

## Ninth CDM Roundtable

# Wrap-up session

Bonn, Germany, 23 August 2013



## Session II: *Draft Revision to the Accreditation Standard*

---

- Main issues discussed:
- List of sectoral scopes & tagging of methodologies
  - No general objection against the list of SS and the tagging of methodologies in the current draft
  - Key focus of the discussion was on the consequences, especially with regard to competence requirements
  - What is the definition and extent of “specific technical knowledge” and the interaction of this concept with the technical areas?



## Session II: *Draft Revision to the Accreditation Standard*

---

- Demonstration of competence
  - Guidance document
    - Guidance for the demonstration of competence is essential; enforcement of version 5 of the standard should be postponed until guidance is available
    - Guidance should allow for flexibility with regard to the demonstration of competence
  - Methods (para 96)
    - Chicken&egg concern with regard to para. 96 is addressed with the new wording inserted
    - Equivalent evaluation in para. 96 lit. a) should also be possible for DOEs
    - Is para. 96 lit. b) precise and flexible enough?
    - Include research in para. 96 lit b) (i)



## Session II: *Draft Revision to the Accreditation Standard*

---

- Outsourcing
  - Outsourcing should not be limited to group companies but be possible to any other legal entity
  - Mixed views if outsourcing of the demonstration and evaluation of competence should be allowed or whether this can not be outsourced as a key management function
- Transitional provisions
  - Allow for grandfathering concerning the demonstration of competence
  - Entry into force and time needed by DOEs to adjust to the new standard depends on the design of transitional arrangements
  - Need for a transitional period during which versions 4 or 5 can be applied by the DOEs at their discretion?



### Action points / Proposals

- Secretariat is asked to consider the proposed changes and clarifications provided by the DOEs and the DOE Forum
- Further input is required and asked for from DOEs with regard to the Guidance on the demonstration of competence