

Validation report form for post-registration changes for CDM project activities

(Version 01.0)

Complete this form in accordance with the "Attachment: Instructions for filling out the validation report form for post-registration changes for CDM project activities" at the end of this form.

VALIDATION REPORT ON POST-REGISTRATION CHANGES (PRCs)

Title and reference number of the project activity	
Process track	Prior approval
	Issuance
	Renewal of crediting period
Version number of the validation report on PRCs	
Completion date of the validation report on PRCs	
Type(s) of PRCs	Temporary deviations from the registered monitoring plan, monitoring methodology or standardized baseline
	Corrections
	Changes to the start date of the crediting period
	Inclusion of a monitoring plan to a registered project activity
	Permanent changes from registered monitoring plan, monitoring methodology or standardized baseline
	Changes to the project design of a registered project activity
	Types of changes specific to afforestation and reforestation project activities
Version number of PDD to which this report applies	
Project participant(s)	
Host Party	
Sectoral scope(s), selected methodology(ies), and where applicable, selected standardized baseline(s)	
Name of DOE	
Name, position and signature of the approver of the validation report on PRCs	

SECTION A. Executive summary

>>

SECTION B. Validation team, technical reviewer and approver

>>

B.1. Validation team member

No.	Role		Last name	First name	Affiliation	l	nvolve	ment i	n
		Type of resource			(e.g. name of central or other office of DOE or outsourced entity)	Desk review	On-site inspection	Interview(s)	Validation findings
1.	Team Leader	_							
2.	Validator								
	Technical Expert								
	Financial/ Other Expert								
	Trainee								

B.2. Technical reviewer and approver of the validation report on PRCs

No.	Role	Type of	Last name	First name	Affiliation
		resource			(e.g. name of
					central or other
					office of DOE or
					outsourced entity)
1.	Technical reviewer				
	Approver				

SECTION C. Means of validation

C.1. Desk review

>>

C.2. On-site inspection

	Duration of on-site inspection: DD/MM/YYYY to DD/MM/YYYY							
No.	Activity performed on-site	Site location	Date	Team member				
1.								

C.3. Interviews

No.	Interviewee		Date	Subject	Team member	
	Last name	First name	Affiliation			
1.						

C.4. Clarification requests, corrective action requests and forward action requests raised

Areas of validation findings	No. of CL	No. of CAR	No. of FAR
Compliance with PDD form			
Temporary deviations from the registered monitoring plan,			
monitoring methodology or standardized baseline			
Corrections			
Changes to the start date of the crediting period			
Inclusion of a monitoring plan to a registered project			
activity			
Permanent changes from registered monitoring plan,			
monitoring methodology or standardized baseline			
Changes to the project design of a registered project			
activity			
Types of changes specific to afforestation and			
reforestation project activities			
Others (please specify)			
Total			

SECTION D. Validation findings

D.1. Compliance with PDD form

Means of validation	
Findings	
Conclusion	

D.2. Temporary deviations from the registered monitoring plan, monitoring methodology or standardized baseline

Means of validation	
Findings	
Conclusion	

D.3. Corrections

Means of validation	
Findings	
Conclusion	

D.4. Changes to the start date of the crediting period

-	• ·
Means of validation	
Findings	
Conclusion	

D.5. Inclusion of a monitoring plan to a registered project activity

	0.	U		
Means of validation				
Findings				

Conclusion	

D.6. Permanent changes from registered monitoring plan, monitoring methodology or standardized baseline

Means of validation	
Findings	
Conclusion	

D.7. Changes to the project design of a registered project activity

Means of validation	
Findings	
Conclusion	

D.8. Types of changes specific to afforestation and reforestation project activities

Means of validation	
Findings	
Conclusion	

SECTION E. Internal quality control

>>

SECTION F. Validation opinion

>>

Appendix 1. Abbreviations

Abbreviations	Full texts

Appendix 2. Competence of team members and technical reviewers

Appendix 3. Documents reviewed or referenced

No.	Author	Title	References to the document	Provider
1				
2				
3				

Appendix 4. Clarification requests, corrective action requests and forward action requests

Table 1.	CL from this validation				
CL ID	XX	Section no.		Date: DD/MM/YYYY	
Description	of CL				
Project partie	Project participant response Date: DD/MM/YYYY				
Documentation provided by project participant					
DOE assess	ment			Date: DD/MM/YYYY	

Table 2.	CAR from this validation			
CAR ID	ХХ	Section no.	Date: DD/MM/YYYY	
Description	of CAR			
Project participant response Date: DD/MM/YYYY				
Documentat	Documentation provided by project participant			

DOE assessment	Date: DD/MM/YYYY	

Table 3.	FAR from	this validation			
FAR ID	XX	Section no.	Date: DD/MM/YYYY		
Description	of FAR				
Project participant response Date: DD/MM/YYYY			Date: DD/MM/YYYY		
Documentation provided by project participant					
DOE assessment Date: DD/MM/YYYY					

Attachment: Instructions for filling out the validation report form for post-registration changes for CDM project activities

1. General instructions

- 1. When completing the CDM-PRCV-FORM that applies to the validation of post-registration changes (PRCs) of any type of registered CDM project activity except registered carbon dioxide capture and storage (CCS) CDM project activities, in addition to applying the relevant requirements in the valid version of the "CDM validation and verification standard (VVS)", consult the "Rules and Reference" section of the UNFCCC CDM website. This section contains all regulatory documents for the CDM, such as <u>standards</u> (including methodologies, tools and <u>standardized baselines</u>), procedures, guidelines, clarifications, forms and the "Glossary: CDM terms".
- 2. Include, if necessarily, additional information other than that indicated in this validation report on PRCs, in order to support how the designated operational entity (DOE) has arrived at its opinion. This information may include, but need not be limited to tables, graphs and annexes such as a validation protocol.
- 3. List all the abbreviations used in this validation report in Appendix 1 below.
- 4. Complete the CDM-PRCV-FORM and all attached documents in English, or attach a full translation of relevant sections in English.
- 5. Complete the CDM-PRCV-FORM using the same format without modifying its font, headings or logo, and without any other alteration to the form.
- 6. Do not modify or delete the tables and their columns in the CDM-PRCV-FORM. Add rows to the tables and appendices as needed.
- 7. If a section of the CDM-PRCV-FORM is not applicable, explicitly state "N/A" to indicate that the section is left blank intentionally.
- 8. Use an internationally recognized format for the presentation of values in the CDM-PRCV-FORM, for example use digits grouping in thousands and mark a decimal point with a dot (.), not with a comma (,).
- 9. Complete the CDM-PRCV-FORM deleting this attachment "Instructions for filling out the validation report form for post-registration changes for CDM project activity".

2. Specific instructions

- 1. Indicate the following information on the cover page:
 - (a) Title and reference number of the project activity (UNFCCC reference number);
 - (b) Process track (check the applicable track);
 - (c) Version number of the validation report on PRCs (version XX.X);
 - (d) Completion date of the validation report on PRCs (DD/MM/YYYY);
 - (e) Type(s) of PRCs (check the applicable type(s) of PRCs);
 - (f) Version number of monitoring report to which this report applies (version XX.X);
 - (g) Project participant(s);
 - (h) Host Party;
 - (i) Sectoral scope(s), selected methodology(ies) and, where applicable, selected standardized baseline(s);
 - (j) Name of DOE;
 - (k) Name, position and signature of an approver of the validation report on PRCs.

SECTION A. Executive summary

1. Provide a brief summary of the project activity (including the purpose and general description and location), scope of the validation, validation process and conclusion.

SECTION B. Validation team, technical reviewer and approver

- 1. Provide details of the validation team, technical reviewer and approver in sections B.1 and B.2. If applicable, also identify any trainees.
- 2. For "Type of resource" in sections B.1 and B.2, indicate the type of resource of the personnel with the use of one of the following abbreviations referring to the "CDM accreditation standard";
 - (a) IR (Internal Resource);
 - (b) EI (External Individuals);
 - (c) OR (Outsourced Resource).
- 3. Demonstrate how the team meets the competence required for the validation in Appendix 2 below.

SECTION C. Means of validation

C.1. Desk review

1. List all documents reviewed or referenced during the validation in Appendix 3 below.

C.2. On-site inspection

1. Summarize any on-site inspection performed during the validation in the table.

C.3. Interviews

1. Summarize all the interviews (i.e. in-person interviews, web/teleconferences, etc.) conducted during the validation in the table.

C.4. Clarification requests, corrective action requests and forward action requests raised

1. Indicate in the table the number of clarification requests (CLs), corrective action requests (CARs) and forward action requests (FARs) raised during the validation of each type of post-registration change in SECTION D below.

SECTION D. Validation findings

- 1. In sections D.1–D.8 below, where applicable, complete tables to validate the compliance in accordance with applicable validation requirements in the VVS by describing:
 - (a) Means of validation: describe how the compliance was validated;
 - (b) Findings: provide a brief description of the findings. Include in Appendix 4 below details of any CLs, CARs and FARs, if raised;
 - (c) Conclusion: provide a conclusion on the compliance based on the findings.

D.1. Compliance with PDD form

1. Confirm the compliance of the revised PDD (both in tracked-change and clean versions) with the valid version of the applicable PDD form and the instructions therein for filling out the PDD form.

2. If the project participants used the later version of the PDD form for the revised PDD than the version of the PDD form of the registered PDD, confirm whether information transferred to the later version of the PDD form is materially the same as that in the registered PDD.

- 1. Explain how the temporary deviations from the registered monitoring plan, applied monitoring methodology and/or applied standardized baseline were assessed in accordance with applicable validation requirements related to the temporary deviations from the registered monitoring plan, monitoring methodology or standardized baseline in the VVS.
- 2. Provide an assessment on whether the temporary deviations from the registered monitoring plan, applied monitoring methodology and/or applied standardized baseline require prior approval by the Board.
- 3. Indicate the period for which the temporary deviation from the registered monitoring plan, applied monitoring methodology and/or applied standardized baseline is applicable.

D.3. Corrections

- 1. Explain how the corrections to the registered PDD were assessed in accordance with applicable validation requirements related to the corrections in the VVS.
- 2. Provide an assessment on whether the corrections require prior approval by the Board.

D.4. Changes to the start date of the crediting period

- 1. Explain how the changes to the start date of the crediting period were assessed in accordance with applicable validation requirements related to the changes to the start date of the crediting period in the VVS.
- 2. Provide an assessment on whether the changes to the start date of the crediting period require prior approval by the Board.

D.5. Inclusion of a monitoring plan to a registered project activity

1. Explain how the inclusion of a monitoring plan to the registered project activity was assessed in accordance with applicable validation requirements related to the inclusion of a monitoring plan to a registered project activity in the VVS.

D.6. Permanent changes from registered monitoring plan, monitoring methodology or standardized baseline

- 1. Explain how the permanent changes from the registered monitoring plan, applied monitoring methodology and/or applied standardized baseline were assessed in accordance with applicable validation requirements related to the permanent changes from the registered monitoring plan, monitoring methodology and/or standardized baseline in the VVS.
- 2. Provide an assessment on whether the permanent changes from the registered monitoring plan, applied monitoring methodology and/or applied standardized baseline require prior approval by the Board.

D.7. Changes to the project design of a registered project activity

- 1. Explain how the changes to the project design of a registered project activity were assessed in accordance with applicable validation requirements related to the changes to the project design of a registered project activity in the VVS.
- 2. Provide an assessment on whether the changes to the project design of the registered project activity require prior approval by the Board.

D.8. Types of changes specific to afforestation and reforestation project activities

1. Explain how the changes specific to afforestation and reforestation project activities were assessed in accordance with applicable validation requirements related to the types of changes specific to afforestation and reforestation project activities in the VVS.

SECTION E. Internal quality control

1. Describe the measures taken to ensure the quality of the validation activities.

SECTION F. Validation opinion

1. Provide a validation opinion on the post-registration changes.

Appendix 1. Abbreviations

1. List all the abbreviations used in this report in the table.

Appendix 2. Competence of team members and technical reviewers

1. Provide documentation to substantiate the required competence of validation team members and technical reviewer(s).

Appendix 3. Documents reviewed or referenced

- 1. List all documents reviewed or referenced during the validation including CDM regulatory documents in the table.
- 2. For each document indicate the following:
 - (a) Title: provide the title of the document. Include the version number, if applicable;
 - (b) Author: provide the name(s) of the author(s). Where the author(s) belong(s) to the organization(s) that issue the document, provide only the name(s) of the organization(s);
 - (c) References to the document: where applicable, provide the relevant reference to the document such as the dates of completion/publication and URL;
 - (d) Provider: choose one of the following options to indicate who provided the document to the DOE for its desk review. Select 'Others' for documents that were provided by those other than the project participants:
 - (i) Project participants;
 - (ii) Others.

Appendix 4. Clarification requests, corrective action requests and forward action requests

- 1. If needed, copy tables 1, 2 and/or 3 for each CL, CAR, and/or FAR, and copy the following rows until the finding is closed unless a FAR for future validation is issued:
 - (a) Project participant response;
 - (b) Documentation provided by project participant;
 - (c) DOE assessment.
- 2. In each table, indicate the section number of the validation report on PRCs to which each CL, CAR or FAR corresponds.

- - - - -

Document information

Version	Date	Description	
01.0	23 March 2015	Initial publication.	
Decision	Class: Regulatory		
Documen	it Type: Form		
Business	Business Function: Registration		
Keywords	Keywords: post-registration change, project activities, validation report		