

Sixth CDM Roundtable

Wrap-up session

World Conference Center
Bonn Germany, 12 October 2012



Break-out session II: Improving Standards, guidelines, procedures for PoA

Main issues discussed:

- General status of PoA: concerns by the Board that registration rules are watered down as PoA used for large scales projects instead of “programmatic” projects
- DOE have difficulties to validate LSC PoA due to missing eligibility criteria
- If there is no real project the DNA is reluctant to issue an LoA as a “blank cheque”, but investors do not know it they get registered, so reluctant to start real CPA. Withdrawal of LoA is an option to address this concern.
- The requirement to present all CPAs for verification during a certain monitoring period enhances complexity greatly, along with costs. This requirement is considered also a barrier.



Break-out session II: Improving Standards, guidelines, procedures for PoA

Action points / Proposals:

- Issue 1: Start date of a PoA: Participants generally agree with the proposed changes provided guidelines are revised to accurately indicate PoA and CPA.
- On the definition of the PoA Start Date, participants favor option 1
- Prior consideration versus start date of PoA: 2 different dates! Option 1 of the proposal
- Global stakeholder consultation will not be the start date for a PoA.
- How many actual CPA (multi tech, multi meth) are needed? Option 2 with amendments as post-registration changes should not pause the inclusion
- More comments can be sent by next week (19 October) directly to Gaj (ghegde@unfccc.int) on all issues

