

Name of submitter: Alexandra Nebel and Renzoandre De la Peña Lavander

Affiliated organization of the submitter (if any): TÜV NORD CERT GmbH

Contact email of submitter: anebel@tuev-nord.de; rzdelande@gmail.com

Date: 24.06.2013

0	1	2
Issue No.	Issue to be addressed (including need for change)	Proposed change (including proposed text, if applicable)
1	<p>The applicability of the tool considers ex-ante and ex-post estimation of C stocks.</p> <p>By reading the tool section 5. a differentiation between the four methods (a-d) applicable under ex-ante and ex-post is not always possible.</p>	<p>Probably it would be helpful to order the four methods for carbon stock estimation as per their applicability:</p> <p>First list all ex-ante methods:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Estimation by proportionate crown cover (c) <p>Then all ex –post methods:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Difference of two independent estimations (inventories) (a) ▪ Direct estimation by re-measurement of sample plots (b) ▪ Demonstration of “no-decrease” (d)
2	<p>Is the method (c) “Estimation by proportionate crown cover” the only method applicable ex-ante?</p>	<p>To include an ex-ante option: inventory/sample plot option at one point in time.</p>
3	<p>“Species” is defined as a group of species. This may lead to confusion when single species and groups of species are used in a project and both are summarized as “species” even if part of them use their own allometric equation.</p>	<p>Our suggestion is to call them “species group” or “functional group” or “grouped species” to indicate that more than one species is included.</p>