

TABLE FOR COMMENTS

Name of submitter: Project Developer Forum

Affiliated organization of the submitter (if any):

Contact email of submitter: sven.kolmetz@pd-forum.net

0	1	2	3	4	5	6
#	Para No./ Annex / Figure / Table	Line Number	Type of comment ge = general te = technical ed = editorial	Comment (including justification for change)	Proposed change (including proposed text)	Assessment of comment (to be completed by UNFCCC secretariat)
			ge	The new version eliminates the applicability criteria for Case 1, 2 and 3 scenarios in terms of proportion population with access to improved water quality (60%), and as well removes automatic additionality for cases 1 and 2. But instead adds automatic additionality criteria where projects can demonstrate that more than 95% of the population has limited accessibility to appropriate water sources. It is not very clear how the categories under such conditions can be defined per country (limited, unimproved, surface water) and how in less developed countries such data can be retrieved in a credible manner acceptable to UNFCCC. It is noted however that the developers can still use the other existing additionality tools, which for example in LDCs and SIDs cases projects can still be additional on unit level (e.g. under microscale additionality tool) per CPAs, meaning if CPAs located in non-LDCs cannot provide above water accessibility data per location/country, they cannot be deemed additional anymore unless through usual additionality criteria e.g. investment analysis, common practice, barrier analysis etc. This new restriction on additionality can be very limiting for many water purification projects located in non-LDCs yet in very remote, underdeveloped or less developed areas/regions.	Propose criteria for criteria or keep the existing ones	

