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Issues discussed and Recommendations:

*When discussing additionality criteria and positive lists, we are really balancing three objectives (and should we, or not?)*

a) *Sustainable development*

b) *Regional distribution/diversity*

c) *Additionality*

**Special Underdeveloped Zone (SUZ)**

a) It is the technology, not location > do away with SUZ requirements and allow the microscale technology criteria apply to any host country

b) It is hard to verify the SUZ criteria

c) Although, it is obvious in many cases where there is an undeveloped zone – and thus indicators are available

d) If we do define criteria for SUZ, then:
   - Can we ensure that the benefits go those in the zones
   - The definitions will include political as well as technical criteria
Break-out session IV (Positive list and microscale guidelines)

Positive Lists

- The positive list does provide value for PPs
- The positive lists “limits” can determine project sizes and approaches to project development (possible debundling related issues)
- Gathering/having data on different technologies for positive list is very valuable
- The types of projects we are attempting to encourage will be in POAs
- Guidelines require more clarity in the definitions, examples
  a) End users
  b) Rural
  c) Isolated/distributed

- Decision tree/flow chart would be very helpful for determining additionality