



Designated Operational Entities
& Independent Entities Association

The further Development of the Standardized Baselines Framework

8th CDM Roundtable, 17 June 2013
Werner Betzenbichler

Generic Comments

❖ A must for preparing up-scaling

- Baseline and additionality are the most costly components in PDD development and validation
- Speedier registration process might accompany this development (e.g. standardized LoA, request for review option only at baseline registration and update)
- Inherent conservativeness ensures integrity (and may reduce liability risks)

Generic Comments (2)

❖ Consequences to DOE business

- Some interesting and most likely voluminous orders for data quality assessments
- Only few DOEs will have these additional orders
- Regular validation/verification will be less time consuming and sophisticated – recent personnel might be “over-qualified”
- Is there any “liability issue”?

Observations

- ❖ **only one submission of a PSB made reference to a DOE report**
- ❖ **No information why others did not**
- ❖ **According to the DOE it was quite a long-lasting assessment**
- ❖ **Data accessibility is the crucial issue**

Under Consideration

❖ Data vintage and frequency of update

- Less than three years of primary data seems to contradict the meaning of “standardization”
- Deviation by conservativeness factor?
- Acceptable is the deviation of the calendar year
- Linking currentness to variability seems to be a reasonable approach
- The same should be suitable regarding the temporal validity of SB

Under Consideration (2)

❖ Requirements for application

- Selection of baseline – trade-off between “conservativeness discounts” and development & monitoring costs
- Prior consideration - Prohibited application to “started activities” can be fostered by fast registration process
- Validity to registered activity - preservation of the status quo

Open issues

- ❖ Materiality
- ❖ Completeness of data
- ❖ Liability
- ❖ Validation guidelines (VVS update) & templates



Thank you.

