

**CDM-MP62**

## Meeting report

---

# Methodologies Panel sixty-second meeting

Version 01.0

Date of meeting: 27 to 31 January 2014

Place of meeting: Bonn, Germany



**United Nations**  
Framework Convention on  
Climate Change

<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>		<b>Page</b>
<b>AGENDA ITEM 1.</b>	<b>AGENDA AND MEETING ORGANIZATION .....</b>	<b>3</b>
Agenda item 1.1.	Opening.....	3
Agenda item 1.2.	Adoption of the agenda.....	3
<b>AGENDA ITEM 2.</b>	<b>GOVERNANCE AND MANAGEMENT MATTERS .....</b>	<b>3</b>
Agenda item 2.1.	Membership issues .....	3
Agenda item 2.2.	Performance management .....	3
Agenda item 2.3.	Matters related to the Meth Panel.....	3
Agenda item 2.3.1.	Upcoming deadlines of relevance to stakeholders.....	4
<b>AGENDA ITEM 3.</b>	<b>REGULATORY MATTERS .....</b>	<b>4</b>
Agenda item 3.1.	Standards/tools .....	4
Agenda item 3.1.1.	Consideration of new large-scale methodologies and tools.....	4
Agenda item 3.1.2.	Consideration of revisions of large-scale methodologies and tools.....	6
Agenda item 3.1.3.	Global stakeholder consultation/call for public input .....	10
Agenda item 3.1.4.	Consideration of requests for clarification on large-scale methodologies and tools .....	11
Agenda item 3.1.5.	Consultation on issues related to methodological standards .....	12
Agenda item 3.2.	Guidelines .....	13
Agenda item 3.2.1.	Consultation on issues related to guidelines .....	13
<b>AGENDA ITEM 4.</b>	<b>CONCLUSION OF THE MEETING .....</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>ANNEXES TO THE REPORT.....</b>		<b>14</b>

## **Agenda item 1. Agenda and meeting organization**

### **Agenda item 1.1. Opening**

1. The Chair of the Methodologies Panel (Meth Panel), Mr. Eduardo Calvo, opened the meeting.
2. The Meth Panel noted that Ms. Jessica Wade-Murphy was unable to attend the meeting.
3. Ms. Jessica Wade-Murphy provided proper justification for her absence.

**Table 1. Attendance list**

<b>Chair and Vice-Chair</b>	<b>Members</b>
Mr. Eduardo Calvo (Chair)	Mr. Amr Osama Abdel-Aziz
Mr. Lambert Schneider (Vice-Chair)	Mr. Ambachew F. Admassie
	Mr. Jean-Jacques Becker
	Mr. Luis Alberto De La Torre
	Mr. Daniel Perczyk
	Mr. Braulio Pikman
	Mr. Sudhir Sharma
	Mr. Christiaan Vrolijk
	Mr. Kenichiro Yamaguchi

### **Agenda item 1.2. Adoption of the agenda**

4. The agenda was adopted as proposed.

## **Agenda item 2. Governance and management matters**

### **Agenda item 2.1. Membership issues**

5. The Meth Panel considered information provided by members with respect to any potential conflict of interest.

### **Agenda item 2.2. Performance management**

6. The Meth Panel considered an update on the 2014 workplan for panels and working groups for 2014.

### **Agenda item 2.3. Matters related to the Meth Panel**

7. The Chair briefed the Meth Panel on the outcome of the seventy-sixth meeting of the Executive Board of the clean development mechanism (hereinafter referred to as the Board).

8. The Meth Panel received an update on the outcome of the 42<sup>nd</sup> meeting of the Small-Scale Working Group (SSC WG) outlining the main actions and recommendations of relevance to the Meth Panel.
9. The Meth Panel noted that there were no desk reviews undertaken for the proposed new methodologies considered at this meeting.

#### **Agenda item 2.3.1. Upcoming deadlines of relevance to stakeholders**

10. The Meth Panel noted that its 63<sup>rd</sup> meeting is tentatively scheduled from 29 April to 2 May 2014.
11. Project participants, designated national authorities (DNAs) and other stakeholders may note the following deadlines:
  - (a) The deadline for the submission of proposed new methodologies (PNMs) to be considered at the MP 63 meeting is 4 March 2014, 24:00 GMT;
  - (b) The deadline for the submission of requests for revision to be considered at the MP 63 meeting is 18 March 2014, 24:00 GMT;
  - (c) The deadline for the submission of requests for clarification to be considered at the MP 63 meeting is 18 March 2014, 24:00 GMT.

### **Agenda item 3. Regulatory matters**

#### **Agenda item 3.1. Standards/tools**

##### **Agenda item 3.1.1. Consideration of new large-scale methodologies and tools**

12. Information on proposed new large-scale methodologies, their status, case history and final recommendations to the Board by the Meth Panel are made available on the UNFCCC CDM website at:  
<<http://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/PAmethodologies/pnm/pending>>. If the Board accepts the recommendations, the final recommendations and responses are made available on the UNFCCC CDM website at:  
<<http://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/PAmethodologies/pnm>>.
13. The relevant procedure “Development, revision and clarification of baseline and monitoring methodologies and methodological tools” (version 01.1) is available on the UNFCCC CDM website at:  
<<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Reference/Procedures/index.html#meth>>.
14. The Meth Panel considered the proposed new methodological standards listed in tables 2 and 3 below, as well as desk reviews and public inputs received, where applicable.

**Table 2. Status of consideration of new large-scale methodologies**

Submission	Title	Status/ recommendation <sup>1</sup>	Paragraph/ annex
NM0366	Cl <sub>2</sub> recycling by catalytic oxidation of HCl instead of electrolysis of HCl aqueous solution	WIP	18
NM0367	Fossil natural gas substitution by renewable natural gas produced from the anaerobic digestion of organic waste	A	15 and 16/ Annex 1
NM0368	Renewable natural gas production from vinasse anaerobic digestion	A	15 and 16/ Annex 1
NM0370	Consolidated baseline and monitoring methodology for project activities using alternative raw materials that do not contain carbonates for soda ash production	C	17

**Table 3. Status of consideration of new large-scale methodological tools**

Issue	Title	Status/ recommendation <sup>1</sup>	Paragraph/ annex
New tool	Baseline emissions for modal shift measures in inter-urban cargo transport	Call for public input	28/Annex 5
New tool	Baseline emissions for modal shift measures in urban passenger transport	Call for public input	28/Annex 6

15. The Meth Panel recommended that the Board approve the new consolidated methodology “ACM00XX: Natural gas substitution by biogenic methane produced from the anaerobic digestion of organic waste” based on the proposed new methodologies “NM0367: Fossil natural gas substitution by renewable natural gas produced from the anaerobic digestion of organic waste” and “NM0368: Renewable natural gas production from vinasse anaerobic digestion”, as contained in annex 1. The new consolidated methodology is applicable to project activities where organic waste is treated by anaerobic digestion and biogas is upgraded and used to replace natural gas.
16. The Meth Panel, while considering the new consolidated methodology “ACM00XX: Natural gas substitution by biogenic methane produced from the anaerobic digestion of organic waste”, identified that the application of this methodology may result in positive upstream leakage emissions from the production of natural gas in the baseline. The Meth Panel, in line with other similar approved methodologies, considered that in cases where, in a year, the leakage emissions are negative (less than zero), they should be considered as zero. The Meth Panel requested the Board to take note that it will work on a concept note on positive leakage and consistency among methodologies on this issue and report back to the Board at a future meeting.

<sup>1</sup> Recommendations from the Meth Panel: Final recommendations: A (approve the proposed new methodology), C (reject the proposed new methodology); Work-in-progress (WIP): cases that are still under consideration; preliminary recommendations: technical clarifications may be requested from the project participants before finalizing a recommendation to the Board.

17. The Meth Panel recommended that the Board reject the proposed new methodology “NM0370: Consolidated baseline and monitoring methodology for project activities using alternative raw materials that do not contain carbonates for soda ash production”. The proposed approach to estimate emission reductions is neither conservative nor aligned with the IPCC guidelines. The methodology was considered by the Meth Panel for the second time.
18. The Meth Panel recommended that the Board take note that it could not conclude its consideration of the proposed new methodology “NM0366: Cl2 recycling by catalytic oxidation of HCl instead of electrolysis of HCl aqueous solution” as the Meth Panel is in the process of preparing a reformat of the proposed new methodology. The methodology was considered by the Meth Panel for the second time.

**Agenda item 3.1.2. Consideration of revisions of large-scale methodologies and tools**

19. Information on requests for revision, their status, case history and the final recommendation and responses to the Board by the Meth Panel are made publicly available on the UNFCCC CDM website at:  
 <<http://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/PAmethodologies/revisions/pending>> and  
 <<http://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/PAmethodologies/tools-revisions/pending>>. If the Board accepts the recommendations, the final recommendations and responses are made available on the UNFCCC CDM website at:  
 <<http://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/PAmethodologies/revisions>> and  
 <<http://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/PAmethodologies/tools-revisions>>.
20. The relevant procedure “Development, revision and clarification of baseline and monitoring methodologies and methodological tools” (version 01.1) is available on the UNFCCC CDM website at:  
 <<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Reference/Procedures/index.html#meth>>.
21. The Meth Panel considered the bottom-up submissions of requests for revision and top-down revisions of approved methodologies (AMs) and approved tools (ATs) listed in table 4, as well as desk reviews and public inputs received, where applicable.

**Table 4. Status of consideration of bottom-up revisions of large-scale methodologies and tools**

Submission	AM/AT	Request	Status/ recommendation	Paragraph
AM_REV_0251	ACM0012 ver. 04.0.0	Revision of Baseline scenarios for waste heat generation projects	Reject the proposed revised methodology	22
REV_TOOL_0003	Tool to calculate the emission factor for an electricity system	Revision of the tool to calculate the emission factor for an electricity system in order to improve applicability of the tool to renewable energy dominated least developed country (LDCs) electricity grids	Reject the proposed revised tool	23

**Table 5. Status of consideration of top-down revisions of large-scale methodologies and tools**

Issue	AM/AT	Mandate	Status/ recommendation	Paragraph
Revision	AM0014	2013 workplan (MAP project 120)	Call for public input	29/Annex 7
Revision	AM0031	EB request	WIP	25(a)
Revision	AM0048	2013 workplan (MAP project 120)	WIP	25(b)
Revision	AM0058	2013 workplan (MAP project 120)	WIP	25(c)
Revision	AM0091	2013 workplan (MAP project 146)	Approve the proposed revised methodology	24(a)/Annex 2
Revision	AM0101	EB request	WIP	25(a)
Revision	ACM0002	Top-down work (mandate at EB 75)	Call for public input	30/Annex 8
Revision	ACM0008	Top-down work	Approve the proposed revised methodology	24(b)/Annex 3
Revision	ACM0012	2013 workplan (MAP project 120)	WIP	25(d)
Revision	ACM0014	Workplan 2012, workplan 2013 (MAP project 120 and 127)	Approve the proposed revised methodology	24(c)/Annex 4
Revision	ACM0015	EB request	Call for public input	31/Annex 9
Revision	ACM0016	EB request	WIP	25(a)
Revision	ACM0022	2013 workplan (MAP project 164)	WIP	25(e)

22. The Meth Panel recommended that the Board reject the proposed request for revision AM\_REV\_0251 on “ACM0012: Consolidated baseline methodology for GHG emission reductions from waste energy recovery projects, Version 04.0.0” regarding the baseline scenarios for waste heat generation projects, as the proposed revised methodology fails to address some issues associated with energy balance of key equipment involved.
23. The Meth Panel recommended that the Board reject the proposed request for revision REV\_TOOL\_0003 on the “Tool to calculate the emission factor for an electricity system”, regarding the modifications pertaining to the renewable-energy-dominated electricity grids in least developed countries (LDCs) for the following reasons:
- (a) The proposed criteria for the application of the default are not sufficiently justified;
  - (b) Suppressed-demand-related arguments may not be compatible with the situation when countries export electricity to another country;
  - (c) The Meth Panel is also of the view that a new methodology may be the right framework to address the issues raised above.
24. The Meth Panel recommended that the Board approve the draft revisions of the following methodologies as major revisions:

- (a) “AM0091: Energy efficiency technologies and fuel switching in new and existing buildings”, as contained in annex 2. The Meth Panel, at its 60<sup>th</sup> meeting, requested the secretariat to make the draft revised methodology publicly available for global stakeholder consultation for a period of 15 days, starting from 4 September 2013. No inputs were received. The draft revision:
- (i) Expands the applicability to retrofit buildings;
  - (ii) Simplifies sample survey requirements;
  - (iii) Includes and clarifies the application of a modelling approach as an alternative to extensive field data collection;
  - (iv) Addresses issues related to suppressed demand in baseline setting;
  - (v) Changes the title from “Energy efficiency technologies and fuel switching in new buildings” to “Energy efficiency technologies and fuel switching in new and existing buildings”;
- (b) “ACM0008: Abatement of methane from coal mines”, as contained in annex 3. This revision has been undertaken as part of the top-down work. The Meth Panel, at its 61<sup>st</sup> meeting, requested the secretariat to make the draft revised methodology publicly available for global stakeholder consultation for a period of 15 days, starting from 25 October 2013. No inputs were received. The draft revision:
- (i) Expands the applicability of the methodology to cover abandoned mines;
  - (ii) Simplifies the procedure for leakage;
  - (iii) Streamlines the structure of the methodology;
  - (iv) Changes the title from “Consolidated methodology for coal bed methane, coal mine methane and ventilation air methane capture and use for power (electrical or motive) and heat and/or destruction through flaring or flameless oxidation” to “Abatement of methane from coal mines”;
- (c) “ACM0014: Treatment of wastewater”, as contained in annex 4. This revision has been undertaken as part of: (i) MAP project 127 on “Implementation of the Programme of Activities (PoA) standards and procedures”; (ii) MAP project 120 “Simplification and streamlining of methodologies” as in the 2013 workplan of the Meth Panel; and (iii) to include the provision of suppressed demand, as in the 2012 workplan of the Meth Panel. The Meth Panel, at its 61<sup>st</sup> meeting, requested the secretariat to make the draft revised methodology publicly available for global stakeholder consultation for a period of 15 days, starting from 25 October 2013. No inputs were received. The draft revision:
- (i) Improves the provisions for PoAs;
  - (ii) Clarifies that the methodology is applicable for domestic wastewater;
  - (iii) Includes an applicability condition related to dewatering and application to land;
  - (iv) Simplifies and streamlines emission reduction calculations;



- (v) Adds applicable heat scenarios in the baseline and clarifies the section for “baseline emissions from generation and/or consumption of electricity”;
  - (vi) Introduces a criterion for suppressed demand scenario and the appropriate methane conversion factor.
25. The Meth Panel recommended that the Board take note that it could not conclude its consideration of the revisions of the following methodological standards (referred to as work-in-progress cases):
- (a) “AM0031: Bus rapid transit projects” related to improvement of the current approach of demonstrating additionality without the consideration of CER revenues as per the mandate received at the seventy-fifth meeting of the Board (EB 75 meeting report, para. 53). The Meth Panel had a preliminary discussion to explore other possible means of demonstrating additionality and identifying baselines. The Panel is exploring new approaches to additionality. The Meth Panel agreed to continue to consider the issue as a priority with a view to finding a solution at a future meeting. The Meth Panel wishes, however, to notify the Board that the solution may not be forthcoming at the next meeting as the issues involved are complex. The Meth Panel recommended that the Board take note that it will also consider the revisions of “AM0101: High speed passenger rail system” and “ACM0016: Mass Rapid Transit Projects” in addition to AM0031, as consideration of CER revenues are also included in the current versions of these methodologies. In doing so, the Meth Panel will address the mandates received at the sixty-ninth meeting of the Board, regarding renewal of crediting period (EB 69 meeting report, para. 86);
  - (b) “AM0048: New cogeneration project activities supplying electricity and heat to multiple customers”. The Meth Panel further worked on simplifying and streamlining the methodology AM0048 as part of MAP project 120 on “Simplification and streamlining of methodologies and tools”, as in the 2013 workplan of the Meth Panel;
  - (c) “AM0058: Introduction of a new primary district heating system”. The Meth Panel further worked on simplifying and streamlining the methodology AM0058 as part of the MAP project 120 on “Simplification and streamlining of methodologies and tools”, as in the 2013 workplan of the Meth Panel;
  - (d) “ACM0012: GHG emission reductions from waste energy recovery projects”. The Meth Panel further worked on simplifying and streamlining the methodology ACM0012 as part of the MAP project 120 on “Simplification and streamlining of methodologies and tools”, as in the 2013 workplan of the Meth Panel;
  - (e) “ACM0022: Alternative waste treatment processes”. The Meth Panel further worked on considering standardized approaches to demonstrate additionality for composting project activities and seeking external input regarding the potential and limitations of composting operations in developing countries. This revision has been undertaken as part of the MAP project 164 on “Further standardization in the demonstration of additionality”, and the MAP project 127 on “Implementation of the Programme of Activities (PoA) standards and procedures”, as in the 2013 workplan of the Meth Panel.

26. If the revisions are approved by the Board as major revisions in accordance with paragraph 69(a)(i) or 82(a)(i) of the procedure “Development, revision and clarification of baseline and monitoring methodologies and methodological tools” (version 01.1), the revised large-scale methodological standards will become effective from the day of publication of the Board meeting report and designated operational entities may upload project design documents (PDDs) for registration using the previous version of the methodology not later than 240 days from this date unless the previous version has been put on hold by the Board in accordance with paragraph 73(a) or 73(b) of the procedure.
27. If the revisions are approved by the Board as minor revisions in accordance with paragraph 69(a)(ii) or 82(a)(ii) of the procedure “Development, revision and clarification of baseline and monitoring methodologies and methodological tools” (version 01.1), the version number of the methodology or methodological tool shall increase by one fractional number (e.g. from 1.0 to 1.1), and the previous version shall continue to be valid until the next revision for mandatory use. In this case, for the purpose of publication of a PDD or programme of activities design document (PoA-DD) for global stakeholder consultation, submission of a request for registration, or submission of a request for renewal of crediting period in accordance with the “Clean development mechanism project cycle procedure”, a project activity or PoA may still apply the previous version or any earlier version until the end of the 240-day period after the next major revision.

**Agenda item 3.1.3. Global stakeholder consultation/call for public input**

28. The Meth Panel recommended that the Board take note of the recommendation on the draft methodological tools “Baseline emissions for modal shift measures in inter-urban cargo transport” and “Baseline emissions for modal shift measures in urban passenger transport”, as contained in annex 5 and annex 6 to this report respectively. The draft tools were prepared in response to the mandate received at the seventy-fifth meeting of the Board based on the draft guideline for establishment of standardized baselines in the transport sector, presented to the Board at its seventy-fifth meeting. The Meth Panel requested the secretariat to make the draft tools publicly available for global stakeholder consultation for a period of 15 days. The public inputs will be taken into account when preparing the final drafts of the tools to be recommended to the Board at a future meeting.
29. The Meth Panel recommended that the Board take note of the recommendation on the draft revised methodology “AM0014: Fossil fuel based cogeneration for identified recipient facility(ies)”, as contained in annex 7. The draft revision simplifies and streamlines the methodology and changes the title from “Natural gas-based package cogeneration” to “Fossil fuel based cogeneration for identified recipient facility(ies)”. The Meth Panel requested the secretariat to make the draft revised methodology publicly available for global stakeholder consultation for a period of 15 days. The public inputs will be taken into account when preparing the draft revised methodology to be recommended to the Board at a future meeting. This work was carried out in response to the task included in the 2013 workplan regarding the “Simplification and streamlining of methodologies and tools” (MAP project 120).
30. The Meth Panel recommended that the Board take note of the recommendation on the draft revised methodology “ACM0002: Grid-connected electricity generation from renewable sources”, as contained in annex 8. The draft revision provides clarity on applicability of the methodology for rehabilitation projects and takes into account the

relevant comments from the commenting system. The Meth Panel requested the secretariat to make the draft revised methodology publicly available for global stakeholder consultation for a period of 15 days. The public inputs will be taken into account when preparing the draft revised methodology to be recommended to the Board at a future meeting. This work was carried out in response to the mandate received at the seventy-fifth meeting of the Board (EB 75 meeting report, para. 56) to clarify the definition of rehabilitation and the procedure to calculate baseline emissions for rehabilitated plants.

31. The Meth Panel recommended that the Board take note of the recommendation on the draft revised methodology “ACM0015: Emission reductions from raw material switch in clinker production”, as contained in annex 9. The draft revision introduces methodological approaches applicable to the estimation of baseline emissions for possible CDM project activities using less greenhouse-gas-intensive raw materials in Greenfield cement plants and changes the title from “Consolidated baseline and monitoring methodology for project activities using alternative raw materials that do not contain carbonates for clinker production in cement kilns” to “Emission reductions from raw material switch in clinker production”. The Meth Panel requested the secretariat to make the draft revised methodology publicly available for global stakeholder consultation for a period of 15 days. The public inputs will be taken into account when preparing the draft revised methodology to be recommended to the Board at a future meeting. This work was carried out in response to the mandate received at the fifty-third meeting of the Board (EB 53 meeting report, para. 28) to consider methodological approaches applicable to the estimation of baseline emissions for possible CDM project activities using less greenhouse-gas-intensive raw materials in Greenfield cement plants.

**Agenda item 3.1.4. Consideration of requests for clarification on large-scale methodologies and tools**

32. Information on requests for clarification, their status, case history and the final recommendations and responses to the Board by the Meth Panel are made available on the UNFCCC CDM website at:  
<<http://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/PAmethodologies/clarifications/pending>> and  
<<http://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/PAmethodologies/tools-clarifications/pending>>. If the Board accepts the recommendations, the final recommendations and responses are made available on the UNFCCC CDM website at:  
<<http://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/PAmethodologies/clarifications>> and  
<<http://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/PAmethodologies/tools-clarifications>>.
33. The relevant procedure “Development, revision and clarification of baseline and monitoring methodologies and methodological tools” (version 01.1) is available on the UNFCCC CDM website at:  
<<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Reference/Procedures/index.html#meth>>.
34. The Meth Panel recommended that the Board consider the recommendation to approve the responses prepared for requests for clarification on approved large-scale methodologies and approved methodological tools as available on the UNFCCC CDM website for cases specified as “clarified” in table 6 below.

**Table 6. Status of consideration of requests for clarification on large-scale methodologies and tools**

Submission	AM/AT	Title of the request	Status
AM_CLA_0252	ACM0001 ver. 15.0.0	Clarification for the ex-post determination of FCH <sub>4</sub> ,EL <sub>y</sub> by taking into account particular monitoring requirements as defined in item “33 a)” of ACM0001 ver. 15	Clarified
AM_CLA_0253	AM0029 ver. 3	Identification of plausible baseline scenarios and calculation of fugitive CH <sub>4</sub> emissions for CDM projects developed in countries included in the Southern African Power Pool (such as Mozambique)	Clarified
AM_CLA_0254	ACM0002	Applicability of ACM0002 to existing hydropower plants increasing electricity generation through the construction of a new reservoir	Clarified
AM_CLA_0255	ACM0019 ver 01.0.0	Revision of emission reduction calculation	Clarified
AM_CLA_0256	ACM0012 ver. 4	Clarification on definition of Element Process and application of Baseline Scenarios for Heat Generation in Waste Energy Recovery Projects	Clarified
AM_CLA_0257	ACM0012 ver. 04.0.0	Clarification on Annex 1 option 1 & option 2 of ACM0012 version 4.0.0	Clarified
CLA_TOOL_0019	Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality - Version 7.0.0	Guidance on application of investment comparison analysis and benchmark analysis based on identification of Alternative Scenarios to the WHRB based project activity	Clarified
CLA_TOOL_0020	Tool to determine project emissions from flaring gases containing methane	Clarification about the calibration penalty for flame detector	Clarified

**Agenda item 3.1.5. Consultation on issues related to methodological standards**

35. In response to the mandate received at the seventy-fifth meeting of the Board (EB 75 meeting report, para 40) to revise the draft “Standard for determining coverage of data and validity of standardized baselines”, the Meth Panel recommended that the Board take note that the Meth Panel provided feedback to the secretariat on the draft standard.
36. In response to the mandate received at the seventy-fifth meeting of the Board (EB 75 meeting report, para 43) to continue working on the issue of batched issuance requests for a monitoring period of a PoA, to explore whether and under what conditions a request for issuance for the subsequent monitoring period can be permitted before all the requests for issuance for the previous monitoring period have been submitted, the Meth Panel recommended that the Board take note that the Meth Panel provided feedback to the secretariat on the draft concept note on “Impact of negative emission reductions on multiple issuance requests for PoAs”.

### **Agenda item 3.2. Guidelines**

37. In response to the mandate received at the seventy-fifth meeting of the Board (EB 75 meeting report, para 57) to provide guidance on how the cost of equity should be calculated based on “best financial practices”, including an approach for the application of financial models to determine benchmarks against which projects may be compared (e.g. capital asset pricing model (CAPM)), as well as circumstances under which the application of financial models can be considered appropriate (including, but not restricted to the CAPM) and to update the default values in annex A of the “Guidelines on the assessment of investment analysis”, the Meth Panel recommended that the Board take note that the Meth Panel considered the issue and will consult a financial expert and report back to the Board at a future meeting.

#### **Agenda item 3.2.1. Consultation on issues related to guidelines**

38. In response to the mandate received from the seventy-fifth meeting of the Board (EB 75 meeting report, para. 38), the Meth Panel recommended that the Board take note that the Meth Panel provided feedback to the secretariat on the draft revision of the “Guidelines for the establishment of sector specific standardized baselines”.
39. In response to the mandate received at the seventy-fifth meeting of the Board (EB 75 meeting report, para. 38) on the issue of inclusion of the facilities with CDM registered projects in developing sector-specific standardized baselines, the Meth Panel recommended that the Board take note that the Meth Panel provided feedback to the secretariat on the analysis of the inclusion of the facilities with CDM registered project activities when developing sector-specific standardized baselines.
40. In response to the mandate received from the seventy-fifth meeting of the Board (EB 75 meeting report, para. 37) on instances in which project participants are required to select either an approved standardized baseline or an approved methodology, where both are applicable to their proposed CDM project activity at the time of preparation of PDD or at the time of renewal of crediting period, the Meth Panel recommended that the Board take note that the Meth Panel provided feedback to the secretariat on the draft concept note on “Selection of standardized baselines vis-à-vis approved methodologies”.

### **Agenda item 4. Conclusion of the meeting**

41. The Meth Panel dealt with methodology related bottom-up submissions, including new methodologies, requests for revision and clarification; and top-down revision of methodologies and tools and made recommendations to the Board.
42. The Meth Panel adopted the report and concluded its 62<sup>nd</sup> meeting. The report and its annexes will be made available on the UNFCCC website.

## Annexes to the report

### ***Annexes to the external report of the 62<sup>nd</sup> meeting of the Meth Panel***

- Annex 1 - “ACM00XX: Natural gas substitution by biogenic methane produced from the anaerobic digestion of organic waste”
- Annex 2 - Draft revision of “AM0091: Energy efficiency technologies and fuel switching in new and existing buildings”
- Annex 3 - Draft revision of “ACM0008: Abatement of methane from coal mines”
- Annex 4 - Draft revision of “ACM0014: Treatment of wastewater”
- Annex 5 - Draft tool: “Baseline emissions for modal shift measures in inter-urban cargo transport”
- Annex 6 - Draft tool: “Baseline emissions for modal shift measures in urban passenger transport”
- Annex 7 - Draft revision of “AM0014: Fossil fuel based cogeneration for identified recipient facility(ies)”
- Annex 8 - Draft revision of “ACM0002: Grid-connected electricity generation from renewable sources”
- Annex 9 - Draft revision of “ACM0015: Emission reductions from raw material switch in clinker production”

-----

### **Document information**

---

<i>Version</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Description</i>
01.0	10 February 2014	MP 62 meeting report.

---

Decision Class: Operational  
Document Type: Meeting report  
Business Function: Governance  
Keywords: reporting procedures, MP

---