

CDM-PA6503-RULE01

Ruling note

Request for registration for “Improving energy efficiency in a new gas plant in Gibraltar-Colombia”

Version 01.0



United Nations
Framework Convention on
Climate Change

1. The CDM-Executive Board decided to reject the above proposed project activity on 10 May 2013, in accordance with the “Procedures for review of requests for registration”, version 1.1, EB 55, Annex 40, paragraphs 20 and 28 (the procedures). In accordance with paragraph 27 of the procedures, the rulings shall contain an explanation of the reasons and rationale for the final decision, which are as follows:
 - (a) The DOE (ICONTEC) has failed to substantiate the applicability of the methodology, in accordance with the Validation and Verification Manual (VVM), version 1.2, paragraphs 71 and AMS-II.D, version 12, paragraph 4, and the project boundary in accordance with the VVM, version 1.2, paragraph 79.
 - (b) The VVM, version 1.2, paragraphs 71, states that “...The DOE shall determine whether the choice of methodology is justified and the project participants have shown that the project activity meets each of the applicability conditions of the approved methodology or any tool or other methodology component referred to therein. ...”. Further, the applied methodology AMS-II.D, version 12, paragraph 4, states that “the impact of the measures implemented by the project activity can be clearly distinguished from changes in energy use due to other variables not influenced by the project activity (signal to noise ratio).”
 - (c) The VVM, version 1.2, paragraphs 79, states that “...the DOE shall determine whether the delineation in the PDD of the project boundary is correct and meets the requirements of the selected baseline methodology. The DOE also shall confirm that all sources and GHGs required by the methodology have been included within the project boundary. ...”.
 - (d) The fuel consumption of the baseline, being fixed throughout the crediting period and expressed in absolute value and not determined at the same load of the associated gas treated as the project activity is not in accordance with paragraph 4 of the methodology.
 - (e) The DOE also failed to substantiate the project boundary, in particular the Joule-Thompson technology being the baseline scenario of the additional associated gas load as the baseline scenario established for the same in the PDD is the mechanical refrigeration technology.
2. Please note, however, that, with appropriate revisions, this project activity may be resubmitted for validation and registration provided it meets the requirements for validation and registration, in accordance with paragraph 42 of the CDM Modalities and Procedures (Decision 3/CMP.1).

- - - - -

Document information

<i>Version</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Description</i>
01.0	17 June 2013	Initial publication. Related to EB 55, Annex 40 (Paragraphs 20, 27 & 28)
Decision Class: Ruling Document Type: Ruling note Business Function: Registration Keywords: E-0024, PA6503, project boundary, rejected project activity		