

Stakeholder Communication Form

(Version 01.0)

This form shall be used for any CDM-related communication with the UNFCCC secretariat or the CDM Executive Board. All the questions are mandatory unless otherwise indicated.

The completed form and any supplemental documents shall be submitted electronically to <u>cdm-info@unfccc.int</u>, or via fax to +49-228-815-1999 or via post to: Sustainable Development Mechanism (SDM) Programme, UNFCCC secretariat, P.O. Box 260124, D-53153 Bonn, Germany.

SECTION 1: COMMUNICATION HEADER

Please provide your contact information.

Title: Mr.

Name of Organization: ALLCOT AG

Postal Address: Dammstrasse 19, 6300 Zug,

First Name: Alexis

Phone Number: 41434438080 Include country code (e.g. +49-228-815-1999)

E-mail Address: all@allcot.com

Country: Switzerland

Stakeholder Type: Consultant

If other:

Last Name: Leroy

Please indicate from whom you would like to get an answer.

This communication is addressed to¹: Chair of CDM Executive Board (normal track)

SECTION 2: PROJECT ACTIVITY OR PROGRAMME OF ACTIVITIES (POA)

If this communication refers to a specific CDM project activity/PoA, please answer questions in this section (otherwise proceed to Section 3).

Project/PoA Ref. Number	5-digit# format 01234 If applicable, CPA Ref. Number: 8-digit# format 0123-4567	
Project Cycle Stage	[Choose an item]	If other:

If there is no specific CDM Reference Number, please answer the remaining questions in this section (otherwise proceed to Section 3).

Host Country(ies)		
Project/PoA Title		
Technology Type	[Choose an item]	If other:

SECTION 3: YOUR COMMUNICATION

Title/Subject Maximum 250 characters	Sasol Nitrous Oxide Abatement Project
Communication Text Include background, details, and conclusion (unlimited length)	 Dear Executive Board, ALLCOT AG is an active player with deep roots in the carbon credit trade and origination markets. As suggested by the UNFCCC team we hereby take this opportunity to contact you regarding an issue that has come up with CDM project 0961 "Sasol Nitrous Oxide Abatement Project" for which we are currently in negotiations for the contracting of the produced carbon credits. The project has done a block of monitoring from 1st August 2011 to 24th of May 2014 back when market prices were reasonable. It has come to our attention that in order to issue the CP2 CERs which still have some relative value as oppose to CP1 CERs which are extremely low demand if any, they must undertake the

¹ In accordance with the "*Procedure: Direct communication with stakeholders*" (version 02.0), stakeholders may address communications either (a) to the secretariat, in order to seek a fast-track technical or operational explanation regarding the implementation of existing CDM rules, or (b) to the CDM Executive Board, in order to communicate to the Board their views on CDM rules and their implementation, or to seek official clarifications of CDM rules.

CDM-COM-FORM

This communication may be made public	Yes
Supplemental Documents If applicable, list the title(s) of any attached file(s) or link(s)	
0	CEO ALLCOT AG.
	Sincerely,
	We would be happy to make a case for this via a study or through a conference phone call at the time of your choosing.
	We would very much appreciate your assistance on this matter for which we believe a change in rule will benefit your organization in the way of more active issuances and the project developers which will be able to monetize their units and thus further incentivize them in keeping their CDM projects alive.
	We would therefore like to suggest that Climate Periods be separated in SOP issuances in order to allow a more constructive and recurrent flow of units to be issued.
	We have come across various projects with similar issues where they simply won't issue their units due to this rule and regulation that they must be done jointly regardless of the climate periods or what they are selling which unfortunately means the numbers don't add up ultimately.
	Given the restrictions on CP1, they are only able to sell the CP2 CERs from that block and unfortunately the SOP on both batches together with the current market price of the units doesn't make financially feasible to do so.
	SOP costs of both units and issue them together as a block. This information has been given to us by the CDM Registry Team through the inquiry Case reference Number: INQ-05575-T3R8.

- - - - -

Document information

Version	Date	Description
01.0	02 March 2015	 This form supersedes and replaces the following: F-CDM-RtB: Form for submission of Letters to the Board (version 01.2) F-CDM-RtB-DOE: Form for communication on policy issues initiated by AEs/DOEs (version 01.1) CDM-RtB-DNA: Form for communication on policy issues initiated by DNAs (version 01.1)
Documen Business	Class: Regulatory t Type: Form Function: Governance :: communications	