

**CDM-PA6820-RULE01**

## Ruling note

---

# Request for registration for “Incheon Metro Line 2”

Version 01.0



**United Nations**  
Framework Convention on  
Climate Change

1. The CDM-Executive Board decided to reject the above proposed project activity on 7 March 2013, in accordance with "Procedures for review of requests for registration", version 01.1, EB 55, Annex 40, paragraphs 20 and 28 (the procedures). In accordance with paragraph 27 of the procedures, the rulings shall contain an explanation of the reasons and rationale for the final decision, which are as follows:
  - (a) The DOE (SQS) failed to validate the common practice analysis in accordance with validation and verification manual (VVM), version 01.2, paragraph 120 and ACM0016, version 02.0, page 6.
  - (b) VVM, version 01.2, paragraph 120 (b) states that "using official sources and local and industry expertise", the DOE shall "determine to what extent similar and operational projects (e.g. using similar technology or practice), other than CDM project activities, have been undertaken in the defined region." Further, the applied methodology ACM0016, version 02.0, page 6 states that "the proposed project activity is regarded as common practice if mass rapid transit systems (MRTS) have already been implemented in 50% of the cities in the set of cities for comparison as defined above without using the CDM", and requires that "if the larger urban zone (LUZ) of the city of the project activity contains more than one million inhabitants, then the set of cities for comparison includes all cities (including the city of the project activity) in the host country with a LUZ that contains more than 1 million inhabitants". The methodology defines LUZ as the larger urban zone of a city that "covers the whole functional zone around the city (including the core city) i.e. it corresponds to the commuting field around the city."
  - (c) Out of the eleven (11) "LUZ" considered in the common practice analysis, seven (7) are official metropolitan cities while the remaining four was not justified to form LUZs. Out of the seven (7) official metropolitan cities, five (5) have MRTS in operation, which represent 71% of the compared cities. The analysis shows that MRTS have been implemented in over 50% of the cities analysed for comparison. Hence, the project activity is considered as common practice in the host country.
  
2. Please note, however, that, with appropriate revisions, this project activity may be resubmitted for validation and registration provided it meets the requirements for validation and registration, in accordance with paragraph 42 of the CDM Modalities and Procedures (Decision 3/CMP.1).

-----

**Document information**

<i>Version</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Description</i>
01.0	22 April 2013	Initial publication. Related to EB 55, Annex 40 (Paragraphs 20, 27 & 28).

Decision Class: Ruling  
 Document Type: Ruling note  
 Business Function: Registration  
 Keywords: rejected project activity, PA6820, E-0027