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| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **#** | **Para No./ Annex / Figure / Table** | **Line Number** | **Type of comment**  **ge** = general  **te** = technical **ed** = editorial | **Comment**  **(including justification for change)** | **Proposed change**  **(including proposed text)** | **Assessment of comment**  **(*to be completed by UNFCCC secretariat*)** |
| **1** | **Page 25,**  **Section: Residential and other sectors** | **1-3** | **Technical** | The current fNRB model focuses primarily on residential wood fuel demand and does not consider wood fuel consumption in commercial or industrial establishments (like brick manufacturing, beer brewing, etc.). In field, we have experience that households also use wood fuel for brewing alcohol and the wood fuel consumption for brewing alcohol is quite more than their monthly residential consumption e.g., in Hill region in Nepal.  Further, wood fuel is also consumed at some extent in industries like brick manufacturing in country like Nepal, India etc. If we also refer to CDM Tool 30 as well, it has provision to account for wood fuel consumption for energy and non-energy commercial applications. | **Strongly recommend to account for wood fuel use in commercial and industrial activities especially for South Asian countries.** |  |
| **2** | **S.No. 3, Page 27**  **Section: Quantifying consumption** | **1-2** | **Technical** | In the current fNRB model, the default wood fuel consumption per person per year is considered as 0.4 tons (as recommended by UNFCCC) for all the countries and regions.  If we refer to GS TPDDTEC ver 4.0 methodology, it allows wood fuel consumption value up to 0.95 tons/capita/year.  Further GS meth also allows Project Developer to conduct Kitchen Performance Tests to estimate baseline wood fuel consumption in the project area. Hence, we understand that using the baseline wood fuel consumption value derived from field test (KPT) in fNRB calculation will give more exact project location specific fNRB value in place of using defaults value for all the region and countries. | **Reconsider the wood fuel consumption per person per year. Also, allow Project Developer to use baseline KPT value in fNRB estimation instead of default wood fuel consumption.** |  |
| **3** | **Page 39,**  **Section: Updated fNRB values for Sub-Saharan African countries** | **5-7** | **General** | What will be the validity period of these fNRB values, once approved and came into force.  Our understanding is that the information note presents the fNRB results for the period 2020-2030. Will the current fNRB value remain valid up to 2030? Please confirm? | **Confirm the validity of the fNRB values.** |  |
| **4** | **Page 23,**  **Section: Biomass growth function** | **1-2** | **General** | Page 23 of the information notes states *“Therefore our simulations would be overestimating regrowth and underestimating fNRB*”. How will this be justified? | **-** |  |
| **5** | **N/A** | **N/A** | **General** | Is there any validation and verification process for the estimated fNRB values? Are these values will also be validated and verified by some external agency / consultant apart from UN Meth Panel? | **-** |  |
| **6** | **N/A** | **N/A** | **General** | Is there any tentative timeline when the fNRB value for the remaining countries/regions will be available for Project Developer? | **-** |  |
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