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1. Procedural background

- At **EB 70**, the **Board** considered a concept note on the revision of the Procedure, provided guidance and requested the secretariat to further address some issues.

- At **CDM-AP 63**, the CDM-AP and the DOE Forum provided inputs on draft concept notes on the Procedure and Standard.

- At **EB 72**, the **Board**:
  - Considered a concept note on the revision of the Standard, and provided guidance.
  - Considered a concept note on remaining issues for the revision of the Procedure, and provided guidance.
  - Requested the secretariat to prepare a First Draft of both documents for EB 73.

- **A Zero Draft of both Standard and Procedure has been submitted to DOEs prior to this Roundtable for discussions and inputs.**
2. Purpose of revision

- Improve the effectiveness, efficiency and transparency of the CDM accreditation system
3. Key issues for the Standard

➢ **Competence requirements** (based on an analysis of all CDM methodologies)
  - Introduction of knowledge-based requirements
  - Definition of sectoral technical knowledge required per each sectoral scope
  - Revision of the list of sectoral scopes and adjustment in the classification of methodologies with sectoral scopes
  - Definition of general technical knowledge required for any type of project activities or PoA regardless of its sectoral scope
  - Review and/or strengthening a few generic requirements for Val/Ver teams and Technical review teams
  - Introduction of means to demonstrate competence

➢ **Use of external resources**
  - Structure has changed, but the essence of requirements changed very little
  - Means and main conditions to use external resources remain
3. Key issues for the Standard (cont.)

- Val/Ver process (contract review, technical review)
- Streamlined requirements to avoid unnecessary or duplication of requirements and ensure clarity and consistency
- Transitional measures for complying with the revised Standard
4. Key issues for the Procedure

- Modalities to determine the number of performance assessments
- General principles for decision-making on NCs
- Introduction of a new measure, “under-observation”, and revision of conditions related to suspension
- Revision of complaint and independent review processes
- Streamlined assessment processes to avoid unnecessary steps and ensure clarity and consistency
5. Context and expectations for the Roundtable and subsequent work and timelines

- The documents submitted for the Roundtable are Zero Drafts.
- The new competence requirements have been much elaborated so as to provide a concrete basis for discussion.
- Inputs from DOEs for this Roundtable, and by the CDM-AP next week at CDM-AP 64, will be taken into account for the preparation of a First Draft for both Standard and Procedure to be submitted to the Board at EB 73.
- The secretariat will submit the Final Draft to the Board for adoption at EB 74.
- Revised Standard and Procedure will take effect and be enforced in accordance with the agreed transitional measures.