



**Approved baseline and monitoring methodology/
methodological tool revision request initial assessment form
(Version 01.0)**

INFORMATION TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Title/Subject of request for revision to the approved methodology:

Date when initial assessment is completed:

Note for completing below:

Please assess the quality of the submitted request for revision according to the “Procedure: Development, revision and clarification of baseline and monitoring methodologies and methodological tools” (CDM-EB70-A36-PROC).

The responses to the evaluation criteria below shall be considered as evidence for the evaluation of a case as qualified or unqualified. Each of the two questions below carries a score of either 0 or 1. Where a score of 1 indicates that the submission is in compliance with all the requirements related to the evaluation criteria in each question, and a score of 0 indicates non-compliance.

If the request for revision, after totalling the scores for each question, does not receive a total score of 2, the request for revision will be graded as “**unqualified**” for the consideration of the Panel/ WG. For questions that receive a score of 0, the rationale will be included in order to provide relevant feedback to the proponent of the request for revision to the methodology.

If the request for revision receives a score of 2, the request for revision will be graded as “**qualified**” and shall be further processed according to the procedures.

No.	Evaluation criteria	Score (1 or 0)
1.	Is the language sufficiently transparent, precise and unambiguous to undertake a full assessment?	
	Rationale for the score “0”:	
2.	Are the following elements of the proposed revision consistent with each other: (a) Baseline approach; (b) Applicability conditions; (c) Project boundary; (d) Baseline emission estimation approach/procedure for estimation of the baseline net GHG removals by sinks; (e) Project emission estimation approach/ procedure for estimation of the actual net GHG removals by sinks; (f) Leakage; and (g) Monitoring methodology?	
	Rationale for the score “0”:	

Total Score:	
ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	
Is a similar request(s) for revision already under review/approved? <i>(If YES, specify the reference number)</i>	

- - - - -

Document information

<i>Version</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Description</i>
01.0	12 June 2013	Initial publication.
Decision Class: Regulatory Document Type: Form Business Function: Methodology Keywords: applying methodologies and tools,request for revision		