

Call for public inputs – Template for inputs	Recommendations for possible changes to the modalities and procedures of the CDM
--	--

Name of submitter: Mahesh Pandya

Affiliated organization of the submitter (if any): PARYAVARANMITRA (JANVIKAS)

Contact email of submitter: paryavaranmitra@yahoo.com

Date: 23.01.2013

0	1	2
Issue No.	Issue to be addressed (including need for change)	Proposed change (including proposed text, if applicable)
1.	Host Country Approval	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Need to check all the necessary legal compliances before giving host country approval e.g. to submit Environment clearances and compliance reports, should be made compulsory for project proponent.</li> <li>• Role of DNA should be not limited to just giving approval.</li> <li>• Approval should be incorporated as a part of PDD so stakeholder can see the conditions of approval.</li> </ul>
2.	Local Stakeholder Consultation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Display Public Notice on website of DNA</li> <li>• Mandatory publication of public notice in Local language – widely circulated newspaper</li> <li>• Minutes of meeting should be put on website of DNA</li> <li>• Involve local self government institutes and also make their permission necessary for each project.</li> </ul>
3.	Role of DNA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Monitoring power to DNA.</li> <li>• Power to revoke a host country approval should be used by DNA</li> <li>• Project proponent has to submit compliance report to DNA (every year).</li> </ul>
4.	CER money to use for betterment of local environment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Plan to spend 2% CER money should be made public</li> <li>• Must involve local people in planning the activities</li> </ul>
5.	Transparency at every stage	More transparent provisions needed for involvement of stakeholder to reduce violation of rights of local people and also to ensure sustainability factor.