Session 1: Draft revision of the Sampling Guidelines and development of technology specific eligibility criteria for PoAs

Draft revisions to the Sampling Guidelines:

Main issues discussed:
   a) Draft was generally welcomed.
   b) Criteria for demonstrating homogeneity seem to be too stringent; Some seem to be redundant and/or unclear.
   c) Criteria for selecting the appropriate survey design (flow chart) have terms subject to interpretation (e.g. more practical, too costly) which may lead to ambiguity.
   d) Difficulties for DOEs in carrying out onsite check of a random sample of PP sample was also discussed.

Action points / Proposals:
   a) Review and potentially streamline criteria for demonstrating homogeneity (Appendix 2, para. 2)
   b) Further clarify Criteria for selecting the appropriate survey design and harmonize language in flow chart text.
**Session 1:** Draft revision of the Sampling Guidelines and development of technology-specific eligibility criteria for PoAs

Work on development of technology-specific Eligibility Criteria (EC) and further area of streamlining:

Main issues discussed:

a) Developing methodology-specific eligibility criteria versus more ambitious standardization (e.g. standardized template for PoA/CPA documentation).

Action points / Proposals:

a) The term “Technology-specific” is confusing and should be replaced with “methodology specific”.

b) Standardized template for PoA/CPA documentation including methodology-specific eligibility criteria for the commonly used dispersed project types (e.g. LED/CFLs, cookstoves, biogas, solar water heater) was strongly recommended.