

CDM-PA2401-RULE01

Ruling note

Request for issuance for “Construction of additional cooling tower cells at AES Lal Pir (Pvt.) Limited. Muzaffar Garh, Pakistan”

Version 01.0



United Nations
Framework Convention on
Climate Change

1. The CDM-Executive Board decided to reject the request for issuance of certified emission reductions (CERs) for the above project activity on 26 July 2013 during its 74th meeting, for the monitoring period of 01 May 2009 – 30 April 2011, in accordance with of the "Procedures for review of requests for issuance of CERs", version 02.0, EB 64 Annex 4, paragraphs 23 and 24 (the procedures). According to paragraph 28 of the procedures, the rulings shall contain the reasons and rationale for the final decision, which are as follows:
 - (a) The DOE (TÜV SÜD) failed to clarify how the monitoring complies with the registered monitoring plan and how the emission reductions have been calculated in accordance with the monitoring plan and the applied methodology, as per the Validation and Verification Manual (VVM), version 01.2, paragraphs 204, 207 and 208 (c).
 - (b) The relevant requirements in full are:
 - (i) VVM version 01.2 Paragraph 204: "Monitoring of reductions in GHG emissions to result from the proposed CDM project activity shall be implemented in accordance with the monitoring plan contained in the registered PDD⁶⁸ or the accepted revised monitoring plan."
 - (ii) VVM version 01.2 Paragraph 207: "GHG emission reductions achieved by/resulting from the proposed CDM project activity shall be calculated applying the selected methodology."
 - (iii) VVM version 01.2 Paragraph 208 (c): "Calculations of baseline emissions, proposed CDM project activity emissions and leakage, as appropriate, have been carried out in accordance with the formulae and methods described in the monitoring plan and the applied methodology document".
 - (c) The project participant and DOE did not meet the requirements as the registered monitoring plan requires the monitoring of NCV of fuel oil and the revised monitoring report submitted in response to the request for review states that the 2006 IPCC default value of NCV will be used throughout the crediting period. Therefore, the provision to use the 2006 IPCC default value of NCV throughout the crediting period is not prescribed in the registered monitoring plan.
 - (d) Further, the PP/DOE revised the monitored value of NCV of fuel oil from 0.0405 TJ/t to 0.0403 TJ/t in the document submitted in response to the request for review. However, the DOE has not adequately verified the cause of change in the monitored value of NCV which has an impact on the calculation of emission reduction.
2. Please note, however, that, in accordance with paragraph 33 of EB64 Annex 4, in cases where the reasons for rejection can be addressed by means of a revised verification report based on a revised monitoring report, the DOE may request permission (including explanation of reasons) to submit a revised request for issuance for the same monitoring period covered by the rejection. The Board will consider such a request at the subsequent EB meeting following that request in accordance with the procedures and decide on a case-by-case basis. In these cases the Board will provide further guidance, as appropriate. In cases where such a revised request for issuance is also rejected it shall not be possible to resubmit for a third time.

CDM-PA2401-RULE01

Ruling note: Request for issuance for “Construction of additional cooling tower cells at AES Lal Pir (Pvt.) Limited. Muzaffar Garh, Pakistan”

Version 01.0

Document information

<i>Version</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Description</i>
01.0	2 September 2013	Initial publication. Related to EB 64, Annex 4 (Paragraphs 23, 24 & 28)

Decision Class: Ruling

Document Type: Ruling note

Business Function: Issuance

Keywords: E-0005, PA2401, monitoring plan, rejection of request for issuance
