In sections E.8.1 to E.8.5 and E.8.7, explain how the data and calculation of emission reductions or net removals were assessed in accordance with the applicable verification requirements related to the assessment of data and calculation of emission reductions or net removals in the VVS. 2. In section E.8.6, if applicable, explain how the cause of any increase in the actual GHG emission reductions in this monitoring period was assessed in accordance with the applicable verification requirements in the VVS. |

E. 9. Assessment of reported sustainable development co-benefits

|  |
| --- |
| 1. If the project participants have monitored sustainable development co-benefits of the registered CDM project activity, and requested the DOE to verify them, explain how it was assessed in accordance with the applicable verification requirements in the VVS. |

E. 10. Global stakeholder consultation

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Explain how the stakeholder consultation conducted after the publication of the first monitoring report was assessed in accordance with the applicable verification requirements in the VVS. |

* 1. Internal quality control

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Describe the measures taken to ensure the quality of the verification activities. |

* 1. Verification opinion

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Provide an opinion on the amount of GHG emission reductions or net anthropogenic GHG removals achieved by the project activity during this monitoring period and whether it complies with all applicable CDM requirements. |

* 1. Certification statement

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Provide a certification statement in accordance with the applicable requirements related to the verification and certification report in the VVS. |

1. Abbreviations

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Using the table, list all the abbreviations used in this report. |

1. Competence of team members and technical reviewers

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Provide documentation to substantiate the required competence of verification team members and technical reviewers. |

1. Documents reviewed or referenced

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Using the table, list all documents reviewed or referenced during the verification including CDM regulatory documents. 2. For each document indicate the following:    1. Title: provide the title of the document. Include the version number, if applicable;    2. Author: provide the names of the authors. Where the authors belong to the organization(s) that issue the document, provide only the names of the organizations;    3. References to the document: where applicable, provide the relevant reference to the document such as the dates of completion/publication and URL;    4. Provider: choose one of the following options to indicate who provided the document to the DOE for its review. Select ‘Others’ for documents that were provided by those other than the project participants:       * 1. Project participants;         2. Others. |

1. Clarification requests, corrective action requests and forward action requests

|  |
| --- |
| 1. If needed, copy tables 1, 2, 3 and/or 4 for each CL, CAR, and/or FAR and copy the following rows until the finding is closed unless a FAR for future verifications is issued:    1. Project participant response;    2. Documentation provided by project participant;    3. DOE assessment. 2. For tables 2, 3 and/or 4, indicate the section number of the verification and certification report to which each CL, CAR, and/or FAR corresponds. |

- - - - -

Document information

| Version | Date | Description |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | | |
| 1. 02.0 | 1. 31 October 2017 | 1. Revision to align with the requirements of the “CDM validation and verification standard for project activities” (version 01.0). |
| 1. 01.0 | 1. 23 March 2015 | 1. Initial publication. |
| 1. Decision Class: Regulatory Document Type: Form Business Function: Issuance Keywords: project activities, verifying and certifying | | |

1. This form applies to the verification of any type of registered CDM project activity except registered carbon dioxide capture and storage (CCS) CDM project activities. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)