

Roundtable workplan and status of stakeholder inputs

Fifth CDM Roundtable

Langer Eugen

Bonn Germany, 10 August 2012



Rolling workplan concept:

- Inputs on actions/proposals to feed into ongoing work and reported on at future sessions
- Status of consideration/incorporation (including reasons if input not incorporated) to be provided and updated
- Information to be reported at each subsequent roundtable event and published on the web

Stakeholder inputs provided at the 4th CDM Roundtable on topics:

- Materiality guidelines
 - Sustainable development co-benefits (voluntary tool)
 - Sampling standard and best practice examples
 - Positive list for additionality / microscale guidelines
-
- Inputs were gathered from each break-out discussion and were made publically available in the CDM website.
 - The input was accounted for in the preparation/revision of relevant documents
 - Information is being made available to indicate detailed **status of consideration/incorporation of specific proposals** in the ongoing work in the respective areas



Example of input tracking

STAKEHOLDER INPUT	STATUS OF CONSIDERATION OF INPUT
MATERIALITY	NB: Document referred is the latest revision of the draft guidelines, posted for public inputs after EB68
Include the concept of extrapolation of errors identified in sampling to remaining dataset	Accepted. See examples
Include an example/flowchart in guidelines on the application of materiality in the context of sampling	Accepted. Section including flowchart incorporated in Section VI.
Clarify reporting concept/provisions: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Reference ISO 14064-3 where there is additional information on implementation of materiality 2. That verification opinion is on 100% of the data set even though 100% has not been tested 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Accepted. See footnote 3 2. Accepted. See para. 14
Elaborate examples and clarify wording in areas identified by stakeholders: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Provide guidance/examples on the relationship between (immaterial) errors and representative samples (when to expand samples to get the level of confidence) 2. Clarify what is meant by “majority of effort” or provide examples 3. Clarify what is meant by “timing” 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Accepted. See examples 2. Accepted. See examples 3. Accepted. See footnote 6
Consider developing further guidance on how to handle and assess post-registration changes (deviations): <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Make clear the connection of post-registration changes/deviations and application of materiality to post-registration changes 2. Deviations for detected omissions – links to VVS/PS – and potential need for a review/enhancements of these requirements – this issue as with all issues to be noted in the workshop report 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Accepted. See paras. 7 and 15 2. Noted. See cover note to EB 68 Annotations Annex 8

Reporting ongoing status of inputs

- Table to be updated to include pending feedback until the relevant documents are adopted and final decision have been made by the Board.
- New table containing the new feedback on the new round of consultation made public and also updated.
 - Comments/suggestions on the approach and the format of reporting are welcome



Current meeting overview

Today's roundtable topics aligned per Board mandates and MAP deliverables:

- I - Improving the stakeholder consultation process: *concept note to be considered at EB 69*
- II - Improving the assessment of additionality: *prioritized issues and work programme mandated by EB 68*
- III - Improving the guidelines on first-of-its-kind and the assessment of common practice: *draft revised guidelines to be considered at EB 69*
- IV - Consolidated procedure for the methodology development process: *consolidated draft procedure to be considered at EB 69*



Updated plan for 2012

Topic	8 June	10 Aug	12 Oct
Materiality	x		
Sustainable development benefits of CDM projects	x		
Roundtables workplan outlook for 2012: stakeholder feedback	x		
Improving the sampling standard and guidelines	x		
Positive list / microscale additionality guidelines	x		
Improve the stakeholder consultation process		x	
Development of a consolidated procedure for the methodology development process		x	
Improve guidelines on first-of-its-kind and the assessment of common practice		x	
Withdrawal and suspension of letters of approval		x	
Improve the assessment of additionality (part I)		x	
Experiences with implementation of new PS / VS/ PCP			x
Standardized Baselines			x
Input to MAP 2013			x
Improve the assessment of additionality (part II)			x

