

Name of submitter: Mareike Niemann

Affiliated organization of the submitter (if any): GLC

Contact email of submitter: mareike.niemann@gl-group.com

Date: 2012-03-09

0	1	2	3	4	5	6
#	Para No./ Annex / Figure / Table	Line Number	Type of input ge = general te = technical ed = editorial	Comment (including justification for change)	Proposed change (including proposed text)	Secretariat observations (to be completed by UNFCCC secretariat)
1	47	3	ge	The term “project activity” shall be defined more specifically to ensure a consistent interpretation and application of ATs as well as DOEs. It is in addition to technical area which actually is sufficient. “Project activity” was interpreted differently during the audits: e.g. does it mean wind turbine or has the experience to be specific with regard to a specific kind of turbine or manufacture. This term opens room for various interpretations which result in different requirements for the DOEs coming from individual AT audits.		
2	97		ge	Clarification is sought considering the case of small DOEs with few personal: Can the assessment team leader be also the approver (decision-maker) of a project if an independent technical review (with different and independent persons) was conducted?		
3	Annex A		ge	Please check and confirm/modify the “yes and no’s”, as some the context was stated differently in UNFCCC audits for GLC. For example for the technical review §47, it was stated that it is inline with AP that this task can be conducted in offices which are not the accredited site. Please confirm.		
4	Annex C		ge	Please delete as a separate document exists. During updates also the UNFCCC shall ensure consistency throughout the documents.		