| Name of the stakeholder submitting this form (individual/organisation): | Eva Filzmoser / CDM Watch  
Katy Yann / International Rivers |
|---|---|
| Address and Contact details of the individual submitting this Letter: | Address: Rue d’Edimbourg 26  
Telephone number: +32499212081  
E-mail Address: eva.filzmoser@cdm-watch.org |
| Title/Subject (give a short title or specify the subject of your submission) | Request for review of the Additionality of the CDM Project 3497: Sujiahekou Hydropower Station |
| Please mention whether the Submitter of the Form is: | ☐ Project participant  
x ☐ Other Stakeholder, please specify NGOs |
| Specify whether you want the Letter to be treated as confidential?: | ☐ To be treated as confidential  
x ☐ To be publicly available (UNFCCC CDM web site) |

**Purpose of the Letter to the Board:**
Please use the space below to describe the purpose for submitting Letter to the Board.

( Please tick only one of the four types in each submission )

**x Type I:**

- ☐ Request Clarification
- ☐ Revision of Existing Rules

- ☐ Standards. Please specify reference
- ☐ Procedures. Please specify reference
- ☐ Guidance. Please specify reference
- ☐ Forms. Please specify reference

- ☒ Others. Please specify reference Concerns about implementation of CDM Rules

**☐ Type II: Request for Introduction of New Rules**

**☐ Type III: Provision of Information and Suggestions on Policy Issues**

---

¹ Note that DNAs and DOEs shall not use this form to submit letter to the Board.

² Note that the Board may decide to make this Letter and the Response publicly available
Please use the space below to describe in detail the issue that needs to be clarified/revised or on which the response is requested from the Board as highlighted above. In doing this please describe the exact reference source including the version (if any).
We are writing to express our serious concern about the proposed project activity: 3497: Sujiahekou Hydropower Station. Registration has been requested following a validation report by ERM Certification and Verification Services Limited which recommends approval. Since the deadline for requesting review is 2 October 2011, we trust that you will take our concerns seriously and initiate a review as soon as possible. Sujiahekou is a new hydropower station constructed and operated by Yunnan Baoshan Binglangjiang Hydropower Development Co., Ltd. Currently under construction, it is the third and largest hydropower station out of four dams on the Binglangjiang cascade. We were notified about this project by an anonymous source, who sent us a copy of the written approval for the project’s Feasibility Study Report.

Due to inconsistencies between the project information reported in the Project Design Document (PDD); and the information provided by official news sources and by the Feasibility Study approval document, we believe that the additionality, feasibility, and the legality of the project are highly questionable. In April 2011, we and our partners sent a letter to ERM Certification and Verification Services during the public comment period detailing the reasons why the project should not qualify for CDM credits. The project was nevertheless validated on 8 July 2011.

We urge the CDM Executive Board to request a review of this project and to carefully examine the following reasons we have identified, which indicate that the Sujiahekou Hydropower project is non-additional (detailed information about each point can be found below):

1. **The project is not additional** as it could not have considered CDM before the construction began;
2. **The project may have violated CDM rules and procedures**, as we have evidence that the project may have reported its project information untruthfully to either the National Development and Reform Committee of the People’s Republic of China (hereafter referred to as “NDRC”) or the ERM Certification and Verification Services Ltd.; and
3. **The project may have violated Chinese law** as it may not have obtained the necessary approval from the NDRC of the People’s Republic of China prior to the start of construction.

We are confident that after a rigorous examination of the Validation Report and other project documents, you will agree that the proposed Project does not comply with the CDM’s additionality requirements, and will recommend that the Executive Board reject the request for registration.

**Discussion**

1. **The project is not additional** as it could not have considered CDM before the construction began. According to the Guidance on the Demonstration and Assessment of Prior Consideration of the CDM, for project activities with a start date before August 2, 2008, the project participant must indicate awareness of the CDM prior to the project activity start date. In the PDD, it is reported that the project owner of Sujiahekou Hydropower Station held directorate meetings in September 2006 to consider the application of CDM, approximately three months before the reported start date of the project, which is December 16, 2006. However, there is clear evidence that the project construction had already started well before this reported start date and the directorate meetings.

On January 9, 2007, a news article published on the official website of the Central People’s Government of China regarding the river closure of Sujiahekou project states that the construction of Sujiahekou dam began in July 2006. The river closure, on the other hand, was reportedly completed on the first day of 2007. Another article published on the official website of Sinohydro Group Ltd. on March 15, 2011 states that the construction of dam abutment, which was carried out by Sinohydro Engineering Bureau 3 Co., Ltd., started in March 2006 following the Bureau’s winning of the bid for abutment construction in February. Given the fact that both articles come from official news sources, we believe that the start date (December 16, 2006) reported in the PDD is false and that the actual start date goes back to as early as mid-2006. This means that the project owner neither did consider CDM financing during project preparation, as the directorate meetings were not held until September of that year, or they viewed CDM financing as extra icing on the cake for a project that was already financed and underway. In either case, the project cannot be viewed as additional since the construction long preceded any serious consideration of CDM.
Please use the space below to any mention any suggestions or information that you want to provide to the Board. In doing this please describe the exact reference source including the version (if any).

If necessary, list attached files containing relevant information (if any)
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