Name of submitter: Eva Filzmoser

Affiliated organization of submitter (if any): Carbon Market Watch

Email address of submitter: eva.filzmoser@carbonmarketwatch.org

Date of submission:14 January 2014

#	Para No./ Annex / Figure / Table	Type of input ge = general te = technical ed = editorial	Comment on the paragraph	Proposed change (including proposed text, if any)
1	1	Ed	It would be useful if the introduction would also mention the previous work undertaken by the Board on decision 3/CMP.6, e.g. call for input launched at EB59 and subsequent work undertaken at EB60 and EB62 including how the inputs received were taken into account	Reference to previous work and how the input received to public call at EB59, EB60 and EB62 was taken into account as well as other related steps taken
2	1	Ed	The introduction should also mention the recent Decision -/CMP.10 taken on 11 December 2014 on Guidance relating to the clean development mechanism that requests the CDM Board to publish its procedures for dealing with communications from stakeholders	Reference to CMP.10 decision
3	24	Te	Observer participation at Board meetings have become less attractive for a number of reasons i) a substantial part of the Board meeting is held in closed session ii) open sessions can also be followed via webcast iii) the time slot for observer interaction is usually taking place at the end of the meeting which does not provide motivation to raise issues that could be useful for Board members during the Board meeting; It is therefore not very attractive for observers to travel to the Board meeting for physical presence for the observer interaction alone; To address this challenge and to limit physical travels it would be useful to introduce a video-conferencing opportunity for observers to interact with the Board; Moreover, the time slot of interaction should be moved to the beginning of the meeting. This would help ensure effectiveness in the treatment of stakeholders' input – as defined as the purpose of this call for input.	24. Representatives from Parties and UNFCCC admitted observer organizations may attend Board meetings as observers. At each of its meetings, the Board shall allocate a time slot for interaction with registered observers shall be made available after the adoption of the agenda. the meeting. Such interactions can also take place via video-conferencing facilities and should be limited to discussion on policy and general issues, but not on case-specific matters, relating to the agenda items of that meeting.

Call for public input – Template for input

Document: Draft Modalities and procedures for direct communication with stakeholders

#	Para No./ Annex / Figure / Table	Type of input ge = general te = technical ed = editorial	Comment on the paragraph	Proposed change (including proposed text, if any)
4	29	Te	When deciding on the duration of the calls for input, it's important that reasonable time be given. For example, a call for inputs over holiday season does not provide reasonable time. Moreover, to ensure that the provided time be used efficiently, it is important that the calls for input are communicated in a wider way than the current practice, which is limited to publishing the calls for input on the CDM Website at a relatively hidden location: https://cdm.unfccc.int/public_inputs/index.html which requires the active monitoring of this website to identify the call for inputs. It is not mentioned in the newsroom for example. Other institutions that invite public input have started to use means for communication to reach a wider audience, such measures include: - establishing an alert/mailing list for stakeholders that are interested in providing comments (e.g. stakeholders that have previously submitted comments); - using established mailing lists that generally reach a wide range of stakeholders such as the climate-I mailing list	29. The Board shall decide on the duration of calls for input on a case-by-case basis depending on the complexity and the urgency of the issue on which the call is seeking views, <u>allowing for</u> reasonable time for comments to be submitted. Calls for input shall be directed to the public at large, <u>using a dedicated alerting system and</u> <u>established mailing lists as appropriate</u> , and all interested parties may provide their views on the subject of the calls.
5	52	Ge	For non-process based communications that cover communication outside the defined communication provisions including on views on CDM rules and their implementation, it may be challenging for the Board to address communications related to issues that are not covered by existing rules and procedures. A response from the Secretariat or the Board should therefore not be limited to explaining the mandate of the Board but guide the stakeholders towards a solution to the issues raised, including by forwarding the communication to the relevant institution or body. Moreover, to facilitate understanding and transparency about the issues communicated to the Secretariat and the Board an annual report should be compiled containing the specific issues raised by stakeholders. This report shall also contain recommendations to explore ways and means of dealing with recurring or serious problems that affect the "success" of CDM projects, by substantive or procedural rulemaking.	 52. The secretariat should reply within 35 days of its receipt by either: (a) Providing a response to the request in accordance with paragraph 50 above; or (b) Informing the submitter that the request will be submitted to the Board or a panel or working group for its consideration in accordance with paragraph 52 above. (c) Informing the submitter that the request will be forwarded to another relevant institution or body if appropriate 52. An annual report containing issues communicated under these procedures shall be compiled including recommendations for substantive or procedural rulemaking as appropriate.
6				
7				

Note: Please add rows as necessary.