# CDM-AP78

# Meeting report

# CDM Accreditation Panel seventy-eighth meeting

Version 01.0

Date of meeting: 5 to 7 June 2017

Place of meeting: Bonn, Germany



**United Nations** Framework Convention on Climate Change

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

### Page

| AGENDA ITEM 1.   | AGENDA AND MEETING ORGANIZATION              | 3 |
|------------------|--|---|
| Agenda item 1.1. | Opening                                      | 3 |
| Agenda item 1.2. | Adoption of the agenda                       | 3 |
| AGENDA ITEM 2.   | GOVERNANCE AND MANAGEMENT MATTERS            | 3 |
| Agenda item 2.1. | Performance management                       | 3 |
| Agenda item 2.2. | Matters related to the panel                 | 4 |
| AGENDA ITEM 3.   | RULINGS (CASE-SPECIFIC MATTERS)              | 4 |
| AGENDA ITEM 4.   | RELATIONS WITH FORUMS AND OTHER STAKEHOLDERS | 4 |
| AGENDA ITEM 5.   | CONCLUSION OF THE MEETING                    | 5 |

# Agenda item 1. Agenda and meeting organization

## Agenda item 1.1. Opening

- 1. The Chair of the Clean Development Mechanism Accreditation Panel (CDM-AP) opened the meeting. The members of the CDM-AP welcomed the new Chair, Mr. Kazunari Kainou, and Vice-Chair, Mr. Balisi Gopolang in absentia, appointed by the Executive Board of the clean development mechanism (CDM) (hereinafter referred to as the Board) at its ninetythird meeting, and expressed deep appreciation to the outgoing Chair, Mr. Arthur Rolle, and Vice-Chair, Mr. Martin Enderlin, for their excellent contributions to the work of the CDM-AP.
- 2. The CDM-AP noted that the Vice-Chair, Mr. Balisi Gopolang, and Ms. Ann Marie Howard were not able to attend the meeting and had provided proper justification. Table 1 below represents the attendance of members at the meeting held from 5 to 7 June 2017. The names of those present at the meeting are in bold print.

| 10 |                                  |                          |  |
|----|----------------------------------|--------------------------|--|
|    | Chair and Vice Chair             | Members                  |  |
|    | Mr. Kazunari Kainou (Chair)      | Mr. Anil Jauhri          |  |
|    | Mr. Balisi Gopolang (Vice-Chair) | Ms. Ann Marie Howard     |  |
|    |                                  | Mr. Ricardo Esparta      |  |
|    |                                  | Mr. Sven Gunther Kolmetz |  |
|    |                                  | Ms. Verónica García Malo |  |

#### Table 1.Attendance

#### Agenda item 1.2. Adoption of the agenda

- 3. The agenda of the seventy-eighth meeting of the CDM-AP was adopted as presented.
- 4. The CDM-AP considered information provided by members and the Chair with respect to any potential conflict of interest.

## Agenda item 2. Governance and management matters

#### Agenda item 2.1. Performance management

- 5. The CDM-AP took note of a report on the implementation of the 2017 assessment plan.
- 6. The CDM-AP took note of a report on delays of more than seven days in ongoing assessments.
- 7. The CDM-AP considered the outcome of the performance monitoring of experts on the CDM accreditation roster of experts (ARoE) and agreed on appropriate actions.
- 8. Regarding the appointment of members of the CDM-AP for qualifying CDM technical experts on the ARoE, the CDM-AP took note that the consideration of this matter was postponed to a future meeting.

### Agenda item 2.2. Matters related to the panel

- 9. The CDM-AP took note of the outcomes of the ninety-third and ninety-fourth meetings of the Board that are relevant to the work of the CDM-AP.
- 10. The CDM-AP analysed the inputs from the Designated Operational Entities (DOE) Coordination Forum and provided the following feedback to the secretariat regarding the survey design for the analysis of overall costs for DOEs and possibilities for the reduction of costs:
  - (a) Clarify the reporting period to be the financial year;
  - (b) Simplify the cost table by combining some of the elements for fixed costs;
  - (c) Ask for person-days and request the cost in local currency instead of requesting answers in USD only;
  - (d) Expand the list of possible certification services offered by the DOEs and differentiating between those that are linked to the CDM and not linked to the CDM;
  - (e) Elaborate on the scope, frequency of assessments and stringency of requirements of any other certification scheme that the DOE is accredited for;
  - (f) Accentuate that all information from the DOE will be handled confidentially by the secretariat and will only be presented in an aggregated format.
- 11. With regard to paragraph 10, the CDM-AP took note that the secretariat will launch the survey and provide an analysis of the responses to the Board for its consideration at its ninety-sixth meeting and requested that the secretariat share the analysis with the CDM-AP, when it has been made available to the Board.

# Agenda item 3. Rulings (case-specific matters)

- 12. The CDM-AP considered six re-accreditation cases. The CDM-AP's recommendation on these cases will be submitted to the Board in confidence.
- 13. The CDM-AP considered three regular surveillance cases. The CDM-AP's notifications on these cases will be submitted to the Board in confidence.
- 14. The CDM-AP considered nine performance assessments. The CDM-AP's notifications on these cases will be submitted to the Board in confidence.
- 15. The CDM-AP took note of two notifications of voluntary withdrawal of accreditation.
- 16. The CDM-AP considered 13 notifications of change.
- 17. The CDM-AP considered two other issues concerning DOEs. The CDM-AP's recommendations on these cases will be submitted to the Board in confidence.

# Agenda item 4. Relations with forums and other stakeholders

18. The CDM-AP interacted with the DOEs/accredited independent entities Coordination Forum Chair, Mr. Werner Betzenbichler, in accordance with the "Procedure: Direct

communication with stakeholders" (version 2.0). Mr. Betzenbichler provided input on the structure of the survey for the analysis of overall costs for DOEs (paragraph 9 of the annotated agenda), in particular related to the cost matrix, unit of cost for better comparability across DOEs and staff members, and the period to be covered by the responding DOEs. The CDM-AP took note of the forum's input and thanked Mr. Betzenbichler for his interactions.

# Agenda item 5. Conclusion of the meeting

- 19. The CDM-AP approved the report of the seventy-eighth meeting.
- 20. The CDM-AP Chair closed the meeting.

# Version Date Description 01.0 8 June 2017 CDM-AP78 meeting report Initial publication Decision Class: Operational Document Type: Meeting report Business Function: Governance Keywords: AP, reporting procedure Version

#### **Document information**

- - - - -