**Name of the stakeholder:** Project Developer Forum

**Address and contact details of the individual submitting this form:**
Address: 100 New Bridge Street, London, EC4V 6JA
Telephone number: +65 6578 9286
E-mail address: office@pd-forum.net

**Title/Subject:** Acceleration of the issuance process

**Please mention whether the submitter of the form is:**
- [ ] Project participant
- [x] Other stakeholder, please specify PD Forum

**Specify whether you want the letter to be treated as confidential:**
- [ ] To be treated as confidential
- [x] To be publicly available (UNFCCC CDM web site)

**Please choose any of the type(s) below to describe the purpose of this submission.**

- [x] **Type I:**
  - [ ] Request for clarification
  - [x] Revision of existing rules
    - [ ] Standards. Please specify reference
    - [ ] Guidance. Please specify reference
    - [ ] Forms. Please specify reference
    - [ ] Others. Please specify reference

- [ ] **Type II:** Request for Introduction of new rules

- [ ] **Type III:** Provision of information and suggestions on policy issues

Please describe in detail the issue on which you request a response from the Board, including the exact reference source and version (if applicable).

---

1. DNAs and DOEs shall use the respective DNA/DOE forms for communication with the Board.
2. As per the applicable modalities and procedures, the Board may make its response publicly available.
Dear Mr. Peer Stiansen,

Honorable Members of the CDM Executive Board,

We would like to express our sincere thanks for offering the possibility to accelerate the forwarding of CERs by fast track forwarding and by advance payment of the share of proceeds as announced in your email dated 19/02/2013. This will undoubtedly bring some relief to deliveries of credits but we would like to bring to your attention the fact that the biggest delays are caused by the lengthy information and reporting check and the review period before issuance.

Hence, we would like to propose that the Executive Board reduce this time line significantly as we are convinced that 23 days for the information and reporting check and 28 days for the review of verifications is not necessary. This is especially the case when verifications are repeated verifications and not first verifications.

It would be of great help if the exceptions offered for the forwarding could be extended to the process before issuance.

Kind regards,

Gareth Phillips
Chairman,
Project Developer Forum

Please provide any specific suggestions or further information which would address the issue raised in the previous section, including the exact reference source and version (if applicable).

>>Clean development mechanism project cycle procedure, version 3.1 para 192 and para 199
If necessary, list attached files containing relevant information (if any)  • [replace this bracket with text, the field will expand automatically with size of text]

Section below to be filled in by UNFCCC secretariat

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date when the form was received at UNFCCC secretariat</th>
<th>27 February 2013</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reference number</td>
<td>2013-234-S</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

History of document

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Version</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Nature of revision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01.2</td>
<td>08 February 2012</td>
<td>Editorial revision.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01.1</td>
<td>09 August 2011</td>
<td>Editorial revision.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01</td>
<td>04 August 2011</td>
<td>Initial publication date.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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