

TABLE FOR COMMENTS

Name of submitter: Dr. Andrej Jentsch

Affiliated organization of the submitter (if any): IEA DHC, AGFW, Richtvert | Energy Systems Consultancy

Contact email of submitter: iea-dhc@agfw.de, andrej.jentsch@richtvert.de

| 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|---|--|----------------|---|---|--|---|
| # | Para No./ Annex / Figure / Table | Line Number | Type of comment ge = general te = technical ed = editorial | Comment (including justification for change) | Proposed change (including proposed text) | Assessment of comment (to be completed by UNFCCC secretariat) |
| | 37 | All | te | <p>The choice of the allocation method to attribute fuel from CHP to heat and power is a factor fundamentally changing the results of the emissions calculation. The IEA DHC Annex X – universal calculation model (UCM) for primary energy factors and emissions provides a wide selection of these methods. It can be obtained after free registration here.</p> <p>AGFW (The German DHC association) and other German technical bodies recommend to use the Carnot-Method (exergy-based allocation) of Fuel and emissions allocation. It is the only method known so far, that can provide unbiased results, as my recent article explains.</p> <p>The method is included in the IEA DHC UCM and can be directly tested there.</p> <p>Please forward the comment to your modelling team. I will be happy to answer any questions concerning allocation methods, and why many of the allocation methods fail to provide results that can stand a scientific test.</p> | <p>Include the Carnot-method of fuel and emissions allocation into the “Tool to Calculate project or leakage CO2 emissions from fossil fuel combustion” and make it the default.</p> | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

