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Session II.A: Revision of SB guidelines

Main issues discussed:
• Issue 1: Additionality
• Issue 2: Level of aggregation/disaggregation
• Issue 3: Separation of “measures” and definition of “technology”
• Issue 4: Consideration when developing SB
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Action points / Proposals:

- **Issue 1: Additionality**
  - Different thresholds for baseline and additionality
  - Two step requirements for additionality
    - RT8: expressed preference to delete two step requirements and to keep the possibility of having different thresholds for baseline and additionality
    - RT8: suggested to make the guidelines generic to allow different approaches (e.g. performance, cost and market penetration)
  - Financial attractiveness and barrier for additionality
  - Positive list
  - Comparison of cost
    - RT8: No specific feedback is received
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Action points / Proposals:

• Issue 2: Level of aggregation/disaggregation
  • Level of aggregation/disaggregation:
  • Definition of “sector”
  • Vintage and scale
    ➢ RT8: general support for proposed guidance for selecting the level of aggregation / disaggregation, i.e.
      • Dissimilarities (performance/output) should be the basis for disaggregation
      • Host country DNAs need to provide justification for (dis)aggregation
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Action points / Proposals:

• **Issue 3: Separation of “measures” and definition of “technology”**
  • Separation of “measures”
  • Definition of “technology” and performance data (design vs. actual)
    ➢ RT8: generally endorsed the proposal of allowing the use of actual performance data but the design data (norms) could be a good option.
    ➢ RT8: consider use of different term than “technology” (derived from emissions performance instead).
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Action points / Proposals:

• Issue 4: Consideration when developing SB
  • Consideration for the data from plants/facilities which have registered CDM projects in developing SB
    ➢ RT8: Suggested to explore the approach further. Views expressed include:
      • Could be issue on double counting.
      • Issuance of CERs is not a good indicator to use, especially under the current market situation.
  • Consideration of Suppressed demand
    ➢ RT8: proponents should describe how suppressed demand is taken into account