



TÜV NORD CERT GmbH • P.O. Box 10 32 61 • 45032 Essen • Germany

TÜV NORD CERT GmbH

Langemarckstrasse 20
45141 Essen
Germany

Phone: +49 201 825-0
Fax: +49 201 825-2517

Info.tncert@tuev-nord.de
www.tuev-nord-cert.com

TÜV®

CDM team
UNFCCC Secretariat

Our / Your Reference

Contact
Rainer Winter
E-Mail: rwinter@tuev-nord.de

Direct Dial
Phone: -3329
Fax: -2139

Date
03.05.2017

**Response to Clarification Notification regarding the Request for Revision of the
“ASB0002” (Ref: ASU-002).**

Dear CDM team,

Please find below the response of the TÜV NORD JI/CDM Certification Program to the request for revision clarification for the above mentioned project.

If you have any questions do not hesitate to contact us.

Yours sincerely,

TÜV NORD JI/CDM Certification Program

Rainer Winter

Headquarters
TÜV NORD CERT GmbH
Langemarckstraße 20
45141 Essen
Phone: +49 201 825-0
Fax: +49 201 825-2517
info.tncert@tuev-nord.de
www.tuev-nord-cert.com

Director
Dipl.-Volksw. Ulf Theike

Deputy director
Dipl.-Ing. Wolfgang Wielpütz

Registration Office
Amtsgericht Essen
HRB 9976
VAT No.: DE 811389923
Tax No.: 111/5706/2193

Deutsche Bank AG, Essen
Bank Code: 360 700 50
Account No.: 0607895000
BIC (SWIFT-Code): DEUTDEDE
IBAN-Code: DE 26 3607 0050 0607 8950 00

Request for Clarification Reason (1)	
Scope and Issue raised by the UNFCCC Secretariat:	<p>Please be informed that we have identified the following issues and kindly request you provide clarifications by 10 May 2017.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The DOE's assessment report does not include the DOE's positive assessment opinion on the compliance of the proposed standardized baseline with the approach of the "Guidelines for the establishment of sector specific standardized baselines", as required by paragraph 17 (b) the Procedure: "Development, revision, clarification and update of standardized baselines" v04.0 ; - The DOE's assessment report states that "the data used for the estimation of $SMG_{y,b}$ was adopted from the secondary source applied in version 1.0 of the SB". However, since $SMG_{y,b}$ was not used in version 01 of ASB0002, the DOE is requested to clarify how it has assessed the calculation of the applied value of 0.011 tCH₄/tRawMaterial.
Response by DOE:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The DOE has provided an opinion in section 5.6 of the revised assessment report on the compliance of the proposed standardized baseline with the approach of the "<i>Guidelines for the establishment of sector specific standardized baselines</i>". - It is assumed that the Secretariat refers to the methane emission factor SMG_b and not to $SMG_{y,b}$. The data used for the estimation of SMG_b was adopted from the same literature sources applied in version 1.0 of the SB, initially submitted with PSB0001 and used in the determination of $SMG_{y,b}$¹. The same document was provided in the references section (Chapter 7). We provide the same in this re-submission. <p>As indicated in section 5.3 and section 5.6 of the assessment report, the data was based on peer reviewed publications and publicly available information. The calculation for SMG_b was checked and validated based on data available^{XLS/}. In the calculations, the consultant to the DNA applied the most complete, valid, and relevant dataset, the weighted aggregated value of SMG_b has been calculated based on the number of valid trials. Statement in section 2.1 of the assessment report has been revised and additional information and clarification is also provided in section 5.3 of the revised assessment report.</p>

¹ https://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/standard_base/2015/sb31.html