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Break-out session III: *Improving the guidelines on first-of-its-kind (FOIk) and common practice (CP)*

Main issues discussed:

- Consider separate approach for LDCs for both FOIK and CP
- Consider developing similar guidelines for A/R
- Conduct the analysis at GSC or start date or whichever is earlier
- It was highlighted that, in cases where there is a significant time lapse between GSC/start date and the end of validation, then the DOE should have the flexibility to request the PP to reassess the FOIK and CP analysis
- Reflect the rationale for statements, similar to the investment analysis guidelines
Break-out session III: Improving the guidelines on first-of-its-kind (FOIK) and common practice (CP)

Regarding common practice:

Action points / Proposals:

• Flexibility

• Geographical area:
  a) Rethink the definition of “default”

• Measure
  a) Include a paragraph/footnote to clarify that industrial gases and transport and AR are not defined as ‘measures’

• Under unit cost – add an example to rationale the provision

• Unit capacity: Maintain the old text which refers project capacity

• Stepwise approach:
  a) Scrap the steps and re-introduce a more flexibility common sense approach (CP is a qualitative test)
  b) Keep the option of applying the steps but with refinement (based on CL_Tool_15), specifically related to ‘sector’ and ‘unit capacity’

• Look for the possibility to introduce the penetration rate of the technology as an alternative approach to conduct the common practice analysis