

**CDM-SSCWG45-AA**

## Annotated agenda

---

# Small-Scale Working Group forty-fifth meeting

Version 1.0

Date of meeting: 25 to 28 August 2014

Place of meeting: Bonn, Germany



**United Nations**  
Framework Convention on  
Climate Change

<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>		<b>Page</b>
<b>ANNOTATIONS TO THE PROPOSED AGENDA .....</b>		<b>3</b>
<b>AGENDA ITEM 1.</b>	<b>AGENDA AND MEETING ORGANIZATION .....</b>	<b>3</b>
Agenda item 1.1.	Opening.....	3
Agenda item 1.2.	Adoption of the agenda.....	3
<b>AGENDA ITEM 2.</b>	<b>GOVERNANCE AND MANAGEMENT MATTERS .....</b>	<b>3</b>
Agenda item 2.1.	Membership issues .....	3
Agenda item 2.2.	Performance management .....	3
Agenda item 2.3.	Matters related to the SSC WG .....	4
<b>AGENDA ITEM 3.</b>	<b>REGULATORY MATTERS .....</b>	<b>4</b>
Agenda item 3.1.	Standards/tools .....	4
	Agenda item 3.1.1. Consideration of proposed standardized baselines .....	4
	Agenda item 3.1.2 Consideration of proposed new small-scale methodological standards/tools .....	5
	Agenda item 3.1.3. Consideration of revisions of methodological standards/tools .....	5
	Agenda item 3.1.4. Global stakeholder consultation/call for public input .....	7
	Agenda item 3.1.5. Consideration of requests for clarification on small-scale methodologies.....	7
	Agenda item 3.1.6. Consultation on issues related to methodological standards .....	8
	Agenda item 3.1.7. Post registration changes .....	8
	Agenda item 3.1.8. Other issues.....	8
Agenda item 3.2.	Guidelines .....	9
<b>AGENDA ITEM 4.</b>	<b>CONCLUSION OF THE MEETING .....</b>	<b>10</b>

## Annotations to the proposed agenda

### Agenda item 1. Agenda and meeting organization

#### Agenda item 1.1. Opening

1. ► **Action:** The meeting will be opened by the Chair of the Small-Scale Working Group (SSC WG).

#### Agenda item 1.2. Adoption of the agenda

2. ► **Action:** The SSC WG Chair may wish to adopt the proposed agenda for the forty-fifth meeting.

*Background:* The agenda was drawn up based on submission requests, top-down work initiated by the secretariat, requests from the SSC WG and mandates from the Board.

### Agenda item 2. Governance and management matters

#### Agenda item 2.1. Membership issues

3. ► **Action:** Members of the SSC WG may wish to provide statements on any potential conflict of interest concerning the issues on the agenda for the meeting, and take action, as appropriate.

*Background:* In accordance with paragraph 25 of the “Terms of reference of the support structure of the CDM Executive Board (version 04.0)”, “members of a panel or working group shall abide by the code of conduct of the Board, as well as by the provisions related to conflict of interest and transparency of the Board, taking into account specific confidentiality requirements. They shall declare whether they have a pecuniary or financial interest in an issue presented to them as a member of the panel or working group and refrain from participating in any discussion or decision on the issue”. Such issues include accreditation of operational entities, consideration and approval of methodologies, registration of clean development mechanism (CDM) project activities and/or the issuance of related certified emission reductions.

#### Agenda item 2.2. Performance management

4. ► **Action:** The SSC WG may wish to take note that the Board considered an update on the workplan of panels and working groups 2014 (EB 79 annotated agenda, annex 2).

*Background:* The workplan specifies products and routine operations that each of the panels and working groups are requested to consider during the year and is as such applicable to the CDM Accreditation Panel (CDM-AP), the Methodologies Panel (Meth Panel), the Small-Scale Working Group (SSC WG), the Carbon Dioxide Capture and Storage Working Group (CCS WG), and the Afforestation and Reforestation Working Group (A/R WG).

### Agenda item 2.3. Matters related to the SSC WG

5. ► **Action:** The SSC WG members may want to take note of the update on the outcomes of the EB 79 and EB80 meetings.

*Background:* There have been two Board meetings (EB 79 28 May–1 June 2014 and EB 80 14–18 July 2014) since the SSC WG 44 meeting (5–8 May 2014).

6. ► **Action:** The SSC WG members may want to take note of the update on the outcomes of the MP 64 meeting.

*Background:* There has been one Meth Panel meeting (MP 64 19–22 August 2014) since the SSC WG 44 meeting.

7. ► **Action:** The SSC WG members may want to take note of the update of the outcomes of the A/R WG 39 meeting.

*Background:* There has been one A/R WG meeting (A/R WG 39 on 11 August 2014) since the SSC WG 44 meeting.

8. ► **Action:** The SSC WG may want to take note of the updates on relevant regulatory matters in preparation of the consideration of agenda items for this meeting.

*Background:* The secretariat will brief the SSC WG on relevant editorial revisions, fast track clarifications and fast track proposed standardized baselines finalized since the last meeting of the SSC WG in accordance with the procedures for “Development, revision and clarification of baseline and monitoring methodologies and methodological tools”. The relevant procedure is available on the UNFCCC CDM website at: <<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Reference/Procedures/index.html#meth>> and the “Procedure for the submission and consideration of standardized baselines” (version 02.0) are available at <<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Reference/Procedures/index.html#meth>>.

## Agenda item 3. Regulatory matters

### Agenda item 3.1. Standards/tools

#### Agenda item 3.1.1. Consideration of proposed standardized baselines

► **Action:** The SSC WG may wish to consider the proposed standardized baseline submissions:

- (a) PSB0019: Standardized baseline for methane emissions from rice cultivation in the Republic of the Philippines.

*Background:* In accordance with the procedure for submission and consideration of standardized baselines, a designated national authority (DNA), may submit proposals for standardized baselines for consideration of the CDM Executive Board. The relevant procedure “Procedure for the submission and consideration of standardized baselines” (version 02.0) is available on the UNFCCC CDM website at: <<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Reference/Procedures/index.html#meth>>.

### **Agenda item 3.1.2 Consideration of proposed new small-scale methodological standards/tools**

9. **►Action:** The SSC WG may wish to consider the proposed new small-scale methodologies/tools:
- (a) SSC-NM096: Supply side energy efficiency intervention through demand side reactive power compensation;
  - (b) Specific methodologies for specific industrial application (e.g. motor drive system) with standardized approaches for baseline settings;
  - (c) Methodological tool: “Leakage due to biomass residues utilisation”.

*Background:* New small-scale methodologies and tools are developed based on submissions of proposed new methodologies, upon decision by the Board to develop a new methodology or as prompted by the CMP, or as suggested by a methodological panel or working group or the UNFCCC secretariat in accordance with the procedure “Development, revision and clarification of baseline and monitoring methodologies and methodological tools”, as part of the routine operation of the SSC WG. The relevant procedure is available on the UNFCCC CDM website at: <http://cdm.unfccc.int/Reference/Procedures/index.html#meth>.

### **Agenda item 3.1.3. Consideration of revisions of methodological standards/tools**

10. **►Action:** The SSC WG may wish to consider the bottom-up and top-down revisions of small-scale methodologies:
- (a) SSC\_648: Revision of AMS-III.AJ to cover recycling of paper/cardboard;
  - (b) To be used in conjunction with the standardized baseline submission: “PSB0019: Standardized baseline for methane emissions from rice cultivation in the Republic of the Philippines”:
    - (i) AMS-III.AU: Methane emission reduction by adjusted water management practice in rice cultivation;
  - (c) To include provisions for the potential application of the methodological tool “Project emissions from cultivation of biomass” in small-scale methodologies:
    - (i) AMS-I.G: Plant oil production and use for energy generation in stationary applications;
    - (ii) AMS-I.H: Biodiesel production and use for energy generation in stationary applications;
    - (iii) AMS-III.AK: Biodiesel production and use for transport applications;
    - (iv) AMS-III.T: Plant oil production and use for transport applications;
    - (v) AMS-I.B: Mechanical energy for the user with or without electrical energy;
    - (vi) AMS-I.D: Grid connected renewable electricity generation;

- (vii) AMS-I.E: Switch from non-renewable biomass for thermal applications by the user;
  - (viii) AMS-I.F: Renewable electricity generation for captive use and mini-grid;
  - (ix) AMS-III.A: Offsetting of synthetic nitrogen fertilizers by inoculant application in legumes-grass rotations on acidic soils on existing cropland;
  - (x) AMS-III.AS: Switch from fossil fuel to biomass in existing manufacturing facilities for non-energy applications;
  - (xi) AMS-III.BF: Reduction of N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from use of Nitrogen Use Efficient (NUE) seeds that require less fertilizer application;
- (d) To make SSC methodologies applicable under a PoA:
- (i) AMS-I.G: Plant oil production and use for energy generation in stationary applications;
  - (ii) AMS-I.H: Biodiesel production and use for energy generation in stationary applications;
  - (iii) AMS-III.T: Plant oil production and use for transport applications;
- (e) To include procedures for determining baseline scenarios for Greenfield/capacity expansion project activities in Type I methodologies:
- (i) AMS-I.B: Mechanical energy for the user with or without electrical energy;
  - (ii) AMS-I.D: Grid connected renewable electricity generation;
  - (iii) AMS-I.E: Switch from non-renewable biomass for thermal applications by the user;
  - (iv) AMS-I.F: Renewable electricity generation for captive use and mini-grid;
- (f) To include eligibility criteria for PoAs:
- (i) AMS-II.G: Energy efficiency measures in thermal applications of non-renewable biomass;
  - (ii) AMS-I.L: Electrification of rural communities using renewable energy;
  - (iii) AMS-III.R: Methane recovery in agricultural activities at household/small farm level;
  - (iv) AMS III.H: Methane recovery in wastewater treatment;
- (g) To simplify and streamline methodologies:
- (i) AMS-II.J: Demand-side activities for efficient lighting technologies;
  - (ii) AMS-III.AR: Substituting fossil fuel based lighting with LED/CFL lighting systems;
  - (iii) AMS-III.Q: Waste energy recovery (gas/heat/pressure) projects;

- (iv) AMS-III.G: Landfill methane recovery;
- (v) AMS-III.E: Avoidance of methane production from decay of biomass through controlled combustion, gasification or mechanical/thermal treatment.

*Background:* Revisions of approved small-scale methodologies are developed based on submissions of proposed revised methodologies, upon decision by the Board to revise a methodology or as prompted by the CMP, or as suggested by a methodological panel or working group or the UNFCCC secretariat in accordance with the procedures “Development, revision and clarification of baseline and monitoring methodologies and methodological tools” (version 01.1). The methodology related procedures are available on the UNFCCC CDM website at:  
<<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Reference/Procedures/index.html#meth>>.

#### **Agenda item 3.1.4. Global stakeholder consultation/call for public input**

11. ► **Action:** The SSC WG may wish to specify the outcomes of the meeting which will result in calls for public input.

*Background:* In accordance with the procedure “Development, revision and clarification of baseline and monitoring methodologies and methodological tools (version 01.1)”, available on the UNFCCC website at:  
<<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Reference/Procedures/index.html#meth>> the secretariat shall make the draft recommendation from the panel or working group to the Board on top-down development of a new methodology or tool and top-down revision of approved methodologies or tools, publicly available on the UNFCCC CDM website for global stakeholder consultation for a period of 15 days. After this period, the secretariat shall make all comments received publicly available on the UNFCCC CDM website.

#### **Agenda item 3.1.5. Consideration of requests for clarification on small-scale methodologies**

12. ► **Action:** The SSC WG may wish to consider the submitted request for clarifications:
- (a) SSC\_710: Consideration of attributable GHG emissions from additional fossil fuel boiler installed in conjunction with the WHR project activity;
  - (b) SSC\_711: Clarification on the requirement to monitor continued use of baseline stoves under AMS-II.G.

*Background:* Requests for clarification are considered by the SSC WG in accordance with the procedure “Development, revision and clarification of baseline and monitoring methodologies and methodological tools”. The relevant procedure is available on the UNFCCC CDM website at:  
<<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Reference/Procedures/index.html#meth>>.

### **Agenda item 3.1.6. Consultation on issues related to methodological standards**

13. ► **Action:** The SSC WG may wish to provide comments on the concept note on "Development of two standards with a methodological framework for two specific project types".

*Background:* The work is based on the approved workplan of panels and working groups for 2014 (EB 77 report, annex 2).

14. ► **Action:** The SSC WG may wish to provide input on future strategic methodological developments and how to make best use of meth related panels and working groups.

*Background:* The work is based in a request by the Board at its seventy-ninth meeting to review of the roles of panels and working groups and elaborate a new concept note for the consideration by the Board at a future meeting.

### **Agenda item 3.1.7. Post registration changes**

15. ► **Action:** The SSC WG may wish to provide input to the secretariat on the request for approval of post registration changes:

(a) PRC-9666: Promoting Efficient Stove Dissemination and Use in West Africa.

*Background:* Requests for approval of post registration changes are considered by the SSC WG upon request by the secretariat in accordance with the procedure "Clean development mechanism project cycle procedure". The relevant procedure is available on the UNFCCC CDM website at:

<[http://cdm.unfccc.int/Reference/procedures/index.html#proj\\_cycle](http://cdm.unfccc.int/Reference/procedures/index.html#proj_cycle)>.

### **Agenda item 3.1.8. Other issues**

16. ► **Action:** The SSC WG may wish to consider an analysis of SSC methodologies for the issue of negative emission reductions related to PoAs.

*Background:* The Board, at its seventy-eighth meeting, considered a concept note on further work on batched issuance requests for PoAs and requested the SSC WG to propose appropriate modifications to the regulatory documents and/or related methodologies to address the issue of negative emission reductions as per Option 1 of the concept note.

17. ► **Action:** The SSC WG may wish to consider the revised default values of fNRB for Sudan and further feedback on other default values.

*Background:* The Board, at its sixty-seventh meeting, considered an information note on default values of fraction of non-renewable biomass (fNRB) for 58 LDCs and SIDS (EB 67, annex 22). The Board agreed to approve the approach to calculate the fNRB values for LDCs, SIDS and Parties with 10 or less registered CDM project activities as of 31 December 2010 and the values as indicated in the information note. The Board further requested the secretariat, in consultation with the SSC WG, to continue to determine fNRB factors for Parties with 10 or less registered CDM project activities as of 31 December 2010 and recommend to the Board default values for application in these countries. Draft calculations of fNRB values for more countries have been prepared by



the secretariat especially for the cases where the designated national authorities (DNAs) have been set up recently.

18. **►Action:** The SSC WG may wish to consider draft methodology specific PDD forms for the simplification of the validation process.

*Background:* The Board, at its seventy–ninth meeting, considered a concept note, prepared in response to the request from the Conference of Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol (CMP) in decision 3/CMP 9, paragraph 18, on general simplification in the validation process for project activities and PoAs that are deemed to be automatically additional and agreed that these type of activities can benefit from the use of simplified methodology specific PDD form. The Board also agreed to initiate work on the development of three methodology specific PDD forms and would decide whether it will be annexed to the respective methodology or as a part of instructions for filling out the PDD form (EB 79, para 58).

19. **►Action:** The SSC WG may wish to consider consistent and comparable methods to distinguish by project size across small-scale methodologies for fuel switch.

*Background:* The Board, at its seventy–eighth meeting, considered a concept note on further work on methodologies, tools and standards. The Board agreed to the methodological products for further work. (EB 78, annex 8, table 2).

20. **►Action:** The SSC WG may wish to consider the concept note on simplification in monitoring in small-scale methodologies.

*Background:* The Board, at its seventy–eighth meeting, considered a concept note on further work on methodologies, tools and standards. The Board agreed to the methodological products for further work (EB 78, annex 8, tables 1-4).

### **Agenda item 3.2. Guidelines**

21. **►Action:** The SSC WG may wish to consider the revisions of the “Guidelines on demonstrating additionality of microscale project activities” and the “Guidelines on the demonstration of additionality of small-scale project activities” to analyse the thresholds for component project activities.

*Background:* The consideration of requests initiated by the Board (top-down process) is part of the routine operations of the SSC WG. The Board, at its seventy-seventh meeting, considered an information note on the expansion of the positive list of technologies, including proposals for graduation criteria, and provided guidance to the SSC WG to the on-going work on the revision of the guidelines on demonstrating additionality of small-scale and microscale project activities (EB 77, para 63).

22. **►Action:** The SSC WG may wish to consider the revision of the “General guidelines for SSC CDM methodologies” with the aim to:

- (a) Include procedures for determining baseline scenarios for Greenfield/capacity expansion project activities;
- (b) Include a condition that biomass agricultural residues (wood, wood product, straw) utilized for energy purposes shall not be stored longer than one year before they are used;

- (c) Include options to reduce transaction costs related to sample-based surveys.

*Background:* a) The Board, at its seventy-seventh meeting, considered the proposal from the SSC WG to initiate the revision of type I methodologies to further clarify and align the baseline scenario for greenfield/capacity expansion projects in respective methodologies with the current procedure provided in the “General guidelines for SSC CDM methodologies” for determining baseline scenarios (EB 77 para 62). b) The Board, at its seventy-ninth meeting, considered an information note from the SSC WG in relation to methane emissions from biomass storage and requested to include a condition in the “General guidelines to SSC CDM methodologies” at its next revision that biomass agricultural residues (wood, wood product, straw) utilized for energy purposes shall not be stored longer than one year before they are used (EB 79, para 51). c) The Board, at its seventy-fifth meeting, requested the SSC WG to continue exploring options to reduce transaction costs related to sample-based surveys, without compromising the environmental integrity of emission reduction estimates. In doing so, the SSC WG should assess whether it would be more appropriate to specify a survey frequency based on a period of time elapsed (e.g. one year) rather than requiring a correspondence between an issuance request and the survey conducted (EB 75, para. 60).

## Agenda item 4. Conclusion of the meeting

23. ► **Action:** The SSC WG may wish to approve the internal and external reports of the 45<sup>th</sup> meeting.
24. ► **Action:** The Chair may wish to close to meeting.

-----

### Document information

---

<i>Version</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Description</i>
01.0	18 August 2014	Initial publication.

---

Decision Class: Operational  
Document Type: Annotated agenda  
Business Function: Governance  
Keywords: agenda, SSC WG

---