Date: 8 November 2016

Document: ACM0017

TABLE FOR COMMENTS

Name of submitter: MSKVN Rao

Affiliated organization of the submitter (if any): not applicable

Contact email of submitter: mskasienag@gmail.com

0	1	2	3	4	5	6
#	Para No./ Annex / Figure /	Line Number	Type of comme nt	Comment (including justification for change)	Proposed change (including proposed text)	Assessment of comment (to be completed by UNFCCC secretariat)
	Table		ge = general			ŕ
			te = technical			
			ed = editorial			
1	1. Table 1	1	ge	The term 'production plants' also has the word 'plants'. To distinguish the two meanings of the same word 'plants', a clear demarcation has to be shown in the usage of the word, 'plant' in both	Proposed to change the term as "Plant" and "Trees" for representing as feedstock.	
	Row 1 (typical Projects(s)			same word 'plants', a clear demarcation has to be shown in the usage of the word, 'plant' in both situations.	Also definition should be mentioned in the definitions list for these terms.	
2	2 2.2 applicability	4 (b)	ge	"plants" can be plants and trees as well. Is there any distinguished convention expected between "plants" and "trees" as a source of feedstock?	Proposed to use "Plants" and "Trees" as feedstock sources.	
	4. (b)			"plants" and "trees" as a source of feedstock?		
3	2.2 applicability 4. (b)	4 (b)	ge	Why only "dedicated plantations"? Feedstock that can produce 'Bio fuel' if can be quantified, can be part of the 'feedstock'.	Meth Panel may consider suitable change.	
	. ()			As the intention is for wider applicability of the methodology, this consideration is more apt.		

Template for comments

Date: 8 November 2016 Document: ACM0017

0	1	2	3	4	5	6
#	Para No./ Annex / Figure / Table	Line Number	Type of comme nt ge = general te = technical ed = editorial	Comment (including justification for change)	Proposed change (including proposed text)	Assessment of comment (to be completed by UNFCCC secretariat)
4	2.2 applicability 5.	Line 3 (within this point)	ge	The demonstration of avoiding double counting and the agreement proof with stakeholders will be interpreted differently by Validators. Does this contractual agreement needed from all stake holders? Whoever is it needed from, shall be frozen and declared explicitly.	Meth Panel may consider suitable change.	
5	2.2 applicability 6.(d) iii	Line 3 (within this point)		The sale agreement and actual supply proof is enough for blended biofuel for account for as consumed, at consumer end. The consumption monitoring at consumer end is a bit tricky as the consumer is not claiming any CERs, they might not be interested in providing this data time to time throughout the period of CER crediting period. Also, the consumers will be many and the ownership of consumers might also change time to time. All this data collation from these sources is time consuming, and associate some costs (directly or in accounting) and doesn't attract w.r.t the market price of CERs.	The sale agreement and actual supply receipt shall contain a clear declaration that the consumer shall not claim CERs resulting from its consumption the fuel being purchased and received'. Meth Panel may consider suitable change of the above sentence.	