

August 4, 2010

Chairman and Members of the CDM Executive Board

Mr. Clifford Mahlung

Chairman

UNFCCC Secretariat

Martin-Luther-King-Strasse 8

D 53153 Bonn

Germany

Dear Mr Mahlung,

Honorable Members of the CDM Executive Board,

In the Meth Panel summary recommendation to NM0311 (prepared and submitted by Votorantim Agribusiness and Ecopart Ltda), item 5 (major reasons for B/C choice from the proposed baseline methodology) from June 2009, one reads the following:

Two issues apart from the fundamental flaws inherent in the proposal are key reasons why the Panel has graded this methodology as a C:

- First, the methodology as currently proposed, even when it is corrected for identified flaws and approved as a new methodology, will overlap with existing (or revised) AM0036 or ACM0006, and the fundamental difference with these approved methodologies seems not apparent;
- Secondly, the panel is currently working on a major revision of ACM0006 which will adequately cover all the possible power and cogeneration scenarios of the proposed methodology. The revision of ACM0006 is also likely to lead to a minor revision of AM0036 which when carried out will cover all the heat

scenarios likely to be generated by the proposed new methodology.

With reference to the second bullet point, we kindly ask you to take the scenarios of NM0311 into consideration in the final version of the new consolidated methodology, as we were not able to confirm if they were in the draft consolidated methodology.

Thanking in advance for your kind attention we remain available for any further clarification.

Yours sincerely,

Marco Antonio N. Mazaferro

**Job description**

e-mail: [marco.mazaferro@eqao.com.br](mailto:marco.mazaferro@eqao.com.br)