

CDM-2016KPI-INFO01

Regular report

Annual KPI Report

Version 01.0



United Nations
Framework Convention on
Climate Change

1. Introduction

1. The Executive Board of the clean development mechanism (CDM) (hereinafter referred to as the Board) agreed through its 2016 Work Plan (WP) on considering regular reports in between meetings, which provide necessary information on the functioning of the support structure of the Board.
2. This document reports the annual performance against each of the fourteen key performance (KPIs) indicators adopted by the Board.
3. The reporting period covered is 01 January 2016 to 31 December 2016.

2. Report

KPI (a)	Target (b)	Performance (c)	Explanatory notes (d)
1. The proportion of EB meeting documents made available in accordance with the rules of procedure of the CDM Executive Board	100%	99%	76 out of 77 documents were made available in accordance with the rules of procedure of the CDM Executive Board. One delay resulted from the high volume of documents relating to the revision of CDM regulatory documents (PS, VVS, PCP) at EB92 in October 2016.
2. The proportion of EB mandated outputs provided to the secretariat delivered on time	100%	91%	111 out of 122 scheduled deliverables were delivered on time. Delays resulted from (i) non-agreement on the item; (ii) the need to rebalance the workload of EB meetings; (iii) prioritization of the work on the revised regulatory documents PS, VVS, PCP for projects and PoAs, assessment of registration and issuance submissions, and on voluntary cancellation of CERs.
3. The proportion of CMP mandates to the Board delivered and delivered on time	100%	85%	17 out of 20 mandates from CMP.11 were completed in 2016. One mandate (Decision 6/CMP.11, para. 18: PoA standard, PoA VVS and PoA cycle procedure) was finalized at the first meeting of the Board in 2017 (EB93). Two mandates (Decision 6/CMP.11, para. 15: Cost-effective approaches for monitoring – Revision to methodologies, tools and regulations; and 6/CMP.11, para. 14: Digitized project and programme design document forms for CDM project activities and PoAs) are planned to be delivered in 2017.
4. Proportion of EB mandated outputs provided to panels and working groups delivered on-time	100%	86%	36 out of 42 products were delivered on time. Delays resulted from (i) non-agreement on the item, or (ii) the rescheduling of products as a result of additional mandates received.

KPI (a)	Target (b)	Performance (c)	Explanatory notes (d)
5. The proportion of methodology cases (new methodologies and revision of existing ones) processed within the specified timelines	100%	80%	One of two bottom up methodology submissions were processed within the specified timelines. The second was delayed as the author of the methodology submission took time to respond to clarification questions sent by the Methodologies Panel. All three bottom-up revisions were processed within the specified timelines.
6. The proportion of new project registrations processed within the specified timelines	100%	96%	For the affected cases, the average delay during the reporting period was 2.3 days at the CC and IRC stages.
7. The proportion of new PoA registrations processed within the specified timelines	100%	89%	For the affected cases, the average delay was 2.8 days at the CC stage.
8. The proportion of project issuance instructions processed within the specified timelines	100%	94%	For the affected cases, the average delay was 2.1 days at the CC, IRC, and SN stages.
9. The proportion of PoA issuance instructions processed within the specified timelines	100%	93%	For the affected cases, the average delay was 2.7 days at the CC, IRC stages.
10. The proportion of communications to the Board processed within the specified timelines	100%	100%	All 64 communications to the Board processed within specified timelines.
11. The proportion of communications to the Secretariat processed within the specified timelines	100%	99%	408 out of 413 communications to the Secretariat processed within specified timelines.
12. Accreditation assessment delays over seven days	0%	0%	All 31 assessments were processed without delays over seven days.
13. The proportion of communications (secretariat track) escalated to the Chair of the Board by a stakeholder after a response is received from the secretariat	0%	0%	None of the 413 communications responded to by the secretariat were escalated to the Board.
14. The proportion of stakeholders using the correct channels of communication.	100%	95%	21 out of 413 communications landed in other inboxes instead of the "CDM Info" inbox that responds to requests for clarifications on CDM rules and regulations.

Document information

<i>Version</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Description</i>
01.0	19 May 2017	Initial publication.

Decision Class: Operational
Document Type: Information note
Business Function: Governance
Keywords: EB, performance indicators, transparency, reporting procedures
