

CDM: FORM FOR SUBMISSION OF A "LETTER TO THE BOARD" (Version 01.2)

This form should be used only by project participants and other stakeholders for submitting a "Letter to the Board" in accordance with the latest version of the Modalities and procedures for direct communication with stakeholders

the modulates and procedures for an est communication with statemental se			
Name of the stakeholder ¹ submitting this form (individual/organization):	EKI Energy Services Limited		
Address and contact details of the	Address: EKI Energy Services Limited, 325-Block C, Prem Trade Centre, Maharani Road, Indore - 452007 State: Madhya Pradesh, India		
individual submitting this form:	Telephone number: +91 9993577610		
	E-mail address: jimmy@enkingint.org		
Title/Subject (give a short title or specify the subject of your submission)	Communciations between PP and DOE		
Please mention whether the submitter of the form is:			
	Other stakeholder, please specify		
Specify whether you want the letter to	☐ To be treated as confidential		
be treated as confidential ² :	☑ To be publicly available (UNFCCC CDM web site)		
Please choose any of the type(s) below³ to describe the purpose of this submission.			
⊠ Type I:			
☐ Request for clarificati	on Revision of existing rules		
⊠ Standards. Please specify reference paragraph 33 (a), (b) and Para 42 (a), 47 of the Accredetation Standard Version 05.2			
☐ Procedures. Please specify reference			
☐ Guidance. Please specify reference			
☐ Forms. Please specify reference			
☐ Others. Please specify reference			
☑ Type II: Request for Introduction of new rules			
\square Type III: Provision of information and suggestions on policy issues			
Please describe in detail the issue on whe	nich you request a response from the Board, including the oplicable).		

¹ DNAs and DOEs shall use the respective DNA/DOE forms for communication with the Board.

² As per the applicable modalities and procedures, the Board may make its response publicly available.

³ Latest CDM regulatory documents and information are available at: http://cdm.unfccc.int/Reference/index.html.

>>

Issue 1:

In cases where a project participant has a number of projects going on parallelly for validation and verification activities with the same DOE. The DOE at times keeps the project execution on hold due to payment issues in either of the one project. Thus leading to delay in validation/verification process. Though such practices by DOE comes under violation of Accredidation procedure, we wish that the same should be explicitly mentioned in the Accredidation procedure.

Rationale: The number of DOEs currently working in a geographical location have reduced, thus many project participant are forced to work with a few number of DOEs. Further due to current uncertain future of many CDM projects (based on the CER prices), many DOE contracts are stuck up for execution.

Typical example of the case

DOE: XZY has a contract for 4 projects with a ABC Limited

Project 1: Verification of bundled small scale wind project

Project 2: Verification of Large scale wind project

Project 3: Validation of Bundled large scale wind project (considering many individual PPs)

Project 4: Validation of bundled small scale wind project

Execution of all the above listed 4 projects initiated at the same time and the first stage invoice raised by the DOE to PP & the first stage invoice amount released by PP to DOE.

However during the Validation stage, the PP is not able to sign any forward contract for sale of CERs and is awaiting a contract with buyer for the 2 validation projects, thus the payment for next stage raised by DOE to PP cannot be cleared by the PP.

In this situation DOE stops the work for all 4 projects due to the non-payment of 2 Validation projects.

As the payments for the 2 Verification projects are already cleared & stopping the work on verification project is a clear violation of AccredidationCrieteria & the contracts.

As there is no explicit clarification by UNFCCC for the above mentioned situation, PP is becoming helpless & forced to borne a huge loss in terms of money and loyalty.

Issue 2:

In the above example for the 2 validation projects. In case the PP wants to terminate the project and issues a termination letter, the DOE does not terminate the project citing non-payment issue. And the project status at the CDM website is stated as "Validation activities are still ongoing". Thus not allowing the DOE to start fresh. This is again a clear violation of Accreditation procedure para 47 (j) which states that DOE's contracts with project participants shall not link the DOE's payments to the final outcome of the validation or verification/certification activities".

F-CDM-RtB ver 01.2

Please provide any specific suggestions or further information which would address the issue raised in the previous section, including the exact reference source and version (if applicable).

Request to EB: Please provide an explicit guideline to DOE not to link project execution to payments of other projects of the same PP.

In the event of above mentioned situations the PP must have a dedicated protocol at UNFCCC to complain against DOE.

If necessary, list attached files containing	•
relevant information (if anv)	

• [none]

Section below to be filled in by UNFCCC secretariat			
Date when the form was received at UNFCCC secretariat	5 May 2014		
Reference number	2014-359-S, INQ-01855		

History of document

Version	Date	Nature of revision
01.2	08 February 2012	Editorial revision.
01.1	09 August 2011	Editorial revision.
01	04 August 2011	Initial publication date.
Decision Close Developer		

Decision Class: Regulatory **Document Type**: Form

Business Function: Governance