




F-CDM-RtB

 CDM: FORM FOR SUBMISSION OF “LETTER TO THE BOARD” (Version 01.1) <i>(To be used only by the Project Participants and other Stakeholders for submitting Letter to the Board as per Modalities and Procedures for Direct Communication with Stakeholders)</i>	
Name of the stakeholder ¹ submitting this form (individual/organisation):	Project Developer Forum
Address and Contact details of the individual submitting this Letter:	Address: 100 New Bridge Street, London, EC4V 6JA Telephone number: +44 (0)1225 816877 E-mail Address: gareth.phillips@pd-forum.net
Title/Subject (give a short title or specify the subject of your submission)	Response to call for input on schedule of CDM Roundtable meetings
Please mention whether the Submitter of the Form is:	<input type="checkbox"/> Project participant <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other Stakeholder, please specify PD-Forum
Specify whether you want the Letter to be treated as confidential ² :	<input type="checkbox"/> To be treated as confidential <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To be publicly available (UNFCCC CDM web site)
Purpose of the Letter to the Board:	
Please use the space below to describe the purpose for submitting Letter to the Board. (Please tick only one of the four types in each submission)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Type I: <input type="checkbox"/> Request Clarification <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Revision of Existing Rules <input type="checkbox"/> Standards. Please specify reference <input type="checkbox"/> Procedures. Please specify reference: PCP (paras 41, 62, 65, 141, 189, 192) <input type="checkbox"/> Guidance. Please specify reference <input type="checkbox"/> Forms. Please specify reference <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Others. Please specify reference Call for input	
<input type="checkbox"/> Type II: Request for Introduction of New Rules <input type="checkbox"/> Type III: Provision of Information and Suggestions on Policy Issues	
Please use the space below to describe in detail the issue that needs to be clarified/revised or on which the response is requested from the Board as highlighted above. In doing this please describe the exact reference source including the version (if any).	

¹ Note that DNAs and DOEs shall not use this form to submit letter to the Board.

² Note that the Board may decide to make this Letter and the Response publicly available



PROJECT DEVELOPER FORUM

Project Developer Forum Ltd.
100 New Bridge Street
UK London EC4V 6JA

Europe: +44 1225 816877
Asia: +65 6578 9286
Americas: +1 321 775 4870
office@pd-forum.net
www.pd-forum.net

CHAIRMAN: Gareth Phillips
t: +65 65789286
e: office@pd-forum.net

To cdm-info@unfccc.int
From Gareth.Phillips@pd-forum.net
Date 12th June 2012
Subject **Response to call for input on**

Honourable Members of the CDM Executive Board,
Dear Mr. Duan,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the planned CDM rountable consultations in 2012. The Project developer Forum would like to make the following observations:

1. Holding four separate one day meetings within a 6 month period is likely to exhaust our members' travel budgets, especially considering the low prices which CERs are trading for at present. The costsw of travelling to meetings have already impacted up PD-Forums participation in both UNFCCC and other events such as the stakeholder meetings for the CDM Policy Dialogue and many of our most ctive members have already travelled to bonn once for the Joint Coordination Workshop in March. We would request that you consider consolidating the meetings into 2 * 2 day meetings and whilst I cannot guarantee that our attendance will improve, there is a greater likelihood that some of our members will be ale to justify the expense.
2. We also note that one meeting, which also contains a number of topics which are important to pProject Developers is scheduled for 10th August. Whilst we appreciate the the CDM is a global initiative, the fact remains that this is in the midst of the European holiday season and inevitably, attendance from our European members will suffer as a result.
3. We note that the topic of significant deficiencies is not on the agenda. Whilst this is primarily of concern to DOEs, we would also like to highlight the fact that any decisions which DOEs take as a result of the decisions on significant deficiencies will hav a direct impact upon Project Developers.

Kind regards,

Gareth Phillips
Chairman, Project Developer Forum

Please use the space below to any mention any suggestions or information that you want to provide to the Board. In doing this please describe the exact reference source including the version (if any).

[replace this bracket with text, the field will expand automatically with size of text]



If necessary, list attached files containing relevant information (if any)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> [replace this bracket with text, the field will expand automatically with size of text]
Section below to be filled in by UNFCCC secretariat	
Date when the form was received at UNFCCC secretariat	12 June 2012
	2012-124-S

History of document

Version	Date	Nature of revision
01.1	09 August 2011	Editorial revision.
01	04 August 2011	Initial publication date.
Decision Class: Regulatory Document Type: Form Business Function: Governance		