

TABLE FOR COMMENTS

Name of submitter: Xiaodong Jia

Affiliated organization of the submitter (if any): _____

Contact email of submitter: xiaodong.jia@greenstream.net

0	1	2	3	4	5	6
#	Para No./ Annex / Figure / Table	Line Number	Type of comment ge = general te = technical ed = editorial	Comment (including justification for change)	Proposed change (including proposed text)	Assessment of comment (to be completed by UNFCCC secretariat)
1	Para. 10		Ed	The original text “the baseline scenario would be the use of fossil fuels...”. The methodology is for the use of non-renewable biomass, rather than the use of fossil fuel.	The proposed text “the baseline scenario would be the use of non-renewable biomass for meeting similar thermal energy needs”	
2	Para.11		Ed	The definition of By is “Quantity of woody biomass hat is substituted or displaced in tonnes”. Would the methodology also serve the use of the grass (non-renewable)? I believe the use of non-renewable biomass (no matter woody or non-woody) shall be considered as the baseline scenario.	The proposed definition of By is “Quantity of non-renewable biomass hat is substituted or displaced in tonnes”.	
3	All		Ge	The terms of “woody biomass, renewable woody biomass, non-renewable biomass, non-renewable woody biomass” through out the methodology are not very clear.	I would suggest the SSC WG give the clear definition at the beginning of the methodology.	
4	Para.5.3		Te	Would the methodology only serve the use of woody biomass (non-renewable and renewable)? Would it also serve the non-woody biomass (non-renewable and renewable), such as grass and leaves?	I would suggest the methodology cover all types of biomass (woody and non-woody).	
5	Para.16		Ed	The sub-title of para.16 is “Non-renewable biomass”. I understand it as “non-renewable woody biomass”, Am I right? The sub-title should be consistent with title 5.3 and title 5.3.1.	The proposed sub-title of para.16 is “”Demonstrable non-renewable woody biomass”.	

