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## Background

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12/2009</td>
<td>CMP5 requests the EB to develop the guidance on “FOIK” and “CP”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/2010</td>
<td>CMP6 request the EB, as its highest priority, to finalize the guidance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/2011</td>
<td>At its 63rd meeting, the Board adopted the guidelines.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/2011</td>
<td>At its 65th meeting, the Board adopted the revised “Additionality tool” and “Combined tool” incorporating the provisions contained in the “FOIK” and “CP” guidelines.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/2011</td>
<td>CMP7 requests the Board to further improve the guidelines on the use of “FOIK” and “CP”.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/2012</td>
<td>The Board will consider the revised guidelines at EB69.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Input from stakeholders

• Common issues for both FOIK and CP
  ◦ More clarity on the definition of “Applicable geographical area”, “Measure”, “Output”, and “Different technologies”.
  ◦ Lack of access to the plant specific data.

• FOIK specific issue
  ◦ Demonstration of the additionality of FOIK for the CDM projects with the start date after the GSC.
Input from stakeholders

• CP specific issue
  ◦ Do identified measures cover all type of CDM projects?
  ◦ Should common practice analysis be conducted at plant level or unit level?
  ◦ Should all project activities (including registered CDM project activities) within the applicable output range be listed?
Proposed revision for FOIK and CP guidelines

• Revision common guidelines
  ◦ **Applicable geographical area** shall be entire host country by default. If the project participants opts to limit it to specific geographical area, adequate justification is required.
  ◦ **Measure & Different technologies**: examples were included to provide more clarity
  ◦ **Output** is the output produced by the project activity including, inter alia heat, steam, electricity, methane, biogas.
  ◦ **Lack of access to the plant specific data**: The project participants may also use the publically available information.
Proposed revision for FOIK and CP guidelines

• Revision FOIK specific guidelines
  • FOIK will be demonstrated based on the projects that started commercial operation before the publication of the CDM-PDD of the proposed project activity for global stakeholder consultation.
Proposed revision for FOIK and CP guidelines

• Revision CP specific guidelines
  • The common practice analysis should be conducted at unit level.
  • Similar projects (both CDM and non-CDM) with the applicable output range calculated in Step 1 that started commercial operation before the CDM-PDD published for global stakeholder consultation for the proposed project activity should be identified.
THANK YOU!