

**CDM-PA0922-RULE01**

## Ruling note

---

Request for issuance for “Catalytic N<sub>2</sub>O abatement project in the tail gas of the nitric acid plant of the Hanwha Corporation (HWC) in Ulsan, Republic of Korea”

Version 01.0



**United Nations**  
Framework Convention on  
Climate Change

1. The CDM-Executive Board decided to reject the request for issuance of certified emission reductions (CERs) for the above project activity on 10 May 2013, for the monitoring period 01 Jan 2012 – 30 June 2012, in accordance with the “Clean development mechanism project cycle procedure”, (CDM-EB65-A32-PROC, version 03.1) (the PCP), paragraphs 220. According to paragraph 229 of the procedure, the rulings shall contain the reasons and rationale for the final decision, which are as follows:
  - (a) The DOE (DNV) failed to clarify how the emission reductions have been calculated in accordance with the monitoring plan and the applied methodology, as per the “Clean development mechanism validation and verification standard”, (CDM-EB65-A04-STAN, version 02.0) (VVS), paragraph 244 and 245 (c).
  - (b) Paragraph 244 of the VVS states that “The DOE shall assess the data and calculations of GHG emission reductions achieved by/resulting from the project activity by the application of the selected approved methodology.”
  - (c) Paragraph 245 (c) of the VVS states that “Calculations of baseline emissions, and project activity emissions and leakage, as appropriate, have been carried out in accordance with the formulae and methods described in the monitoring plan and the applied methodology.”
  - (d) The PP/DOE adjusted the requested emission reductions of the monitoring period indicated above to compensate for CERs that were erroneously issued during the previous 4<sup>th</sup> monitoring period. However, neither the applied methodology nor the monitoring plan has any provisions to discount the emission reductions of the current monitoring period due to the mistake in emission reductions calculation of previous monitoring period.
2. Please note, however, that, in accordance with paragraph 235 of the PCP, in cases where the reasons for rejection can be addressed by means of a revised verification report based on a revised monitoring report, the DOE may request permission (including explanation of reasons) to submit a revised request for issuance for the same monitoring period covered by the rejection. The Board will consider such a request at the subsequent EB meeting following that request in accordance with the procedures and decide on a case-by-case basis. In these cases the Board will provide further guidance, as appropriate. In cases where such a revised request for issuance is also rejected it shall not be possible to resubmit for a third time.

-----

CDM-PA0922-RULE01

Ruling note: Request for issuance for "Catalytic N2O abatement project in the tail gas of the nitric acid plant of the Hanwha Corporation (HWC) in Ulsan, Republic of Korea"  
Version 01.0

---

### Document information

---

<i>Version</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Description</i>
01.0	17 June 2013	Initial publication Related to CDM-EB65-A32-PROC (version 03.1) (Paragraphs 220 & 229).

---

Decision Class: Ruling  
Document Type: Ruling note  
Business Function: Issuance  
Keywords: E-0003, monitoring period, nitrous oxide, PA0922, rejected request for issuance

---