Stakeholder Communication Form

(Version 01.0)

This form shall be used for any CDM-related communication with the UNFCCC secretariat or the CDM Executive Board. All the questions are mandatory unless otherwise indicated.

The completed form and any supplemental documents shall be submitted electronically to cdm-info@unfccc.int, or via fax to +49-228-815-1999 or via post to: Sustainable Development Mechanism (SDM) Programme, UNFCCC secretariat, P.O. Box 260124, D-53153 Bonn, Germany.

SECTION 1: COMMUNICATION HEADER

Please provide your contact information.

Title: Mr.	**First Name:** Manish	**Last Name:** Dabkara
Name of Organization: EKI Energy Services Limited		**E-mail Address:** manish@enkingint.org
Postal Address: 325 Prem Trade Center , Maharani Road, Indore **Country:** India		**Phone Number: 919907534900** *Include country code (e.g. +49-228-815-1999)*
Stakeholder Type: CDM Project Participant (PP)		If other:

Please indicate from whom you would like to get an answer.

This communication is addressed to[1]: Chair of CDM Executive Board (normal track)

SECTION 2: PROJECT ACTIVITY OR PROGRAMME OF ACTIVITIES (PoA)

If this communication refers to a specific CDM project activity/PoA, please answer questions in this section (otherwise proceed to Section 3).

Project/PoA Ref. Number	*5-digit# format 01234*	If applicable, CPA Ref. Number: *8-digit# format 0123-4567*
Project Cycle Stage	[Choose an item]	If other:

If there is no specific CDM Reference Number, please answer the remaining questions in this section (otherwise proceed to Section 3).

Host Country(ies)	
Project/PoA Title	
Technology Type	[Choose an item] If other:

SECTION 3: YOUR COMMUNICATION

Title/Subject *Maximum 250 characters*	Clarifications related to Validation , Verification & SOP issues
Communication Text *Include background, details, and conclusion (unlimited length)*	Question 01 – As per Para 78 – Project Standard Ver09 "Project participants or the coordinating/managing entity shall complete the local stakeholder consultation process before the start date of the project activity, PoA or CPA, as defined in the "Glossary of CDM terms" and submitting the PDD or PoA-DD of the proposed CDM project activity or PoA to a DOE for validation." Clarification are as – a. We need to clarify that if the project start date is of date prior to 1 April 2015, when the Project Standard Ver09 was not adopted, then Project participants were not having mandate or guideline to conduct Local Stake Hodler Meetings and now if they want to get their project registered then would it not be allowed ? b. If the project is webhosted earlier during year 2011 & due to incompetent consultant project

---

[1] In accordance with the "*Procedure: Direct communication with stakeholders*" *(version 02.0)*, stakeholders may address communications either (a) to the secretariat, in order to seek a fast-track technical or operational explanation regarding the implementation of existing CDM rules, or (b) to the CDM Executive Board, in order to communicate to the Board their views on CDM rules and their implementation, or to seek official clarifications of CDM rules.

	proponent had withdrawn the project from Validation Stage. Now this project wishes to reapply then would it be allowed referring to Para 78 PS Ver09 because at that time Local Stakeholder meeting guidelines were to conduct the same before webhosting of project for Global Stake holder Consulting Period.
	Question 02 – These days as CER selling rate is low, some of the project participants in the bundled project activity are not interested to get into verification but some keeps hope for better price selling & want to start verification activity so that they have issued credits for trading. Our questions are as – a. Is there any provision for temporary removal of bundle partners, who are not interested to get into verification, for specific monitoring period? Say during 1st monitoring period some bundle partners are removed and then at 2nd moniroting period this bundle component shall be added again for verification activity. b. Is there any provision for permanent removal of bundle partners, who are not interested to get into verification?
	Question 03 – Share of Proceeds Since in the present secenario demand of credits issued before 31 Dec 2012 is very low. If project is registered on 01 Jan 2010 and wishes to get verification of mornioring period from 2 Jan 2010 to 1 March 2015 i.e. two periods as - 1. Before 31 Dec 12 – 1 Jan 2010 to 31 Dec 12 2. After 1 Jan 13 – from 1 Jan 13 to 1 March 15 Project participant wishs to pay Share of Proceeds for the credits issued from 1 Jan 13 to 1 March 15 since they are tradable but don't want to pay SOP for credits issued before 31 Dec 12 since they don't have market demand, once demand will come it shall be paid. Kindly clarify how to proceed in such cases.
Supplemental Documents *If applicable, list the title(s) of any attached file(s) or link(s)*	Suggestion recommendations are as – Question 01 Suggestion – Para 78 – Project Standard Ver09 must be applicable for project having start date post 1April 2015. Question 02 Suggestion – For such bundled projects cases, DOE must be empowerd to take such decisions provided additionality & moniroting plan of other bundle partners are not affected, it will reduce verification process time. Temporay deviation procedure if allowed then its not much clear how to process the same (which application form to be used , which process to be adopted, how much time it takes) Question 03 Suggestion – Share of proceeds if paid for specific issued credits must be allowed for trading, it will boost / motivate many projects verification
This communication may be made public	Yes

- - - - -

Document information

Version	Date	Description
01.0	02 March 2015	This form supersedes and replaces the following: • F-CDM-RtB: *Form for submission of Letters to the Board* (version 01.2) • F-CDM-RtB-DOE: *Form for communication on policy issues initiated by AEs/DOEs* (version 01.1) • CDM-RtB-DNA: *Form for communication on policy issues initiated by DNAs* (version 01.1)

Decision Class: Regulatory
Document Type: Form
Business Function: Governance
Keywords: communications