

CDM-PA0079-RULE01

Ruling note

Request for issuance for “Kuyasa low-cost urban housing energy upgrade project, Khayelitsha (Cape Town; South Africa)”

Version 01.0



United Nations
Framework Convention on
Climate Change

1. The CDM-Executive Board decided to reject the above proposed project activity on 24 July 2015, during its eighty-fifth meeting, in accordance with the “CDM project cycle procedure” (PCP), version 09.0, paragraphs 261 and 262. In accordance with paragraph 267 of the PCP, the ruling shall contain an explanation of the reasons and rationale for the final decision, which are as follows:
 - (a) The DOE (TüV-Nord) failed to substantiate how it assessed the monitoring of “operating hours of CFL” in compliance with VVS, version 07.0, paragraph 274-277 & 294 and the monitoring methodology AMS.II.C, version 5, paragraph 8(a).
 - (b) The relevant requirements are:
 - (i) VVS, version 07.0, paragraph 274-277 state that “The DOE shall determine whether the monitoring plan of the project activity is in accordance with the applied methodology including applicable tool(s) and, where applicable, the applied standardized baseline.”
 - (ii) VVS, version 07.0, paragraph 294 states that “Where the changes are identified by or submitted to the DOE contracted to conduct the verification, the DOE shall determine whether the changes are solely of a type(s) listed in appendix 1 of the Project standard and:
 - a. In such cases, the DOE shall submit the changes as part of the request for issuance in accordance with the Project cycle procedure;
 - b. In all other cases, the DOE shall submit the changes via the request for approval of post registration changes process of the Project cycle procedure.”
 - (iii) AMS.II.C version 5, paragraph 8(a) states that “Monitoring shall consist of monitoring either the ‘power’ and ‘operating hours’ or the ‘energy use’ of the devices installed using an appropriate methodology. Possible methodologies include: (a) ...and metering a sample of the units installed for their operating hours using run time meters.”
 - (c) The reasons and rationale for the final decisions are:
 - (i) The “operating hours of CFL” is not monitored during the monitoring period and the ex-ante value of 6.8 hours available at the time of validation (year 2003) was applied, which is deviating from the methodology requirements.
 - (ii) The DOE has raised a FAR stating that “a PRC shall also be submitted to include this monitoring parameter in Section B.7.1” for next verification due to the non-compliance with methodology, whereas no post registration change is submitted for this monitoring period, which is not in line with VVS, version 07.0, paragraph 294.
2. Please note, however, that, in accordance with paragraph 274 of PCP version 09.0, the DOE may re-submit the request for issuance with revised documentation if the reasons for the rejection can be addressed by means of a revised verification report, based on a revised monitoring report as appropriate.

CDM-PA0079-RULE01

Ruling note: Request for issuance for “Kuyasa low-cost urban housing energy upgrade project, Khayelitsha (Cape Town; South Africa)”
Version 01.0

- - - - -

Document information

<i>Version</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Description</i>
01.0	21 August 2015	Initial publication.

Decision Class: Ruling
Document Type: Ruling note
Business Function: Issuance
Keywords: E-0022, PA0079, monitoring plan, rejection of request for issuance
