

04 June 2012

Zhao-jing Li  
The personnel in charge  
Beijing Wenhua Economic Consult Centre  
Address: Room 501, gate 2 of Stored Building  
No.3, Hualongyuan-Nanli, Huoying, Changping District,  
Beijing 102208 China  
Tel: 8610-69794234/13021156737  
Fax: 8610-69794234  
Email: bjwhzx@sohu.com

**Dear Sir/Madam,**

The input to the Call for public input on Draft “Guidelines for establishment of standardized baselines for afforestation and reforestation project activities under the clean development mechanism” by the CDM Executive Board at its [sixty-seventh meeting](#) is as filled in the questionnaire as below:

### **Call for public input on**

### **Draft “Guidelines for establishment of standardized baselines for afforestation and reforestation project activities under the clean development mechanism”**

### **QUESTIONNAIRE**

#### **Part I: Overall assessment of the draft document**

Is the document:

- (a) well written;
- (b) simple to understand;
- (c) user-friendly;
- (d) well-organized;
- (e) exemplified;
- (f) complete?

Please provide suggestions for improvement of the draft document in respect of the above specifications:

*It seems ok for (a), (b), (c), (d) and (e), but not so complete for (f) that is just used for identification of land type and/or land use, without that for land-use change and forestry activities in accordance with decision 16/CMP.1.*

#### **Part II: Input on specific issues**

Please provide your assessment, views, comments, suggestions relating to the following specific issues:

A: Setting of criteria (paragraph 8 of the draft)

A.1 Should the criteria be set within the guidelines?

*Yes, it should.*

If so, what are the pros and cons of this approach?

*It could be referenced by and used friendly to the developers of standardized baselines.*

A.2 Should the developers of proposed standardized baselines be required to set the criteria ?

*No, they should be not.*

If so, what are the pros and cons of this approach?

A.3 Should the developers of proposed standardized baselines have the option of setting criteria on their own or selecting the criteria from those provided within the guidelines?

If so, what are the pros and cons of this approach?

## B. Assessment of criteria (appendix 1 of the draft)

B.1 Are the criteria contained in the draft document:

- (a) relevant;
- (b) easily applicable;
- (c) usable;
- (e) complete?

*Yes, they are if the criteria on land type and/or land use activities were considered only.*

Please provide your analysis/assessment here:

B.2 Are there any other types of criteria / approaches that you would like to propose under these guidelines?

Please provide your list/analysis/assessment here:

B.3 Are the threshold values of the criteria appropriate?

*Yes, they are.*

If not, please propose alternative threshold values here:

## C. Standardized estimation of baseline stocks and removals (paragraph 13 of the draft)

Should standardized estimation of baseline stocks and removals be made a mandatory part of proposed standardized baselines, or should this be left as an option to be decided by the developers?

*It should be made a mandatory part of proposed standardized baselines if it could be thought mandatory.*

Please provide your analysis/assessment here:

*The estimation of baseline stocks and removals (paragraph 13 of the draft) provides two scenarios for developers to deal with this matter as standardized options in compliance with those required by EB.*

## D. Land eligibility (paragraph 14 of the draft)

Should the confirmation of land eligibility, or inclusion of one or more approaches for this purpose, be made a mandatory part of proposed standardized baselines, or should this be left as an option to be decided by the developers?

*It should be made a mandatory part of proposed standardized baselines if it could be thought mandatory.*

Please provide your analysis/assessment here:

*A standardized baseline shall be concentrated with or abstracted from more possible baseline scenarios, which hereby appear to be land type and land use in pre-project. Therefore, the confirmation of land eligibility as listed in appendix 1 of the draft should be made a mandatory part of proposed standardized baselines. It would be completed if that for land-use change and forestry activities considered as mentioned above, for example, conversion of crops land to forestry on slopes with productivity according to afforestation and reforestation defined under paragraph 1 of the annex to decision 16/CMP.1 .*

**Part III: Other comments/ inputs**

Please provide other comments/ inputs on aspects not covered by the above questions:

----