Date: 21/12/2011	Document:

TABLE FOR COMMENTS

0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
#	Initials	Para No./ Annex / Figure / Table	Line Number	Type of comment ge = general te = technical ed = editorial	Comment (including justification for change)	Proposed change (including proposed text)	Assessment of comment (to be completed by UNFCCC secretariat)
	xx		180	ge	We welcome the proposed changes to the monitoring options for these types of project activities, specially the inclusion of more default values that can be adopted by project implementers. We would like to propose an alternative approach, further simplifying monitoring requirements for the small and micro scale project activities, yet guaranteeing the environmental integrity of the reductions achieved by the project activities.	An alternative approach (which could be elected at the PDD design stage) would be to be able to apply a conservative discount factor to the monitored parameter Q _{CH4,y} , in order to account for the whole of project and leakage emissions (e.g. 25%), as long as some checkpoints are done by the DOE at the time of verification (e.g. fossil fuel only used by max 1 small diesel generator on-site, consumption of energy from the grid only used by the farm house/administrative building, storage of small quantities of digestate, etc). This would make the monitoring procedures more cost-effective for small project implementers and less data intensive.	