



Stakeholder Communication Form

(Version 01.0)

This form shall be used for any CDM-related communication with the UNFCCC secretariat or the CDM Executive Board. All the questions are mandatory unless otherwise indicated.

The completed form and any supplemental documents shall be submitted electronically to cdm-info@unfccc.int, or via fax to +49-228-815-1999 or via post to: Sustainable Development Mechanism (SDM) Programme, UNFCCC secretariat, P.O. Box 260124, D-53153 Bonn, Germany.

SECTION 1: COMMUNICATION HEADER

Please provide your contact information.

Title: Mr. **First Name:** Julio **Last Name:** Giraldo

Name of Organization: ICONTEC **E-mail Address:** jgiraldo@icontec.org

Postal Address: Carrera 37 No 52-95 - Bogotá D.C. **Phone Number:** 5716078888
Country: Colombia *Include country code (e.g. +49-228-815-1999)*

Stakeholder Type: CDM Designated Operational Entity (DOE) If other:

Please indicate from whom you would like to get an answer.

This communication is addressed to¹: UNFCCC secretariat (fast track)

SECTION 2: PROJECT ACTIVITY OR PROGRAMME OF ACTIVITIES (PoA)

If this communication refers to a specific CDM project activity/PoA, please answer questions in this section (otherwise proceed to Section 3).

Project/PoA Ref. Number 5494 If applicable, CPA Ref. Number:
5-digit# format 01234 8-digit# format 0123-4567

Project Cycle Stage Issuance If other:

If there is no specific CDM Reference Number, please answer the remaining questions in this section (otherwise proceed to Section 3).

Host Country(ies)

Project/PoA Title

Technology Type [Choose an item] If other:

SECTION 3: YOUR COMMUNICATION

Title/Subject Clarification for transitional measures for the implementation of the Accreditation Standard Version 0.6
Maximum 250 characters

Communication Text
Include background, details, and conclusion (unlimited length)

We are performing this communication in order to address a specific issue regarding two projects which received an incompleteness during the information and reporting check stage and that are now pending on the reply submission due to the situation that we further detail in the letter.

ICONTEC has requested the withdrawal of the following sectoral scopes according to the CDM accreditation standard version 6 CDM-EB46-A02-STAN:

Sector 4 - Technical Area 4.1 Cement and lime production

Sector 5 - Technical Area 5.1 Chemical industry

- TA 5.2 Caprolactam, nitric and adipic acid

Sector 10 – TA 10.1 Fugitive emissions from oil and gas

¹ In accordance with the "Procedure: Direct communication with stakeholders" (version 02.0), stakeholders may address communications either (a) to the secretariat, in order to seek a fast-track technical or operational explanation regarding the implementation of existing CDM rules, or (b) to the CDM Executive Board, in order to communicate to the Board their views on CDM rules and their implementation, or to seek official clarifications of CDM rules.

Sector 15 – TA 15.1 Agriculture

This request was done taking into account the instructions given on the Transitional provisions for the implementation of the revised CDM accreditation standard" (CDM-EB75-A03-INFO), where it was presented the following information:

"...6. The Board also agreed on the following transitional measures regarding the accreditation status of existing DOEs under version 06.0 of the CDM accreditation standard:

(a) Additional sectoral scopes shall be granted to DOEs based on the revision of technical areas as follows:

A DOE accredited in sectoral scope 2 shall be deemed accredited also in sectoral scope 1;

A DOE accredited in sectoral scope 4 shall be deemed accredited also in sectoral scopes 9 and 10;

A DOE accredited in sectoral scope 10 shall be deemed accredited also in sectoral scope 8, and vice versa;

A DOE accredited in sectoral scope 15 shall be deemed accredited also in sectoral scope 13;

(b) A DOE accredited in one or more sectoral scopes as per version 04.0 of the standard and as per subparagraph (a) above shall notify the Board of which sectoral scope(s) it wishes to remain accredited for no later than 1 March 2014. Withdrawals of accreditation in one or more sectoral scopes thereafter shall be submitted in accordance with the "CDM accreditation procedure";

In addition, within the same document in table 1 is presented the distribution of the technical areas according to sectoral scopes between accreditation standards versions 4 to 6.

When ICONTEC requested the withdrawal of this sectoral scopes, and according to the CDM-EB75-A03-INFO it was expected to maintain the following technical areas: 1.1 Thermal energy generation, 1.2 Renewables, 2.1 Energy distribution, 3.1 Energy demand, 7.1 Transport, 13.1 Waste handling and disposal, 13.2 Animal waste management and 14.1 Forestry.

The requested withdrawal was intended for the following technical areas: 4.1 Cement and lime production, 10.1 Cement and lime production, 5.1 Chemical process industries and 15.1 Agriculture.

As is stated in CDM-EB75-A03-INFO, if a DOE is "accredited in sectoral scope 15 shall be deemed accredited in sectoral scope 13" mainly for technical area 15.2 which is equivalent to technical area 13.2. It is important to clarify that ICONTEC has never had the intention to withdraw the technical area 15.2, and it was our expectation to provide services using the sectoral scope 13, technical area 13.2; instead ICONTEC was following the instructions given by the UNFCCC for the selection of sectoral scopes according to the accreditation standard version 0.6 and the deadlines set to comply with this new version, that shall be put in place from January 1, 2015.

As it was stated in the beginning of the present letter, this communication addressed to UNFCCC is motivated because ICONTEC was hired at the end of 2014 to undertake the verification of the CDM projects 5494 and 5496, registered with version 17.0 of AMS-III.D that was linked with the Sectoral Scope 15 and technical area 15.2 as per the Accreditation Standard version 4.0.

A request for issuance for these projects were submitted on March 27, 2015 and were considered incomplete at the information and reporting check stage on 13 May 2015. A direct communication took place on 27 May 2015 and the documents were revised right after, however ICONTEC could not upload the documents to resubmit the requests for issuance because, according to UNFCCC webpage, it does not hold accreditation in this sectoral scope anymore. Therefore, the two above mentioned projects are currently on hold, although all the answers to the incompleteness and all the revisions requested fully addressed, because of the situation described in this paragraph and cannot move forward in order to obtain their issuance.

When requesting the withdrawal of Sectoral Scope 15, ICONTEC's objective was to be in line with the requirements of the most recent version of the Accreditation Standard. Additionally, there was no interest to keep the accreditation to the Sectoral Scope 15 since the only existing technical area indicated in version 6 of the Accreditation Standard is 15.1 (Agriculture), an area that ICONTEC has no competence to undertake the validation and verification activities. This withdrawal, therefore, was not planned to prevent ICONTEC from conducting verifications of projects applying version 17 of AMS-III.D, but rather to align our quality system to the valid rules.

ICONTEC would also like to reinforce that the document CDM-EB75-A03-INFO does not specify an

	<p>expected date when the revision of the classification of certain CDM baseline and monitoring methodologies will take place, leading to situations where DOEs revise and implement its management and quality systems based on the most recent version of the Accreditation Standard but has to conduct its validation and verification activities based on elements of a previous version of the Standard.</p> <p>Besides all that has been explained, the Project Participant, which is a stakeholder without any responsibilities within this specific situation, became the most affected party, especially due to contract requirements and obligations with a contracted buyer, with fixed delivery dates.</p> <p>Hence, with all that it was detailed in this communication, ICONTEC would like to request the approval to submit the documents to reply to the incompleteness received for the two projects mentioned above in order to continue with the issuance processes and to have a clarification regarding the information on the document CDM-EB75-A03-INFO.</p>
<p>Supplemental Documents <i>If applicable, list the title(s) of any attached file(s) or link(s)</i></p>	<p>Response letter BRASCARBON</p>
<p>This communication may be made public</p>	<p>Yes</p>

Document information

<i>Version</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Description</i>
01.0	02 March 2015	<p>This form supersedes and replaces the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • F-CDM-RtB: <i>Form for submission of Letters to the Board</i> (version 01.2) • F-CDM-RtB-DOE: <i>Form for communication on policy issues initiated by AEs/DOEs</i> (version 01.1) • CDM-RtB-DNA: <i>Form for communication on policy issues initiated by DNAs</i> (version 01.1)

Decision Class: Regulatory
Document Type: Form
Business Function: Governance
Keywords: communications

May 28th, 2015

Sr.
Chair Member of the Executive Board
UNFCCC Secretariat
Bonn, Germany

We are performing this communication in order to address a specific issue regarding two projects which received an incompleteness during the information and reporting check stage and that are now pending on the reply submission due to the situation that we further detail in the letter.

ICONTEC has requested the withdrawal of the following sectoral scopes according to the CDM accreditation standard version 6 CDM-EB46-A02-STAN:

- Sector 4 – TA 4.1 Cement and lime production
- Sector 5 - TA 5.1 Chemical industry
- TA 5.2 Caprolactam, nitric and adipic acid
- Sector 10 – TA 10.1 Fugitive emissions from oil and gas
- Sector 15 – TA 15.1 Agriculture

This request was done taking into account the instructions given on the *Transitional provisions for the implementation of the revised CDM accreditation standard*" (CDM-EB75-A03-INFO), where it was presented the following information:

"...6. The Board also agreed on the following transitional measures regarding the accreditation status of existing DOEs under version 06.0 of the CDM accreditation standard:

(a) Additional sectoral scopes shall be granted to DOEs based on the revision of technical areas as follows:

(i) A DOE accredited in sectoral scope 2 shall be deemed accredited also in sectoral scope 1;

(ii) A DOE accredited in sectoral scope 4 shall be deemed accredited also in sectoral scopes 9 and 10;

(iii) A DOE accredited in sectoral scope 10 shall be deemed accredited also in sectoral scope 8, and vice versa;

(iv) A DOE accredited in sectoral scope 15 shall be deemed accredited also in sectoral scope 13;

(b) A DOE accredited in one or more sectoral scopes as per version 04.0 of the standard and as per subparagraph (a) above shall notify the Board of which sectoral scope(s) it wishes to remain accredited for no later than 1 March 2014. Withdrawals of accreditation in one or more sectoral scopes thereafter shall be submitted in accordance with the "CDM accreditation procedure";

In addition, within the same document in table 1 is presented the distribution of the technical areas according to sectoral scopes between accreditation standards versions 4 to 6.

When ICONTEC requested the withdrawal of this sectoral scopes, and according to the *CDM-EB75-A03-INFO* it was expected to maintain the following technical areas: 1.1 Thermal energy generation, 1.2 Renewables, 2.1 Energy distribution, 3.1 Energy demand, 7.1 Transport, 13.1 Waste handling and disposal, 13.2 Animal waste management and 14.1 Forestry.

The requested withdrawal was intended for the following technical areas: 4.1 Cement and lime production, 10.1 Cement and lime production, 5.1 Chemical process industries and 15.1 Agriculture.

As is stated in *CDM-EB75-A03-INFO*, if a DOE is "accredited in sectoral scope 15 shall be deemed accredited in sectoral scope 13" mainly for technical area 15.2 which is equivalent to technical area 13.2. It is important to clarify that ICONTEC has never had the intention to withdraw the technical area 15.2, and it was our expectation to provide services using the sectoral scope 13, technical area 13.2; instead ICONTEC was following the instructions given by the UNFCCC for the selection of sectoral scopes according to the accreditation standard version 0.6 and the deadlines set to comply with this new version, that shall be put in place from January 1, 2015.

As it was stated in the beginning of the present letter, this communication addressed to UNFCCC is motivated because ICONTEC was hired at the end of 2014 to undertake the verification of the CDM projects 5494 and 5496, registered with version 17.0 of AMS-III.D that was linked with the Sectoral Scope 15 and technical area 15.2 as per the Accreditation Standard version 4.0.

A request for issuance for these projects were submitted on March 27, 2015 and were considered incomplete at the information and reporting check stage on 13 May 2015. A direct communication took place on 27 May 2015 and the documents were revised right after, however ICONTEC could not upload the documents to resubmit the requests for issuance because, according to UNFCCC webpage, it does not hold accreditation in this sectoral scope anymore. Therefore, the two above mentioned projects are currently on hold, although all the answers to the incompleteness and all the revisions requested dully addressed, because of the situation described in this paragraph and cannot move forward in order to obtain their issuance.

When requesting the withdrawal of Sectoral Scope 15, ICONTEC's objective was to be in line with the requirements of the most recent version of the Accreditation Standard. Additionally, there was no interest to keep the accreditation to the Sectoral Scope 15 since the only existing technical area indicated in version 6 of the Accreditation Standard is 15.1 (Agriculture), an area that ICONTEC has no competence to undertake the validation and

verification activities. This withdrawal, therefore, was not planned to prevent ICONTEC from conducting verifications of projects applying version 17 of AMS-III.D, but rather to align our quality system to the valid rules.

ICONTEC would also like to reinforce that the document CDM-EB75-A03-INFO does not specify an expected date when the revision of the classification of certain CDM baseline and monitoring methodologies will take place, leading to situations where DOEs revise and implement its management and quality systems based on the most recent version of the Accreditation Standard but has to conduct its validation and verification activities based on elements of a previous version of the Standard.

Besides all that has been explained, the Project Participant, which is a stakeholder without any responsibilities within this specific situation, became the most affected party, especially due to contract requirements and obligations with a contracted buyer, with fixed delivery dates.

Hence, with all that it was detailed in this communication, ICONTEC would like to request the approval to submit the documents to reply to the incompleteness received for the two projects mentioned above in order to continue with the issuance processes and to have a clarification regarding the information on the document CDM-EB75-A03-INFO.

Yours truly,



JULIO ALEJANDRO GIRALDO
Sustainable Development Chief
ICONTEC